Introduction to Dirac and generalized complex structures

Liviu Ornea

University of Bucharest and Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy

January 4-9, 2009, IPMU, Tokyo

Symplectic

A *closed*, non-degenerate 2-form $\omega: TM \to T^*M$. Induces a Poisson bracket by

$$\{f,g\}=\omega(df^{\sharp\omega},dg^{\sharp\omega})$$

Symplectic

A *closed*, non-degenerate 2-form $\omega: TM \to T^*M$. Induces a Poisson bracket by

$$\{f,g\}=\omega(df^{\sharp\omega},dg^{\sharp\omega})$$

Poisson

A Poisson bracket $\{,\}$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\textit{M})$ (skew-symmetric, derivation, Jacobi identity).

Symplectic

A *closed*, non-degenerate 2-form $\omega : TM \to T^*M$. Induces a Poisson bracket by

$$\{f,g\}=\omega(df^{\sharp_\omega},dg^{\sharp_\omega})$$

Poisson

A Poisson bracket $\{,\}$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ (skew-symmetric, derivation, Jacobi identity).

Induces a $\eta: T^*M \to TM$, by

$$df\mapsto X_f,\quad X_f(g)=\{f,g\}.$$

Symplectic

A *closed*, non-degenerate 2-form $\omega : TM \to T^*M$. Induces a Poisson bracket by

$$\{f,g\} = \omega(df^{\sharp_{\omega}},dg^{\sharp_{\omega}})$$

Poisson

A Poisson bracket $\{,\}$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ (skew-symmetric, derivation, Jacobi identity).

Induces a $\eta: T^*M \to TM$, by

$$df \mapsto X_f$$
, $X_f(g) = \{f, g\}$.

Equivalently, η can be viewed as a bivector $\eta \in H^0(M, \Lambda^2 TM)$ satisfying:

$$\langle df \wedge dg, \eta \rangle = \{f, g\}, \quad [\eta, \eta] = 0.$$

Symplectic

A *closed*, non-degenerate 2-form $\omega : TM \to T^*M$. Induces a Poisson bracket by

$$\{f,g\} = \omega(df^{\sharp_{\omega}},dg^{\sharp_{\omega}})$$

Poisson

A Poisson bracket $\{,\}$ on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ (skew-symmetric, derivation, Jacobi identity).

Induces a $\eta: T^*M \to TM$, by

$$df \mapsto X_f$$
, $X_f(g) = \{f, g\}$.

Equivalently, η can be viewed as a bivector $\eta \in H^0(M, \Lambda^2 TM)$ satisfying:

$$\langle df \wedge dg, \eta \rangle = \{f, g\}, \quad [\eta, \eta] = 0.$$

How to unite them?

Graphs of both ω and η are subbundles $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$, isotropic (by skew-symmetry) w.r.t. the inner product

$$\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha(Y) + \beta(X))$$

Graphs of both ω and η are subbundles $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$, isotropic (by skew-symmetry) w.r.t. the inner product

$$\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha(Y) + \beta(X))$$

Almost Dirac structure (Courant & Weinstein 1988, Courant 1990)

A maximally isotropic subbundle $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ w.r.t. the above inner product.

Graphs of both ω and η are subbundles $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$, isotropic (by skew-symmetry) w.r.t. the inner product

$$\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha(Y) + \beta(X))$$

Almost Dirac structure (Courant & Weinstein 1988, Courant 1990)

A maximally isotropic subbundle $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ w.r.t. the above inner product.

This captures only the objects ω and η , not the *closedness* of ω and the *vanishing* of the Schouten bracket $[\eta, \eta]$.

Graphs of both ω and η are subbundles $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$, isotropic (by skew-symmetry) w.r.t. the inner product

$$\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha(Y) + \beta(X))$$

Almost Dirac structure (Courant & Weinstein 1988, Courant 1990)

A maximally isotropic subbundle $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ w.r.t. the above inner product.

This captures only the objects ω and η , not the *closedness* of ω and the *vanishing* of the Schouten bracket $[\eta, \eta]$.

Hence: need of an integrability condition.

The orthogonal group $SO(\mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M}, \langle, \rangle)$ has Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{so}(TM \oplus T^*M, \langle, \rangle) = \{T \mid \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle x, Ty \rangle = 0, x, y \in TM \oplus T^*M\}$$

The orthogonal group $SO(\mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M}, \langle, \rangle)$ has Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{so}(\mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M}, \langle, \rangle) = \{\mathit{T} \mid \langle \mathit{Tx}, \mathit{y} \rangle + \langle \mathit{x}, \mathit{Ty} \rangle = 0, \; \mathit{x}, \mathit{y} \in \mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M} \}$$

The general form of such a T is $\begin{pmatrix} A & \beta \\ B & -A^* \end{pmatrix}$, with $A \in \text{End}(TM), B \in \Omega^2(M), \beta \in \Lambda^2(TM)$.

The orthogonal group $SO(\mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M}, \langle, \rangle)$ has Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{so}(\mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M}, \langle, \rangle) = \{\mathit{T} \mid \langle \mathit{Tx}, \mathit{y} \rangle + \langle \mathit{x}, \mathit{Ty} \rangle = 0, \, \mathit{x}, \mathit{y} \in \mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M} \}$$

The general form of such a T is $\begin{pmatrix} A & \beta \\ B & -A^* \end{pmatrix}$, with

$$A \in \text{End}(TM), B \in \Omega^2(M), \beta \in \Lambda^2(TM).$$

Hence: various particular cases. Important case: A = 0, $\beta = 0$.

Identify
$$B$$
 with $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

The orthogonal group $SO(TM \oplus T^*M, \langle, \rangle)$ has Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{so}(\mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M}, \langle, \rangle) = \{\mathit{T} \mid \langle \mathit{Tx}, \mathit{y} \rangle + \langle \mathit{x}, \mathit{Ty} \rangle = 0, \, \mathit{x}, \mathit{y} \in \mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M} \}$$

The general form of such a T is $\begin{pmatrix} A & \beta \\ B & -A^* \end{pmatrix}$, with

$$A \in \text{End}(TM), B \in \Omega^2(M), \beta \in \Lambda^2(TM).$$

Hence: various particular cases. Important case: A = 0, $\beta = 0$.

Identify B with $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Its exponential

$$e^B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ B & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SO(TM \oplus T^*M, \langle, \rangle)$$

is called a *B*-field transform (or simply *B*-transform).

The orthogonal group $SO(TM \oplus T^*M, \langle, \rangle)$ has Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{so}(\mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M}, \langle, \rangle) = \{\mathit{T} \mid \langle \mathit{Tx}, \mathit{y} \rangle + \langle \mathit{x}, \mathit{Ty} \rangle = 0, \, \mathit{x}, \mathit{y} \in \mathit{TM} \oplus \mathit{T}^*\mathit{M} \}$$

The general form of such a T is $\begin{pmatrix} A & \beta \\ B & -A^* \end{pmatrix}$, with

 $A \in \text{End}(TM), B \in \Omega^2(M), \beta \in \Lambda^2(TM).$ Hence: various particular cases. Important case: A = 0, $\beta = 0$.

Identify B with $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Its exponential
$$\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e^B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ B & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SO(TM \oplus T^*M, \langle, \rangle)$$

is called a B-field transform (or simply B-transform). It acts by:

$$e^{B}(X + \alpha) = X + \alpha + \iota_{X}B.$$

Integrability

An almost Dirac structure *L* is a Dirac structure if it is closed under the Courant bracket:

$$[X + \alpha, Y + \beta]_C = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_X \beta - \iota_Y \alpha)$$

Integrability

An almost Dirac structure *L* is a Dirac structure if it is closed under the Courant bracket:

$$[X + \alpha, Y + \beta]_C = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_X \beta - \iota_Y \alpha)$$

Twisted version (Severa & Weinstein): add $\iota_X\iota_YH$, where $H\in\Omega^3(M)$, dH=0.

Integrability

An almost Dirac structure *L* is a Dirac structure if it is closed under the Courant bracket:

$$[X + \alpha, Y + \beta]_C = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_X \beta - \iota_Y \alpha)$$

Twisted version (Severa & Weinstein): add $\iota_X \iota_Y H$, where $H \in \Omega^3(M)$, dH = 0.

Invariance under B-transforms

$$[e^{B}(X+\alpha), e^{B}(Y+\beta)]_{C} = [X+\alpha, Y+\beta]_{C} \Leftrightarrow dB = 0$$

Integrability

An almost Dirac structure *L* is a Dirac structure if it is closed under the Courant bracket:

$$[X + \alpha, Y + \beta]_C = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_X \beta - \iota_Y \alpha)$$

Twisted version (Severa & Weinstein): add $\iota_X \iota_Y H$, where $H \in \Omega^3(M)$, dH = 0.

Invariance under *B*-transforms

$$[e^{B}(X+\alpha),e^{B}(Y+\beta)]_{C}=[X+\alpha,Y+\beta]_{C}\Leftrightarrow dB=0$$

Conversely:

Aut(
$$TM \oplus T^*M$$
, \langle , \rangle , $[,]_C$) = diffeo \circ B – transform, dB = 0.

Integrability

An almost Dirac structure *L* is a Dirac structure if it is closed under the Courant bracket:

$$[X + \alpha, Y + \beta]_{C} = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_{X} \beta - \mathcal{L}_{Y} \alpha - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_{X} \beta - \iota_{Y} \alpha)$$

Twisted version (Severa & Weinstein): add $\iota_X \iota_Y H$, where $H \in \Omega^3(M)$, dH = 0.

Invariance under B-transforms

$$[e^{B}(X + \alpha), e^{B}(Y + \beta)]_{C} = [X + \alpha, Y + \beta]_{C} \Leftrightarrow dB = 0$$

Conversely:

Aut(
$$TM \oplus T^*M$$
, \langle , \rangle , $[,]_C$) = diffeo \circ B – transform, dB = 0.

- Does not satisfy the Jacobi identity.
- Reduces to usual bracket on vector fields.
- Vanishes on forms.

Regard d, X and α as endomorphisms of the real algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(M)$:

$$X(\eta) = \iota_X \eta, \quad \alpha(\eta) = \alpha \wedge \eta$$

Regard d, X and α as endomorphisms of the real algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(M)$:

$$X(\eta) = \iota_X \eta, \quad \alpha(\eta) = \alpha \wedge \eta$$

If [,] denotes the graded commutator in $\operatorname{End}(\Omega^{\bullet}(M))$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_X \alpha = [[X, d], \alpha], \quad \iota_X d\alpha = -[[\alpha, d], X],$$

Regard d, X and α as endomorphisms of the real algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(M)$:

$$X(\eta) = \iota_X \eta, \quad \alpha(\eta) = \alpha \wedge \eta$$

If [,] denotes the graded commutator in $\operatorname{End}(\Omega^{\bullet}(M))$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{X} \alpha = [[X, d], \alpha], \quad \iota_{X} d\alpha = -[[\alpha, d], X],$$

and hence

$$[[X + \alpha, d], Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \iota_Y d\alpha.$$

Regard d, X and α as endomorphisms of the real algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(M)$:

$$X(\eta) = \iota_X \eta, \quad \alpha(\eta) = \alpha \wedge \eta$$

If [,] denotes the graded commutator in $\operatorname{End}(\Omega^{\bullet}(M))$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{X} \alpha = [[X, d], \alpha], \quad \iota_{X} d\alpha = -[[\alpha, d], X],$$

and hence

$$[[X + \alpha, d], Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \iota_Y d\alpha.$$

Thus

$$[X + \alpha, Y + \beta]_C = \text{sk}([[X + \alpha, d], Y + \beta])$$

It is a *derived bracket*. See, *e.g.* Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Lett. Math. Phys. 691(2004).

Regard d, X and α as endomorphisms of the real algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(M)$:

$$X(\eta) = \iota_X \eta, \quad \alpha(\eta) = \alpha \wedge \eta$$

If [,] denotes the graded commutator in $\operatorname{End}(\Omega^{\bullet}(M))$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{X} \alpha = [[X, d], \alpha], \quad \iota_{X} d\alpha = -[[\alpha, d], X],$$

and hence

$$[[X + \alpha, d], Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \iota_Y d\alpha.$$

Thus

$$[X + \alpha, Y + \beta]_C = \text{sk}([[X + \alpha, d], Y + \beta])$$

It is a *derived bracket*. See, *e.g.* Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Lett. Math. Phys. 691(2004).

Remark $\iota_{[X,X]} = [[\iota_X, d], \iota_Y]$, hence the usual bracket is itself a derived bracket.

Let $\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to TM$, $^*\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to T^*M$.

Let $\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to TM$, $^*\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to T^*M$.

 $x \in M$ is *regular* if, in some neighbourhood, $\pi(L)$ and $\pi(L)$ are subbundles. Work only around regular points (dense set).

Let $\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to TM$, $^*\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to T^*M$.

 $x \in M$ is *regular* if, in some neighbourhood, $\pi(L)$ and $\pi(L)$ are subbundles. Work only around regular points (dense set).

Let $E = \pi(L)$. Then $L \cap T^*M = Ann(E)$, $E^* = T^*M/Ann(E)$.

Let $\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to TM$, $^*\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to T^*M$.

 $x \in M$ is *regular* if, in some neighbourhood, $\pi(L)$ and $\pi(L)$ are subbundles. Work only around regular points (dense set).

Let
$$E = \pi(L)$$
. Then $L \cap T^*M = \operatorname{Ann}(E)$, $E^* = T^*M/\operatorname{Ann}(E)$. There exists a unique $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$ such that:

$$L = L(E, \varepsilon) := \{X + \alpha \mid X \in E, \alpha|_{E} = \varepsilon(X)\}$$

Indeed $\varepsilon(X) := {}^*\pi(\pi^{-1}(X) \cap L)$.

Let $\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to TM$, $^*\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to T^*M$.

 $x \in M$ is *regular* if, in some neighbourhood, $\pi(L)$ and $\pi(L)$ are subbundles. Work only around regular points (dense set).

Let
$$E = \pi(L)$$
. Then $L \cap T^*M = \operatorname{Ann}(E)$, $E^* = T^*M/\operatorname{Ann}(E)$. There exists a unique $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$ such that:

$$L = L(E, \varepsilon) := \{X + \alpha \mid X \in E, \alpha|_{E} = \varepsilon(X)\}$$

Indeed $\varepsilon(X) := {}^*\pi(\pi^{-1}(X) \cap L)$.

Conversely, for any subbundle $E \subseteq TM$ and $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$, $L(E, \varepsilon)$ is almost Dirac.

Let $\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to TM$, $^*\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to T^*M$.

 $x \in M$ is *regular* if, in some neighbourhood, $\pi(L)$ and $\pi(L)$ are subbundles. Work only around regular points (dense set).

Let
$$E = \pi(L)$$
. Then $L \cap T^*M = \operatorname{Ann}(E)$, $E^* = T^*M/\operatorname{Ann}(E)$. There exists a unique $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$ such that:

$$L = L(E, \varepsilon) := \{ X + \alpha \, | \, X \in E, \alpha |_{E} = \varepsilon(X) \}$$

Indeed $\varepsilon(X) := {}^*\pi(\pi^{-1}(X) \cap L)$.

Conversely, for any subbundle $E \subseteq TM$ and $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$, $L(E, \varepsilon)$ is almost Dirac.

$$TM \cap L = \ker \varepsilon, *\pi(L) = \operatorname{Ann}(TM \cap L).$$

Let $\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to TM$, $^*\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to T^*M$.

 $x \in M$ is *regular* if, in some neighbourhood, $\pi(L)$ and $\pi(L)$ are subbundles. Work only around regular points (dense set).

Let
$$E = \pi(L)$$
. Then $L \cap T^*M = \operatorname{Ann}(E)$, $E^* = T^*M/\operatorname{Ann}(E)$.

There exists a unique $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$ such that:

$$L = L(E, \varepsilon) := \{X + \alpha \mid X \in E, \alpha|_{E} = \varepsilon(X)\}$$

Indeed $\varepsilon(X) := {}^*\pi(\pi^{-1}(X) \cap L)$.

Conversely, for any subbundle $E \subseteq TM$ and $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$, $L(E, \varepsilon)$ is almost Dirac.

$$TM \cap L = \ker \varepsilon$$
, * $\pi(L) = \operatorname{Ann}(TM \cap L)$.

L is integrable if and only if *E* is integrable and $d_E \varepsilon = 0$.

Let $\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to TM$, $^*\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to T^*M$.

 $x \in M$ is *regular* if, in some neighbourhood, $\pi(L)$ and $\pi(L)$ are subbundles. Work only around regular points (dense set).

Let $E = \pi(L)$. Then $L \cap T^*M = \operatorname{Ann}(E)$, $E^* = T^*M/\operatorname{Ann}(E)$. There exists a unique $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$ such that:

$$L = L(E, \varepsilon) := \{X + \alpha \mid X \in E, \alpha|_{E} = \varepsilon(X)\}$$

Indeed $\varepsilon(X) := {}^*\pi(\pi^{-1}(X) \cap L)$.

Conversely, for any subbundle $E \subseteq TM$ and $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$, $L(E, \varepsilon)$ is almost Dirac.

$$TM \cap L = \ker \varepsilon$$
, * $\pi(L) = \operatorname{Ann}(TM \cap L)$.

L is integrable if and only if *E* is integrable and $d_E \varepsilon = 0$.

If $\pi(L) = TM$, the Dirac structure is uniquely identified by the closed 2-form ε .

Let $\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to TM$, $^*\pi: TM \oplus T^*M \to T^*M$.

 $x \in M$ is *regular* if, in some neighbourhood, $\pi(L)$ and $\pi(L)$ are subbundles. Work only around regular points (dense set).

Let $E = \pi(L)$. Then $L \cap T^*M = \operatorname{Ann}(E)$, $E^* = T^*M/\operatorname{Ann}(E)$. There exists a unique $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$ such that:

$$L = L(E, \varepsilon) := \{X + \alpha \, | \, X \in E, \alpha|_E = \varepsilon(X)\}$$

Indeed $\varepsilon(X) := {}^*\pi(\pi^{-1}(X) \cap L)$.

Conversely, for any subbundle $E \subseteq TM$ and $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E)$, $L(E, \varepsilon)$ is almost Dirac.

$$TM \cap L = \ker \varepsilon$$
, * $\pi(L) = \operatorname{Ann}(TM \cap L)$.

L is integrable if and only if *E* is integrable and $d_E \varepsilon = 0$.

If $\pi(L) = TM$, the Dirac structure is uniquely identified by the closed 2-form ε . Hence, it is a pre-symplectic structure.

Similarly, if $F := {}^*\pi(L)$, there exists a unique bivector $\eta \in \Lambda^2(TM)$ s.t.

$$L = L(F, \eta) := \{X + \alpha \mid \alpha \in F, X|_F = \eta(\alpha)\}$$

Similarly, if $F := {}^*\pi(L)$, there exists a unique bivector $\eta \in \Lambda^2(TM)$ s.t.

$$L = L(F, \eta) := \{X + \alpha \mid \alpha \in F, X|_F = \eta(\alpha)\}$$

If $\pi(L) = T^*M$, the Dirac structure is uniquely identified by the bivector η .

Description in terms of TM or T^*M

Similarly, if $F := {}^*\pi(L)$, there exists a unique bivector $\eta \in \Lambda^2(TM)$ s.t.

$$L = L(F, \eta) := \{X + \alpha \mid \alpha \in F, X|_F = \eta(\alpha)\}$$

If $\pi(L) = T^*M$, the Dirac structure is uniquely identified by the bivector η . Hence, it is a Poisson structure.

Description in terms of TM or T^*M

Similarly, if $F := {}^*\pi(L)$, there exists a unique bivector $\eta \in \Lambda^2(TM)$ s.t.

$$L = L(F, \eta) := \{X + \alpha \mid \alpha \in F, X|_F = \eta(\alpha)\}$$

If $\pi(L) = T^*M$, the Dirac structure is uniquely identified by the bivector n. Hence, it is a Poisson structure.

Effect of a B-transform

$$e^{B}(L(E,\varepsilon)) = L(E,\varepsilon + i^{*}B), \quad i:E \hookrightarrow TM$$

Description in terms of TM or T^*M

Similarly, if $F := {}^*\pi(L)$, there exists a unique bivector $\eta \in \Lambda^2(TM)$ s.t.

$$L = L(F, \eta) := \{X + \alpha \mid \alpha \in F, X|_F = \eta(\alpha)\}$$

If $\pi(L) = T^*M$, the Dirac structure is uniquely identified by the bivector η . Hence, it is a Poisson structure.

Effect of a B-transform

 $e^{B}(L(E,\varepsilon)) = L(E,\varepsilon + i^*B), \quad i: E \hookrightarrow TM$

Locally, there exist B s.t. $e^{B}(L) = E \oplus Ann E$.

Hence: Dirac geometry generalises foliations ($\varepsilon = 0$).

$$Cl(TM \oplus T^*M)$$
 acts on $\Lambda^{\bullet}(T^*M)$ by:
 $(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = \iota_X \xi + \alpha \wedge \xi.$

Cl(
$$TM \oplus T^*M$$
) acts on $\Lambda^{\bullet}(T^*M)$ by:
 $(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = \iota_X \xi + \alpha \wedge \xi$.

Pure spinors

For $\xi \neq 0$, let $L_{\xi} := \{X + \alpha \mid (X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = 0\}$ (the null-space).

Cl(
$$TM \oplus T^*M$$
) acts on $\Lambda^{\bullet}(T^*M)$ by:
 $(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = \iota_X \xi + \alpha \wedge \xi$.

Pure spinors

For $\xi \neq 0$, let $L_{\xi} := \{X + \alpha \mid (X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = 0\}$ (the null-space). L_{ξ} is isotropic.

Cl(
$$TM \oplus T^*M$$
) acts on $\Lambda^{\bullet}(T^*M)$ by:
 $(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = \iota_X \xi + \alpha \wedge \xi$.

Pure spinors

For $\xi \neq 0$, let $L_{\xi} := \{X + \alpha \mid (X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = 0\}$ (the null-space). L_{ξ} is isotropic.

 ξ is *pure* if L_{ξ} is maximally isotropic.

Cl(
$$TM \oplus T^*M$$
) acts on $\Lambda^{\bullet}(T^*M)$ by:
 $(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = \iota_X \xi + \alpha \wedge \xi$.

Pure spinors

For $\xi \neq 0$, let $L_{\xi} := \{X + \alpha \mid (X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = 0\}$ (the null-space). L_{ξ} is isotropic.

 ξ is *pure* if L_{ξ} is maximally isotropic.

Examples

$$\xi = 1 \in \Lambda^{\bullet}(T^*M)$$
 is pure: $L_1 = L(TM, 0)$.

Cl(
$$TM \oplus T^*M$$
) acts on $\Lambda^{\bullet}(T^*M)$ by:
 $(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = \iota_X \xi + \alpha \wedge \xi.$

Pure spinors

For $\xi \neq 0$, let $L_{\xi} := \{X + \alpha \mid (X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = 0\}$ (the null-space). L_{ξ} is isotropic.

 ξ is *pure* if L_{ξ} is maximally isotropic.

Examples

$$\xi = 1 \in \Lambda^{\bullet}(T^*M)$$
 is pure: $L_1 = L(TM, 0)$. $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ is pure: $L_{\theta} = L(\ker \theta, 0)$.

Cl(
$$TM \oplus T^*M$$
) acts on $\Lambda^{\bullet}(T^*M)$ by:
 $(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = \iota_X \xi + \alpha \wedge \xi.$

Pure spinors

For $\xi \neq 0$, let $L_{\xi} := \{X + \alpha \mid (X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = 0\}$ (the null-space). L_{ξ} is isotropic.

 ξ is *pure* if L_{ξ} is maximally isotropic.

Examples

 $\xi = 1 \in \Lambda^{\bullet}(T^*M)$ is pure: $L_1 = L(TM, 0)$.

 $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ is pure: $L_{\theta} = L(\ker \theta, 0)$.

From $L_{g \cdot \xi} = \rho(g) L_{\xi}$, $g \in \text{Spin}(TM \oplus T^*M)$, follows that:

 $\xi = e^B \wedge 1 = e^B$, $e^B \theta$ are again pure.

Inverse construction

Let $L = L(E, 0) = E \oplus Ann(E)$, codim E = k.

Inverse construction

Let $L = L(E, 0) = E \oplus \text{Ann}(E)$, codim E = k. Let $\xi = \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_k \in \text{det}(\text{Ann}(E)) \setminus \{0\}$, arbitrary. Then: $(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = 0 \Leftrightarrow X \in E, \alpha \in \text{Ann}(E)$.

Inverse construction

Let $L = L(E, 0) = E \oplus Ann(E)$, codim E = k.

Let $\xi = \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_k \in \det(\operatorname{Ann}(E)) \setminus \{0\}$, arbitrary. Then:

 $(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = 0 \Leftrightarrow X \in E, \alpha \in Ann(E).$

Hence: L(E,0) is associated to the pure spinor line $\langle \det(\operatorname{Ann}(E)) \rangle \subset \Omega^k(M)$.

Inverse construction

Let $L = L(E, 0) = E \oplus Ann(E)$, codim E = k.

Let $\xi = \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_k \in \det(Ann(E)) \setminus \{0\}$, arbitrary. Then:

$$(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = 0 \Leftrightarrow X \in E, \alpha \in Ann(E).$$

Hence: L(E, 0) is associated to the pure spinor line $\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dx}{dx} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dx}{dx} dx$

$$\langle \det(\operatorname{Ann}(E) \rangle \subset \Omega^k(M).$$

But: any $L(E,\varepsilon) = e^B L(E,0)$ with $\iota^* B = \varepsilon$.

Inverse construction

Let $L = L(E, 0) = E \oplus Ann(E)$, codim E = k.

Let $\xi = \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_k \in \det(Ann(E)) \setminus \{0\}$, arbitrary. Then:

 $(X + \alpha) \cdot \xi = 0 \Leftrightarrow X \in E, \alpha \in Ann(E).$

Hence: L(E,0) is associated to the pure spinor line $\langle \det(\operatorname{Ann}(E)) \rangle \subset \Omega^k(M)$.

But: any $L(E,\varepsilon) = e^B L(E,0)$ with $\iota^* B = \varepsilon$.

Any $L(E,\varepsilon)$ is described by a pure spinor line $e^B \det(\operatorname{Ann}(E))$, $\iota^* B = \varepsilon$.

Integrability in spinorial language (Gualtieri)

An almost Dirac structure L corresponding to the pure spinor line U is Courant involutive \Leftrightarrow for any $\rho \in U$ there exists $X + \alpha \in (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$d\rho = (X + \alpha) \cdot \rho.$$

The linear setting

For a linear $\varphi: (V, L_V) \rightarrow (W, L_W)$, set:

$$\varphi_*(L_V) = \{ \varphi(X) + \alpha \mid X + \varphi^* \alpha \in L_V \}$$

$$\varphi^*(L_W) = \{X + \varphi^*\alpha \mid \varphi(X) + \alpha \in L_W\}.$$

The linear setting

For a linear $\varphi: (V, L_V) \to (W, L_W)$, set:

$$\varphi_*(L_V) = \{ \varphi(X) + \alpha \mid X + \varphi^* \alpha \in L_V \}$$

$$\varphi^*(L_W) = \{ X + \varphi^* \alpha \mid \varphi(X) + \alpha \in L_W \}.$$

One verifies $\varphi_*(L_V)$, resp. $\varphi^*(L_W)$, is linear almost Dirac structure on V, resp. W.

The linear setting

For a linear $\varphi: (V, L_V) \to (W, L_W)$, set:

$$\varphi_*(L_V) = \{ \varphi(X) + \alpha \mid X + \varphi^* \alpha \in L_V \}$$

$$\varphi^*(L_W) = \{ X + \varphi^* \alpha \mid \varphi(X) + \alpha \in L_W \}.$$

One verifies $\varphi_*(L_V)$, resp. $\varphi^*(L_W)$, is linear almost Dirac structure on V, resp. W. Moreover:

$$\varphi_*(L(E_V,\varepsilon)) = L(f(E_V \cap \ker d\varphi)^{\perp_{\varepsilon}}, \hat{\varepsilon}),$$

$$\varphi^*(L(E_W,\mu)) = L(f^{-1}(E_W), f^*\mu),$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}$ is characterized by $f^*(\hat{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon$ on $(E_V \cap \ker \varphi)^{\perp_{\varepsilon}}$.

The linear setting

For a linear $\varphi: (V, L_V) \to (W, L_W)$, set:

$$\varphi_*(L_V) = \{ \varphi(X) + \alpha \mid X + \varphi^* \alpha \in L_V \}$$

$$\varphi^*(L_W) = \{ X + \varphi^* \alpha \mid \varphi(X) + \alpha \in L_W \}.$$

One verifies $\varphi_*(L_V)$, resp. $\varphi^*(L_W)$, is linear almost Dirac structure on V, resp. W. Moreover:

$$\varphi_*(L(E_V,\varepsilon)) = L(f(E_V \cap \ker d\varphi)^{\perp_{\varepsilon}}, \hat{\varepsilon}),$$

$$\varphi^*(L(E_W,\mu)) = L(f^{-1}(E_W), f^*\mu)),$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}$ is characterized by $f^*(\hat{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon$ on $(E_V \cap \ker \varphi)^{\perp_{\varepsilon}}$.

The smooth setting

Let $\varphi: (M, L_M) \to (N, L_N)$ be smooth, between almost Dirac manifolds, mapping regular points into regular points.

The linear setting

For a linear $\varphi: (V, L_V) \rightarrow (W, L_W)$, set:

$$\varphi_*(L_V) = \{ \varphi(X) + \alpha \mid X + \varphi^* \alpha \in L_V \}$$

$$\varphi^*(L_W) = \{ X + \varphi^* \alpha \mid \varphi(X) + \alpha \in L_W \}.$$

One verifies $\varphi_*(L_V)$, resp. $\varphi^*(L_W)$, is linear almost Dirac structure on V, resp. W. Moreover:

$$\varphi_*(L(E_V,\varepsilon)) = L(f(E_V \cap \ker d\varphi)^{\perp_{\varepsilon}}, \hat{\varepsilon}),$$

$$\varphi^*(L(E_W,\mu)) = L(f^{-1}(E_W), f^*\mu)),$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}$ is characterized by $f^*(\hat{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon$ on $(E_V \cap \ker \varphi)^{\perp_{\varepsilon}}$.

The smooth setting

Let $\varphi: (M, L_M) \to (N, L_N)$ be smooth, between almost Dirac manifolds, mapping regular points into regular points.

(i) If L_M is integrable, $\varphi_*(L_M) = L_N$ and φ surjective, then L_N is integrable.

The linear setting

For a linear $\varphi: (V, L_V) \rightarrow (W, L_W)$, set:

$$\varphi_*(L_V) = \{ \varphi(X) + \alpha \mid X + \varphi^* \alpha \in L_V \}$$

$$\varphi^*(L_W) = \{ X + \varphi^* \alpha \mid \varphi(X) + \alpha \in L_W \}.$$

One verifies $\varphi_*(L_V)$, resp. $\varphi^*(L_W)$, is linear almost Dirac structure on V, resp. W. Moreover:

$$\varphi_*(L(E_V,\varepsilon)) = L(f(E_V \cap \ker d\varphi)^{\perp_{\varepsilon}}, \hat{\varepsilon}),$$

$$\varphi^*(L(E_W,\mu)) = L(f^{-1}(E_W), f^*\mu),$$

reactorized by $f^*(\hat{\varepsilon})$ as an $(E_W \cap \ker \varphi)^{\perp_{\varepsilon}}$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}$ is characterized by $f^*(\hat{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon$ on $(E_V \cap \ker \varphi)^{\perp_{\varepsilon}}$.

The smooth setting

Let $\varphi:(M,L_M)\to (N,L_N)$ be smooth, between almost Dirac manifolds, mapping regular points into regular points.

(i) If L_M is integrable, $\varphi_*(L_M) = L_N$ and φ surjective, then L_N is integrable.

(ii) If L_N is integrable and $\varphi^*(L_N) = L_M$, then L_M is integrable.

Let *L* be a Dirac structure on *M* such that $\pi(L)$ is a subbundle of T^*M .

Then, locally, there exist submersions φ on M such that $\varphi_*(L)$ is a Poisson structure and $L = \varphi^*(\varphi_*(L))$.

These submersions are (germ) unique, up to Poisson diffeomorphisms of their codomains.

Let *L* be a Dirac structure on *M* such that $\pi(L)$ is a subbundle of T^*M .

Then, locally, there exist submersions φ on M such that $\varphi_*(L)$ is a Poisson structure and $L = \varphi^*(\varphi_*(L))$.

These submersions are (germ) unique, up to Poisson diffeomorphisms of their codomains.

Sketch of proof

 $TM\cap L$ is a subbundle of TM, tangent to a foliation as L is integrable. Let $F:=^*\pi(L)=\mathrm{Ann}(TM\cap L)$ and $L=L(F,\eta),\,\eta\in\Lambda^2F^*$. (Hence F is locally spanned by the differentials of functions which are basic with respect to $TM\cap L$.)

Let *L* be a Dirac structure on *M* such that $\pi(L)$ is a subbundle of T^*M .

Then, locally, there exist submersions φ on M such that $\varphi_*(L)$ is a Poisson structure and $L = \varphi^*(\varphi_*(L))$.

These submersions are (germ) unique, up to Poisson diffeomorphisms of their codomains.

Sketch of proof

 $TM\cap L$ is a subbundle of TM, tangent to a foliation as L is integrable. Let $F:=^*\pi(L)=\mathrm{Ann}(TM\cap L)$ and $L=L(F,\eta),\,\eta\in\Lambda^2F^*$. (Hence F is locally spanned by the differentials of functions which are basic with respect to $TM\cap L$.)

For $f,g\in\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$, s.t. $df,dg\in\mathcal{F}$, take X,Y s.t. $X+df,Y+dg\in\mathcal{L}$.

Let *L* be a Dirac structure on *M* such that $\pi(L)$ is a subbundle of T^*M .

Then, locally, there exist submersions φ on M such that $\varphi_*(L)$ is a Poisson structure and $L = \varphi^*(\varphi_*(L))$.

These submersions are (germ) unique, up to Poisson diffeomorphisms of their codomains.

Sketch of proof

 $TM \cap L$ is a subbundle of TM, tangent to a foliation as L is integrable. Let $F := {}^*\pi(L) = \operatorname{Ann}(TM \cap L)$ and $L = L(F, \eta), \, \eta \in \Lambda^2 F^*$. (Hence F is locally spanned by the differentials of functions which are basic with respect to $TM \cap L$.)

For $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$, s.t. $df, dg \in F$, take X, Y s.t. $X + df, Y + dg \in L$. Then $\eta(df, dg) = X(g) = -Y(f)$ and $[X + df, Y + dg]_{\mathcal{C}} = [X, Y] + d(\eta(df, dg))$, hence $\eta(df, dg)$ is basic w.r.t. $TM \cap L$.

In terms of maximally isotropic subbundle

$$L \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$
, s.t. $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}$ (of 0 real index).

In terms of maximally isotropic subbundle

 $L \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, s.t. $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}$ (of 0 real index). More particular than a complex Dirac structure.

In terms of maximally isotropic subbundle

 $L \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, s.t. $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}$ (of 0 real index). More particular than a complex Dirac structure.

Equivalent tensorial definition

Existence of $\mathcal{J} \in \operatorname{End}(TM \oplus T^*M)$ with

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = -1 \text{ and } \mathcal{J}^* = -\overset{\cdot}{\mathcal{J}} \ (\Leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{J} \cdot, \overset{\cdot}{\mathcal{J}} \cdot \rangle = \langle, \rangle).$$

In terms of maximally isotropic subbundle

 $L \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, s.t. $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}$ (of 0 real index). More particular than a complex Dirac structure.

Equivalent tensorial definition

Existence of $\mathcal{J} \in \operatorname{End}(TM \oplus T^*M)$ with

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = -1$$
 and $\mathcal{J}^* = -\mathcal{J}$ ($\Leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{J} \cdot, \mathcal{J} \cdot \rangle = \langle , \rangle$).

Hence: Reduction from O(2n, 2n) to U(n, n).

In terms of maximally isotropic subbundle

 $L \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, s.t. $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}$ (of 0 real index). More particular than a complex Dirac structure.

Equivalent tensorial definition

Existence of $\mathcal{J} \in \operatorname{End}(TM \oplus T^*M)$ with

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = -1$$
 and $\mathcal{J}^* = -\mathcal{J}$ ($\Leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{J} \cdot, \mathcal{J} \cdot \rangle = \langle, \rangle$).

Hence: Reduction from O(2n, 2n) to U(n, n).

$$L = (TM \oplus T^*M)^{1,0}$$
, *i.e.* the i-eigenspace of \mathcal{J} .

In terms of maximally isotropic subbundle

 $L \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, s.t. $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}$ (of 0 real index). More particular than a complex Dirac structure.

Equivalent tensorial definition

Existence of $\mathcal{J} \in \operatorname{End}(TM \oplus T^*M)$ with

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = -1$$
 and $\mathcal{J}^* = -\mathcal{J}$ ($\Leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{J} \cdot, \mathcal{J} \cdot \rangle = \langle, \rangle$).

Hence: Reduction from O(2n, 2n) to U(n, n).

$$L = (TM \oplus T^*M)^{1,0}$$
, *i.e.* the i-eigenspace of \mathcal{J} .

In terms of $L(E,\varepsilon)$

Now $E = \pi(L) \subset TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and ε is complex.

 $L(E,\varepsilon)$ is maximally isotropic. It has 0 real index \Leftrightarrow

- $E + \overline{E} = TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and
- $\operatorname{Im}(\varepsilon|_{F \cap \overline{F}})$ is non-degenerate.

Complexify the spinorial description of almost Dirac structures and take care about the additional conditions.

Complexify the spinorial description of almost Dirac structures and take care about the additional conditions.

Every maximally isotropic $L \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to a pure spinor line generated by a $\xi_L = e^{B+\mathrm{i}\omega}\Omega$.

$$L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \omega^{n-k} \wedge \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} \neq 0.$$

Courant integrability

Definition

Generalized complex structure=Courant integrable almost generalized complex structure.

Courant integrability

Definition

Generalized complex structure=Courant integrable almost generalized complex structure.

Generalized complex structure = complex Dirac structure with $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}.$

Courant integrability

Definition

Generalized complex structure=Courant integrable almost generalized complex structure.

Generalized complex structure = complex Dirac structure with $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}.$

Properties around regular points

 $E = \pi(L)$ is involutive and $E \cap \overline{E} = \Delta \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with integrable Δ . The transverse to Δ has complex structure. Conversely:

Courant integrability

Definition

Generalized complex structure=Courant integrable almost generalized complex structure.

Generalized complex structure = complex Dirac structure with $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}.$

Properties around regular points

 $E = \pi(L)$ is involutive and $E \cap \overline{E} = \Delta \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with integrable Δ . The transverse to Δ has complex structure. Conversely:

Theorem (Gualtieri)

Let *E* be a subbundle in $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$, $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E^*)$. $L(E,\varepsilon)$ is a generalized complex structure \Leftrightarrow

- E is involutive and
- $d_{E}\varepsilon = 0$.

Courant integrability

Definition

Generalized complex structure=Courant integrable almost generalized complex structure.

Generalized complex structure = complex Dirac structure with $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}.$

Properties around regular points

 $E = \pi(L)$ is involutive and $E \cap \overline{E} = \Delta \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with integrable Δ . The transverse to Δ has complex structure. Conversely:

Theorem (Gualtieri)

Let *E* be a subbundle in $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$, $\varepsilon \in \Omega^2(E^*)$. $L(E,\varepsilon)$ is a generalized complex structure \Leftrightarrow

- E is involutive and
 - $d_F \varepsilon = 0$.

Hence: Δ has symplectic leaves.

The extreme cases

Symplectic:
$$\mathcal{J}_{\omega}=egin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^{-1} \ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

$$\begin{split} & \text{Symplectic: } \mathcal{J}_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^{-1} \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \\ & \text{Almost complex: } \mathcal{J}_{J} = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^{*} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

The extreme cases

Symplectic:
$$\mathcal{J}_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^{-1} \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Almost complex:
$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}$$
.

By B-field transformations: a.g.c. of symplectic or complex type.

The extreme cases

Symplectic:
$$\mathcal{J}_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^{-1} \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Almost complex:
$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}$$
.

By *B*-field transformations: a.g.c. of symplectic or complex type.

Products $\mathcal{J}_1 \oplus \mathcal{J}_2$, e.g. on $(M, J) \times (N, \omega)$.

The extreme cases

Symplectic:
$$\mathcal{J}_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^{-1} \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Almost complex:
$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}$$
.

By *B*-field transformations: a.g.c. of symplectic or complex type.

Products $\mathcal{J}_1 \oplus \mathcal{J}_2$, e.g. on $(M, J) \times (N, \omega)$.

Mixed examples

M hyperkähler with hypercomplex structure (I, J, K). Set:

$$\mathcal{J}_t = \sin t \mathcal{J}_I + \cos t \mathcal{J}_{\omega_J}, \quad t \in [0, \pi/2].$$

The extreme cases

Symplectic:
$$\mathcal{J}_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^{-1} \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Almost complex:
$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}$$
.

By *B*-field transformations: a.g.c. of symplectic or complex type.

Products $\mathcal{J}_1 \oplus \mathcal{J}_2$, e.g. on $(M, J) \times (N, \omega)$.

Mixed examples

M hyperkähler with hypercomplex structure (I, J, K). Set:

$$\mathcal{J}_t = \sin t \mathcal{J}_I + \cos t \mathcal{J}_{\omega_J}, \quad t \in [0, \pi/2].$$

Nilmanifolds (Cavalcanti & Gualtieri)

5 classes of 6-dimensional nilmanifolds with no complex or symplectic structures.

All of them admit generalized complex structures.

Generalized Darboux theorem (Gualtieri)

Locally, up to diffeomorphisms and B-field transforms, a generalized complex manifold is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}^k, J_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \omega_0)$.

Generalized Darboux theorem (Gualtieri)

Locally, up to diffeomorphisms and B-field transforms, a generalized complex manifold is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}^k, J_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \omega_0)$.

Here J_0 , resp. ω_0 is the standard complex structure, resp. symplectic form, of \mathbb{C}^k , resp. \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} .

Generalized Darboux theorem (Gualtieri)

Locally, up to diffeomorphisms and *B*-field transforms, a generalized complex manifold is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}^k, J_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \omega_0)$.

Here J_0 , resp. ω_0 is the standard complex structure, resp. symplectic form, of \mathbb{C}^k , resp. \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} .

Sketch of proof

Write $L = L(E, \varepsilon)$ with E involutive and $d_E \varepsilon = 0$.

Generalized Darboux theorem (Gualtieri)

Locally, up to diffeomorphisms and B-field transforms, a generalized complex manifold is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}^k, J_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \omega_0)$.

Here J_0 , resp. ω_0 is the standard complex structure, resp. symplectic form, of \mathbb{C}^k , resp. \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} .

Sketch of proof

Write $L = L(E, \varepsilon)$ with E involutive and $d_{E}\varepsilon = 0$.

Locally, the transverse to the foliation $E \cap \overline{E}$ has complex structure.

Hence, the local description of M is $\{\partial x_1, \dots \partial x_{2n-2k}, \partial z_1, \dots, \partial z_k\}$.

Generalized Darboux theorem (Gualtieri)

Locally, up to diffeomorphisms and B-field transforms, a generalized complex manifold is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}^k, J_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \omega_0)$.

Here J_0 , resp. ω_0 is the standard complex structure, resp. symplectic form, of \mathbb{C}^k , resp. \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} .

Sketch of proof

Write $L=L(E,\varepsilon)$ with E involutive and $d_{E}\varepsilon=0$. Locally, the transverse to the foliation $E\cap\overline{E}$ has complex structure. Hence, the local description of M is $\{\partial x_{1},\ldots\partial x_{2n-2k},\partial z_{1},\ldots,\partial z_{k}\}$. Choose $B+\mathrm{i}\omega$ s.t. $\iota^{*}(B+\mathrm{i}\omega)=\varepsilon$ to write the generator of the pure spinor bundle as $\rho=e^{B+\mathrm{i}\omega}\Omega$ with $\Omega=dz_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{k}$ and $\omega^{n-k}\wedge\Omega\wedge\overline{\Omega}\neq0$.

Generalized Darboux theorem (Gualtieri)

Locally, up to diffeomorphisms and B-field transforms, a generalized complex manifold is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}^k, J_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \omega_0)$.

Here J_0 , resp. ω_0 is the standard complex structure, resp. symplectic form, of \mathbb{C}^k , resp. \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} .

Sketch of proof

Write $L=L(E,\varepsilon)$ with E involutive and $d_E\varepsilon=0$. Locally, the transverse to the foliation $E\cap\overline{E}$ has complex structure. Hence, the local description of M is $\{\partial x_1,\dots\partial x_{2n-2k},\partial z_1,\dots,\partial z_k\}$. Choose $B+\mathrm{i}\omega$ s.t. $\iota^*(B+\mathrm{i}\omega)=\varepsilon$ to write the generator of the pure spinor bundle as $\rho=e^{B+\mathrm{i}\omega}\Omega$ with $\Omega=dz_1\wedge\dots\wedge dz_k$ and $\omega^{n-k}\wedge\Omega\wedge\overline{\Omega}\neq 0$. $d\rho=0$.

Generalized Darboux theorem (Gualtieri)

Locally, up to diffeomorphisms and B-field transforms, a generalized complex manifold is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}^k, J_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \omega_0)$.

Here J_0 , resp. ω_0 is the standard complex structure, resp. symplectic form, of \mathbb{C}^k , resp. \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} .

Sketch of proof

Write $L=L(E,\varepsilon)$ with E involutive and $d_{E}\varepsilon=0$. Locally, the transverse to the foliation $E\cap\overline{E}$ has complex structure. Hence, the local description of M is $\{\partial x_{1},\ldots\partial x_{2n-2k},\partial z_{1},\ldots,\partial z_{k}\}$. Choose $B+\mathrm{i}\omega$ s.t. $\iota^{*}(B+\mathrm{i}\omega)=\varepsilon$ to write the generator of the pure spinor bundle as $\rho=e^{B+\mathrm{i}\omega}\Omega$ with $\Omega=dz_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{k}$ and $\omega^{n-k}\wedge\Omega\wedge\overline{\Omega}\neq0$. $d\rho=0$.

Use Darboux-Weinstein to obtain the local diffeo φ , preserving the leaves, and s.t. $\varphi^*\omega|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}\times\{pt.\}}=\omega_0$.

Generalized Darboux theorem (Gualtieri)

Locally, up to diffeomorphisms and B-field transforms, a generalized complex manifold is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}^k, J_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \omega_0)$.

Here J_0 , resp. ω_0 is the standard complex structure, resp. symplectic form, of \mathbb{C}^k , resp. \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} .

Sketch of proof

Write $L = L(E, \varepsilon)$ with E involutive and $d_E \varepsilon = 0$.

Locally, the transverse to the foliation $E \cap \overline{E}$ has complex structure. Hence, the local description of M is $\{\partial x_1, \dots \partial x_{2n-2k}, \partial z_1, \dots, \partial z_k\}$.

Choose $B+\mathrm{i}\omega$ s.t. $\iota^*(B+\mathrm{i}\omega)=\varepsilon$ to write the generator of the pure spinor bundle as $\rho=e^{B+\mathrm{i}\omega}\Omega$ with $\Omega=dz_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_k$ and $\omega^{n-k}\wedge\Omega\wedge\overline{\Omega}\neq 0$. $d\rho=0$.

Use Darboux-Weinstein to obtain the local diffeo φ , preserving the leaves, and s.t. $\varphi^*\omega|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}\times\{pt.\}}=\omega_0$.

 Ω is not affected by φ as z_i are constant along leaves.

Generalized Darboux theorem (Gualtieri)

Locally, up to diffeomorphisms and B-field transforms, a generalized complex manifold is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}^k, J_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \omega_0)$.

Here J_0 , resp. ω_0 is the standard complex structure, resp. symplectic form, of \mathbb{C}^k , resp. \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} .

Sketch of proof

Write $L = L(E, \varepsilon)$ with E involutive and $d_E \varepsilon = 0$.

Locally, the transverse to the foliation $E \cap \overline{E}$ has complex structure. Hence, the local description of M is $\{\partial x_1, \dots \partial x_{2n-2k}, \partial z_1, \dots, \partial z_k\}$.

Choose $B + i\omega$ s.t. $\iota^*(B + i\omega) = \varepsilon$ to write the generator of the pure spinor bundle as $\rho = e^{B+i\omega}\Omega$ with $\Omega = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_k$ and $\omega^{n-k} \wedge \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} \neq 0$. $d\rho = 0$.

Use Darboux-Weinstein to obtain the local diffeo φ , preserving the leaves, and s.t. $\varphi^*\omega|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}\times\{pt.\}}=\omega_0$.

 Ω is not affected by φ as z_i are constant along leaves.

L is now represented by $\varphi^* \rho = e^A \Omega$ with $A = \varphi^* B + i \varphi^* \omega$.

Generalized Darboux theorem (Gualtieri)

Locally, up to diffeomorphisms and B-field transforms, a generalized complex manifold is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C}^k, J_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \omega_0)$.

Here J_0 , resp. ω_0 is the standard complex structure, resp. symplectic form, of \mathbb{C}^k , resp. \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} .

Sketch of proof

Write $L = L(E, \varepsilon)$ with E involutive and $d_E \varepsilon = 0$.

Locally, the transverse to the foliation $E \cap \overline{E}$ has complex structure. Hence, the local description of M is $\{\partial x_1, \ldots \partial x_{2n-2k}, \partial z_1, \ldots, \partial z_k\}$.

Choose $B+\mathrm{i}\omega$ s.t. $\iota^*(B+\mathrm{i}\omega)=\varepsilon$ to write the generator of the pure spinor bundle as $\rho=e^{B+\mathrm{i}\omega}\Omega$ with $\Omega=dz_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_k$ and $\omega^{n-k}\wedge\Omega\wedge\overline{\Omega}\neq 0$. $d\rho=0$.

Use Darboux-Weinstein to obtain the local diffeo φ , preserving the leaves, and s.t. $\varphi^*\omega|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}\times\{pt.\}}=\omega_0$.

 Ω is not affected by φ as z_i are constant along leaves. L is now represented by $\varphi^* \rho = e^A \Omega$ with $A = \varphi^* B + i \varphi^* \omega$.

Modify A such that $\varphi^* \rho = e^{B' + i\omega_0} \Omega$ with B' real closed 2-form.

Normal form

Theorem

Locally, up to *B*-field transforms, \mathcal{J} can be put into the *normal* form:

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \eta \\ -\omega & -\mathbf{F}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where

- F is an integrable f-structure:
 - $F^3 + F = 0$ (corresponds to J_0 extended with 0 on the transverse).
 - Accordingly, $TM = T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M \oplus T^0M$.
 - integrability means that $T^{1,0}M$ and $T^{0,1}M \oplus T^0M$ are closed under Lie bracket.
- $\omega|_{T^0M}$ is symplectic, $\omega|_{T^{1,0}M\oplus T^{0,1}M}=0$.
- $\eta := \pi \circ \mathcal{J}|_{T^*M}$ is a Poisson bivector.

Normal form

Theorem

Locally, up to *B*-field transforms, \mathcal{J} can be put into the *normal* form:

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \eta \\ -\omega & -\mathbf{F}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where

- F is an integrable f-structure:
 - $F^3 + F = 0$ (corresponds to J_0 extended with 0 on the transverse).
 - Accordingly, $TM = T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M \oplus T^0M$.
 - integrability means that $T^{1,0}M$ and $T^{0,1}M \oplus T^0M$ are closed under Lie bracket.
- $\omega|_{T^0M}$ is symplectic, $\omega|_{T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M} = 0$.
- $\eta := \pi \circ \mathcal{J}|_{T^*M}$ is a Poisson bivector.

f-structures will appear canonically on generalized Kähler manifolds.

The difficulty is to handle both covariant and contravariant aspect without restricting only to diffeomorphisms.

The difficulty is to handle both covariant and contravariant aspect without restricting only to diffeomorphisms.

The most considered is:

Crainic's definition

Requires

• $\varphi_*(\eta_M) = \eta_N$, hence φ is Poisson.

The difficulty is to handle both covariant and contravariant aspect without restricting only to diffeomorphisms.

The most considered is:

The most considered

Crainic's definition

Requires

- $\varphi_*(\eta_M) = \eta_N$, hence φ is Poisson.
- $\varphi^*(\omega_M) = \omega_N$, hence φ is symplectic, and

The difficulty is to handle both covariant and contravariant aspect without restricting only to diffeomorphisms.

The most considered is:

Crainic's definition

Requires

- $\varphi_*(\eta_M) = \eta_N$, hence φ is Poisson.
- $\varphi^*(\omega_M) = \omega_N$, hence φ is symplectic, and
- $f_* \circ F_M = F_N \circ f_*$, hence φ is f-linear.

The difficulty is to handle both covariant and contravariant aspect without restricting only to diffeomorphisms.

The most considered is:

Crainic's definition

Requires

- $\varphi_*(\eta_M) = \eta_N$, hence φ is Poisson.
- $\varphi^*(\omega_M) = \omega_N$, hence φ is symplectic, and
- $f_* \circ F_M = F_N \circ f_*$, hence φ is f-linear.

Example

Let (M, J) be a complex manifold, $B \in \Omega^2(M)$.

The difficulty is to handle both covariant and contravariant aspect without restricting only to diffeomorphisms.

The most considered is:

Crainic's definition

Requires

- $\varphi_*(\eta_M) = \eta_N$, hence φ is Poisson.
- $\varphi^*(\omega_M) = \omega_N$, hence φ is symplectic, and
- $f_* \circ F_M = F_N \circ f_*$, hence φ is f-linear.

Example

Let (M, J) be a complex manifold, $B \in \Omega^2(M)$.

$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $e^B \mathcal{J}_J e^{-B} = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ -BJ - J^*B & J^* \end{pmatrix}$.

The difficulty is to handle both covariant and contravariant aspect without restricting only to diffeomorphisms.

The most considered is:

Crainic's definition

Requires

- $\varphi_*(\eta_M) = \eta_N$, hence φ is Poisson.
- $\varphi^*(\omega_M) = \omega_N$, hence φ is symplectic, and
- $f_* \circ F_M = F_N \circ f_*$, hence φ is f-linear.

Example

Let (M, J) be a complex manifold, $B \in \Omega^2(M)$.

$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $e^B \mathcal{J}_J e^{-B} = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ -BJ - J^*B & J^* \end{pmatrix}$.

 $1_M: (M, \mathcal{J}_J) \to (M, e^B \mathcal{J}_J e^{-B})$ satisfies the conditions if and only if $BJ = -J^*B$, *i.e.* B is of type (1,1).

The difficulty is to handle both covariant and contravariant aspect without restricting only to diffeomorphisms.

The most considered is:

Crainic's definition

Requires

- $\varphi_*(\eta_M) = \eta_N$, hence φ is Poisson.
- $\varphi^*(\omega_M) = \omega_N$, hence φ is symplectic, and
- $f_* \circ F_M = F_N \circ f_*$, hence φ is f-linear.

Example

Let (M, J) be a complex manifold, $B \in \Omega^2(M)$.

$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $e^B \mathcal{J}_J e^{-B} = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ -BJ - J^*B & J^* \end{pmatrix}$.

 $1_M: (M, \mathcal{J}_J) \to (M, e^B \mathcal{J}_J e^{-B})$ satisfies the conditions if and only if $BJ = -J^*B$, *i.e.* B is of type (1,1).

Hence: the definition is not invariant to *B*-transforms.

The difficulty is to handle both covariant and contravariant aspect without restricting only to diffeomorphisms.

The most considered is:

Crainic's definition

Requires

- $\varphi_*(\eta_M) = \eta_N$, hence φ is Poisson.
- $\varphi^*(\omega_M) = \omega_N$, hence φ is symplectic, and
- $f_* \circ F_M = F_N \circ f_*$, hence φ is f-linear.

Example

Let (M, J) be a complex manifold, $B \in \Omega^2(M)$.

$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } e^B \mathcal{J}_J e^{-B} = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ -BJ - J^*B & J^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

 $1_M: (M, \mathcal{J}_J) \rightarrow (M, e^B \mathcal{J}_J e^{-B})$ satisfies the conditions if and only if $BJ = -J^*B$, *i.e.* B is of type (1,1).

Hence: the definition is not invariant to *B*-transforms.

No satisfactory definition up to now.

To be continued...

To be continued... by

To be continued...

by

Gil Cavalcanti