

# Commutative Algebra

## lecture 18: discrete valuation rings

Misha Verbitsky

<http://verbit.ru/IMPA/CA-2022/>

IMPA, sala 232

February 14, 2022

## Discrete valuations

**DEFINITION:** Let  $K$  be a field. A **discrete valuation** on  $K$  is a surjective map  $\nu : (K \setminus 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\nu(xy) = \nu(x) + \nu(y)$  and  $\nu(x + y) \geq \min(\nu(x), \nu(y))$ . The **valuation ring** of  $\nu$  is  $\{x \in K \mid \nu(x) \geq 0\}$ .

**EXAMPLE:** Let  $R$  be a factorial ring, and  $p \in R$  a prime. Given  $x \in k(R)$ , write the prime decomposition  $x = \prod_i p_i^{\alpha_i}$  (here  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and **can be negative**, because  $x$  belongs to the field of fractions). Take  $\nu_{p_1}(x) := \alpha_1$ . This function is called  **$p$ -adic valuation**. The corresponding valuation ring is all fractions  $\frac{a}{b}$  where  $a$  and  $b \in R$  are coprime and  $b$  is not divisible by  $p$ .

## Discrete valuation rings

**DEFINITION:** A ring  $A$  without zero divisors is a **discrete valuation ring** if  $k(A)$  admits a discrete valuation such that  $A$  is its valuation ring.

**Proposition 1:** **A discrete valuation ring is local, Noetherian, integrally closed, all its ideals are principal, and the Krull dimension of  $A$  is 1.**

**Proof. Step 1:** Clearly, all  $x \in A$  which satisfy  $\nu(x) = 0$  are invertible. Therefore, the ideal  $\mathfrak{p} := \{x \in A \mid \nu(x) > 0\}$  is maximal. Since **all elements which don't belong to  $\mathfrak{p}$  are invertible**,  $A$  is local.

**Step 2:** Let  $p \in A$  be an element which satisfies  $\nu(p) = 1$ . Then for any  $x \in A$  such that  $k = \nu(x)$ , the element  $x_1 := xp^{-k}$  belongs to  $A$  and is invertible. Then,  $x = p^k x_1$ . We obtain that any ideal which contains  $p^k$  contains all elements  $x \in A$  with  $\nu(x) \geq k$ .

**Step 3:** Let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal, and  $k := \min_{x \in I} \nu(x)$ . By Step 2,  $I = (p^k)$ , hence  $I$  is a principal ideal. The only chain of ideals which exists in  $A$  is  $(p^{k_1}) \subsetneq (p^{k_2}) \subsetneq (p^{k_3}) \subsetneq \dots$  with  $k_1 > k_2 > k_3 > \dots$  and it terminates, because all  $k_i$  are positive integers. Therefore,  $A$  is Noetherian. It is integrally closed because it is factorial. ■

## Many ways to characterize a discrete valuation ring

**THEOREM:** Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring without zero divisors,  $\mathfrak{m}$  its maximal ideal, and  $k := A/\mathfrak{m}$  its residue field. Assume that  $A$  has Krull dimension 1. **Then the following are equivalent.**

- (i)  $A$  is a discrete valuation ring.
- (ii)  $A$  is integrally closed.
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a principal ideal.
- (iv)  $\dim_k \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2} = 1$ .
- (v) Every non-zero ideal of  $A$  is a power of  $\mathfrak{m}$ .
- (vi) There exists  $p \in A$  such that every non-zero ideal of  $A$  is generated by  $p^k$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ .

**I will prove this theorem later today.**

## Fractional ideals: basic properties

**DEFINITION:** Let  $R$  be a ring without zero divisors, and  $k(R)$  its fraction field. A non-zero  $R$ -submodule  $I \subset k(R)$  is called **a fractional ideal** of  $R$  if for some  $a \in R$ , one has  $aI \subset R$ .

**CLAIM:** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring, and  $I \subset k(R)$  an  $R$ -submodule. Then  **$I$  is a fractional ideal if and only if  $I$  is finitely generated.**

**Proof:** Let  $I$  be finitely generated by the collection  $\{\frac{a_i}{b_i} \in k(R)\}$ , with  $a_i, b_i \in R$ . Then  $\prod_i b_i I \subset R$ . Conversely, if  $aI \subset R$ , then  $aI$  is finitely generated, because  $aI$  is an ideal in a Noetherian ring. ■

**DEFINITION:** Let  $I_1, I_2$  be fractional ideals. Then the **set  $I_1 I_2$**  of products of elements in  $I_1, I_2$  is a fractional ideal.

**CLAIM:** For any two fractional ideals  $I_1, I_2$ , **the intersection  $I_1 \cap I_2$  is non-empty**, hence  **$I_1 \cap I_2$  is also a fractional ideal.**

**Proof:** Since  $aI_i \subset R$ , the intersection  $I_i \cap R$  is non-empty. Let  $a_i \in I_i \cap R$ . Then  $a_1 a_2 \in R I_i = I_i$ , hence  $a_1 a_2 \in I_1 \cap I_2$ . ■

## Fractional ideals: $I^{-1}$ and $R(I)$

**CLAIM:** Let  $I \subset R$  be a fractional ideal. Then the sets  $I^{-1} := \{x \in R \mid xI \subset R\}$  and  $R(I) := \{x \in k(R) \mid xI \subset R\}$  are fractional ideals. Moreover, for any fractional ideal  $I_1, I_2$ , **the  $R$ -module  $J := \{x \in k(R) \mid xI_1 \subset I_2\}$  is a fractional ideal.**

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $a, b \in R \setminus 0$  be elements such that  $aI_1 \subset R$  and  $b \in I_2 \cap R$ . Then  $abI_1 \subset bR \subset I_2$ , hence  $J$  is non-empty.

**Step 2:** For any non-zero elements  $c, d \in R$  such that  $c \in I_1$ ,  $dI_2 \subset R$ , and any  $x \in J$ , we have  $cdx = d(xc) \subset dI_2 \subset R$ , hence  $cdx \in R$ . ■

**Claim 1:** For any fractional ideal  $I$ , **one has  $R(I) \supset R \supset II^{-1}$ . If, in addition,  $I \subset R$ , then  $I^{-1} \supset R$ .**

**Proof:**  $R(I) \supset R$  because  $I$  is  $R$ -module and  $R \supset II^{-1}$  because  $aI \subset R$  for any  $a \in I^{-1}$ . Finally,  $I \subset R$  implies that any  $x \in R$  satisfies  $xI \subset R$ , hence  $x \in I^{-1}$ . ■

## Integrally closed local rings of Krull dimension 1

**Proposition 2:** Let  $A$  be an integrally closed Noetherian local ring of Krull dimension 1. **Then  $A$  is a discrete valuation ring.**

**REMARK:** The converse statement follows from Proposition 1.

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $I \subset k(R)$  be a fractional ideal, and  $R(I) \subset k(R)$  the ring of all  $x \in k(R)$  such that  $xI \subset I$ . **Since  $R(I) \subset \text{Hom}_R(I, I)$ , it is finitely generated as  $R$ -module, hence  $R(I) = R$ .**

**Step 2:** Since all ideals of  $R$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$  and contain 0 and  $\dim R = 1$ , the only maximal chain of prime ideals in  $R$  is  $0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$ . Therefore,  **$R$  has only two prime ideals,  $\mathfrak{m}$  and 0.**

**Step 3:** Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$ . We are going to show that the fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^{-1} \supset R$  is not equal to  $R$ . Consider the set  $\mathfrak{G}$  of all ideals  $I \subset R$  such that  $I^{-1} \supsetneq R$ ; this set includes all principal ideals, hence it is non-empty. An increasing chain of ideals in  $R$  terminates. Let  $J \in \mathfrak{G}$  be the maximal (in the sense of inclusion) ideal which satisfies  $J^{-1} \supsetneq R$ . By Step 2, **to show that  $\mathfrak{m}^{-1} \neq R$ , it suffices to prove that  $J$  is prime.**

## Integrally closed local rings of Krull dimension 1 (2)

**Step 4:** By absurd, assume that  $x, y \in R \setminus J$ , and  $xy \in J$ . Take  $z \in J^{-1} \setminus R$ . Then  $zy(xR + J) \subset R$ , because  $z(xyR) \in zJ \subset R$  and  $yzJ \ni yR \subset R$ . Since the ideal  $J_1 := xR + J \notin \mathfrak{S}$ ,  $zyJ_1 \subset R$  implies  $zy \in R$ . Then  $z(yR + J) \subset R$ , giving  $(yR + J)^{-1} \neq R$ , a contradiction. **We proved that  $\mathfrak{m}^{-1} \not\supseteq R$ .**

**Step 5:** Claim 1 implies that  $R \supset \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}^{-1} \supset \mathfrak{m}R = \mathfrak{m}$ . However,  $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}^{-1} = \mathfrak{m}$  implies  $\mathfrak{m}^{-1} \subset R(\mathfrak{m}) = R$  (Step 1), **hence  $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}^{-1} = R$ .**

**Step 6:** By Krull lemma,  $\bigcap_i \mathfrak{m}^i = 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Choose  $p \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Then  $p\mathfrak{m}^{-1} \subset R$ . Since  $p \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^{-1}\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}$ , this implies  $p\mathfrak{m}^{-1} \not\subset \mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $p\mathfrak{m}^{-1} \subset R$  **is a submodule not contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , hence  $p\mathfrak{m}^{-1} = R$  and  $pR = \mathfrak{m}$ .**

**Step 7:** Since  $\bigcap_i \mathfrak{m}^i = 0$ , any  $x \in R$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{m}^d \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$  for some  $d$ . Then  $p^{-d}x \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$  is invertible, hence  $R$  is factorial, and every element of  $k(R)$  is represented as  $x = p^d u$ , where  $u \in R$  is invertible. Define  $\nu : k(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by  $\nu(x) = d$ . **Then  $R = \nu^{-1}(0)$ , and  $R$  is discrete valuation ring. ■**



## Residue fields of discrete valuation rings

**Claim 2** Let  $R$  be a discrete valuation ring, and  $\mathfrak{m}$  its maximal ideal, and  $k := R/\mathfrak{m}$  its residue field. **Then  $\mathfrak{m}^d/\mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$  is 1-dimensional as a  $k$ -vector space for all  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ .**

**Proof:** Let  $p$  be the generator of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and  $L_{p^d}(x) := p^d x$ . Then  $L_{p^d} : R \mapsto \mathfrak{m}^d$  is an isomorphism which maps  $\mathfrak{m}$  to  $\mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$ . ■

**REMARK:** For some discrete valuation rings, **the map  $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} = k$  has a section  $k \rightarrow R$** . This is true, for example, for the ring  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  localized in  $(t)$ ; in this case,  $k = \mathbb{C}$  **(prove this)**. For other rings, such section does not exist; for example, consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  localized in  $(p)$ ; in this case, the residue field is  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  **(prove this)**.

## Many ways to characterise a discrete valuation ring

**THEOREM:** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local ring without zero divisors,  $\mathfrak{m}$  its maximal ideal, and  $k := R/\mathfrak{m}$  its residue field. Assume that  $R$  has Krull dimension 1. **Then the following are equivalent.**

- (i)  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring.
- (ii)  $R$  is integrally closed.
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a principal ideal.
- (iv)  $\dim_k \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2} = 1$ .
- (v) Every non-zero ideal of  $R$  is a power of  $\mathfrak{m}$ .
- (vi) There exists  $p \in R$  such that every non-zero ideal of  $R$  is generated by  $p^k$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ .

**Proof. Step 1:** (i) implies (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) as follows from Proposition 1. (ii) implies (i) by Proposition 2. (i) implies (iv) by Claim 2.

**Step 2:** Assume (iii), and let  $(p) = \mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}^{-1} = p^{-1}R$  and  $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}^{-1} = R$ , hence for any  $x \in \mathfrak{m}^k \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$ , the element  $p^{-k}x \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$  is invertible. This implies that  $R$  is factorial, hence integrally closed. We proved that (iii) implies (ii) and (i). Also, (v) implies (vi) which implies that  $R$  is factorial. We proved that all (i)-(vi) are equivalent, except (iv).

**Step 3:** Now we prove that (iv) implies (iii). Let  $p$  be a generator of  $\mathfrak{m}$  modulo  $\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Then the natural map  $\frac{(p)}{(p)\mathfrak{m}} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2}$  is surjective. By Nakayama lemma, for any morphism  $\varphi : M \longrightarrow N$  of finitely generated modules over a local ring, surjectivity of the map  $\varphi : \frac{M}{\mathfrak{m}M} \longrightarrow \frac{N}{\mathfrak{m}N}$  implies the surjectivity of  $\varphi$ , hence  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a principal ideal. ■