# **Commutative Algebra**

lecture 21: Smooth points in algebraic varieties

Misha Verbitsky

http://verbit.ru/IMPA/CA-2022/

IMPA, sala 232

February 21, 2022

# **Topological manifolds**

**REMARK:** Manifolds can be smooth (of a given "differentiability class"), real analytic, or topological (continuous).

**DEFINITION: Topological manifold** is a topological space which is locally homeomorphic to an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

EXERCISE: Show that a group of homeomorphisms acts on a connected manifold transitively.

**DEFINITION:** Such a topological space is called **homogeneous**.

**Open problem:** (Busemann) **Characterize manifolds among other homogeneous topological spaces.** 

## Banach fixed point theorem

**LEMMA:** (Banach fixed point theorem/ "contraction principle") Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed subset, and  $f : U \longrightarrow U$  a map which satisfies |f(x) - f(y)| < k|x - y|, where k < 1 is a real number (such a map is called "contraction"). Then f has a fixed point, which is unique.

**Proof. Step 1:** Uniqueness is clear because for two fixed points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = |x_1 - x_2| < k|x_1 - x_2|.$ 

**Step 2:** Existence follows because the sequence  $x_0 = x, x_1 = f(x), x_2 = f(f(x)), ...$  satisfies  $|x_i - x_{i+1}| \leq k |x_{i-1} - x_i|$  which gives  $|x_n - x_{n+1}| < k^n a$ , where a = |x - f(x)|. Then  $|x_n - x_{n+m}| < \sum_{i=0}^m k^{n+i}a \leq k^n \frac{1}{1-k}a$ , hence  $\{x_i\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, and converges to a limit y, which is unique.

**Step 3:** f(y) is a limit of a sequence  $f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots f(x_i), \dots$  which gives y = f(y).

**EXERCISE:** Find a counterexample to this statement when U is open and not closed.

M. Verbitsky

## **Differentiable maps**

**DEFINITION:** Let  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open subsets. An affine map is a sum of linear map  $\alpha$  and a constant map. Its linear part is  $\alpha$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open subsets. A map  $f : U \longrightarrow V$  is called **differentiable** if it can be approximated by an affine one at any point: that is, for any  $x \in U$ , there exists an affine map  $\varphi_x : \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\lim_{x_1 \to x} \frac{|f(x_1) - \varphi(x_1)|}{|x - x_1|} = 0$$

**DEFINITION: Differential**, or **derivative** of a differentiable map f:  $U \longrightarrow V$  is the linear part of  $\varphi$ .

**DEFINITION: Diffeomorphism** is a differentiable map f which is invertible, and such that  $f^{-1}$  is also differentiable. A map  $f : U \longrightarrow V$  is a **local diffeomorphism** if each point  $x \in U$  has an open neighbourhood  $U_1 \ni x$  such that  $f : U_1 \longrightarrow f(U_1)$  is a diffeomorphism.

**REMARK: Chain rule** says that a composition of two differentiable functions is differentiable, and its differential is composition of their differentials.

**REMARK:** Chain rule implies that **differential of a diffeomorphism is invertible.** Converse is also true (in a sense):

4

M. Verbitsky

### **Inverse function theorem**

**THEOREM:** Let  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open subsets, and  $f : U \longrightarrow V$  a differentiable map. Suppose that the differential of f is everywhere invertible. Then f is locally a diffeomorphism.

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $x \in U$ . Without restricting generality, we may assume that x = 0,  $U = B_r(0)$  is an open ball of radius r, and in U one has  $\frac{|f(x_1) - \varphi(x_1)|}{|x - x_1|} < 1/2$ . Replacing f with  $-f \circ (D_0 f)^{-1}$ , where  $D_0 f$  is differential of f in 0, we may assume also that  $D_0 f = -$  Id.

**Step 2:** In these assumptions, |f(x) + x| < 1/2|x|, hence  $\psi_s(x) := f(x) + x - s$  is a contraction. This map maps  $\overline{B}_{r/2}(0)$  to itself when s < r/4. By Banach fixed point theorem,  $\psi_s(x) = x$  has a unique fixed point  $x_s$ , which is obtained as a solution of the equation f(x) + x - s = x, or, equivalently, f(x) = s. Denote the map  $s \longrightarrow x_s$  by g.

**Step 3:** By construction, fg = Id. Applying the chain rule again, we find that g is also differentiable.

**REMARK:** Usually, diffeomorphisms are assumed **smooth** (infinitely differentiable). **A smooth version of this result is left as an exercise.** 

Commutative Algebra, lecture 21

M. Verbitsky

## **Critical points and critical values**

**DEFINITION:** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open subsets, and  $f : U \longrightarrow V$  a smooth function. A point  $x \in U$  is a **critical point** of f if the differential  $D_x f : \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is not surjective. **Critical value** is an image of a critical point. **Regular value** is a point of V which is not a critical value.

#### THEOREM: (Sard's lemma)

The set of critical values of f is of measure 0 in V.

**REMARK:** We leave this theorem without a proof. We won't use it.

**DEFINITION:** A subset  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an *m*-dimensional smooth submanifold if for each  $x \in M$  there exists an open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  neighbourhood  $U \ni x$  and a diffeomorphism from U to an open ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  which maps  $U \cap M$  to an intersection  $B \cap \mathbb{R}^m$  of B and an *m*-dimensional linear subspace.

**REMARK:** Clearly, a smooth submanifold is a (topological) manifold.

**THEOREM:** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open subsets,  $f : U \longrightarrow V$  a smooth function, and  $y \in V$  a regular value of f. Then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a smooth submanifold of U.

#### Preimage of a regular value

**THEOREM:** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open subsets,  $f : U \longrightarrow V$  a smooth function, and  $y \in V$  a regular value of f. Then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a smooth submanifold of U.

**Proof:** Let  $x \in U$  be a point in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . It suffices to prove that x has a neighbourhood diffeomorphic to an open ball B, such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  corresponds to a linear subspace in B. Without restricting generality, we may assume that y = 0 and x = 0.

The differential  $D_0 f$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is surjective. Let  $L := \ker D_0 f$ , and let A:  $\mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow L$  be any map which acts on L as identity. Then  $D_0 f \oplus A$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \oplus L$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Therefore,  $\Psi$ :  $f \oplus A$  mapping  $x_1$  to  $f(x_1) \oplus A(x_1)$  is a diffeomorphism in a neighbourhood of x. However,  $f^{-1}(0) = \Psi^{-1}(0 \oplus L)$ . We have constructed a diffeomorphism of a neighbourhood of x with an open ball mapping  $f^{-1}(0)$  to  $0 \oplus L$ .

## **Regular maps and solutions of a set of equations**

**COROLLARY:** Let  $f_1, ..., f_m$  be smooth functions on  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the differentials  $df_i$  are linearly independent everywhere. Then the set of solutions of equations  $f_1(z) = f_2(z) = ... = f_m(z) = 0$  is a smooth (n-m)dimensional submanifold in U.

**DEFINITION: Smooth hypersurface** is a closed codimension 1 submani-fold.

**EXERCISE:** Prove that a smooth hypersurface in U is always obtained as a solution of an equation f(z) = 0, where 0 is a regular value of a function  $f: U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

# **Abstract manifolds: charts and atlases**

**DEFINITION:** An open cover of a topological space X is a family of open sets  $\{U_i\}$  such that  $\bigcup_i U_i = X$ . A cover  $\{V_i\}$  is a refinement of a cover  $\{U_i\}$  if every  $V_i$  is contained in some  $U_i$ .

**REMARK:** Any two covers  $\{U_i\}$ ,  $\{V_i\}$  of a topological space admit a common refinement  $\{U_i \cap V_j\}$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let M be a topological manifold. A cover  $\{U_i\}$  of M is an **atlas** if for every  $U_i$ , we have a map  $\varphi_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}^n$  giving a homeomorphism of  $U_i$  with an open subset in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In this case, one defines the **transition maps** 

$$\Phi_{ij}:\varphi_i(U_i\cap U_j)\to\varphi_j(U_i\cap U_j)$$

**DEFINITION:** A function  $\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of differentiability class  $C^i$  if it is *i* times differentiable, and its *i*-th derivative is continuous. A map  $\mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is of differentiability class  $C^i$  if all its coordinate components are. A smooth function/map is a function/map of class  $C^{\infty} = \bigcap C^i$ .

**DEFINITION:** An atlas is **smooth** if all transition maps are smooth (of class  $C^{\infty}$ , i.e., infinitely differentiable), **smooth of class**  $C^{i}$  if all transition functions are of differentiability class  $C^{i}$ , and **real analytic** if all transition maps admit a Taylor expansion at each point.

# **Covering maps**

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\varphi : \tilde{M} \longrightarrow M$  be a continuous map of manifolds (or CW complexes). We say that  $\varphi$  is a covering if  $\varphi$  is locally a homeomorphism, and for any  $x \in M$  there exists a neighbourhood  $U \ni x$  such that is a disconnected union of several manifolds  $U_i$  such that the restriction  $\varphi|_{U_i}$  is a homeomorphism.

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group continuously acting on a locally compact topological space M. This action is called **properly discontinuous** if the space of orbits of  $\Gamma$  is Hausdorff.

**THEOREM:** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group acting on M freely and properly discontinuously. Then  $M \longrightarrow M/\Gamma$  is a covering.

This result is left as an exercise.

#### **Finite coverings**

**EXAMPLE:** A map  $x \longrightarrow nx$  in a circle  $S^1$  is a covering.

**EXAMPLE:** For any non-degenerate integer matrix  $A \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ , the corresponding map of a torus  $T^n$  is a covering.

**CLAIM:** Let  $\varphi : \tilde{M} \longrightarrow M$  be a covering, with M connected. Then the number of preimages  $|\varphi^{-1}(m)|$  is constant in M.

**Proof:** Since  $\varphi^{-1}(U)$  is a disconnected union of several copies of U, this number is a locally constant function of m.

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\varphi : \tilde{M} \longrightarrow M$  be a covering, with M connected. The number  $|\varphi^{-1}(m)|$  is called **degree** of a map  $\varphi$ .

**CLAIM:** Any covering  $\varphi : \tilde{M} \longrightarrow M$  with  $\tilde{M}$  compact has finite degree.

**Proof:** Take U in such a way that  $\varphi^{-1}(U)$  is a disconnected union of several copies of U, and let  $x \in U$ . Then  $\varphi^{-1}(x)$  is discrete, and since  $\tilde{M}$  is compact, any discrete subset of  $\tilde{M}$  is finite.

# Finite projection maps (reminder)

**PROPOSITION:** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an irreducible affine subvariety,  $z_i$  coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $z_1, ..., z_k$  transcendence basis on k(X). Then, for all  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$  outside of the zero-set of a certain non-zero homogeneous polynomial, the function  $z_n \in \mathcal{O}_X$  is a root of a monic polynomial in the variables  $z'_1, ..., z'_k$ , where  $z'_i := z_i + \lambda_i z_n$ .

**Proof:** Lecture 15. ■

Corollary 1: (Noether's normalization lemma, first version) Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an irreducible affine subvariety,  $z_i$  coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $z_1, ..., z_k$  transcendence basis on k(X). Then there exists a linear coordinate change  $z'_i := z_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \lambda_{j+k} z_{j+k}$ , such that the projection  $\Pi_k : X \longrightarrow C^k$  to the first k arguments is a finite, dominant morphism.

**Proof:** Previous proposition shows that the projection  $P_n$ :  $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  is finite onto its image  $X_1$  (after some linear adjustment). Using induction by n, we can assume that  $P_k$ :  $X_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$  is also finite, hence the composition map is finite (composition of finite morphisms is always finite, as we have seen).

# **Multi-valued functions**

**DEFINITION:** Define a complex manifold as a manifold equipped with an atlas  $\{U_i\}$ , with each open subset  $U_i \subset M$  identified with an open ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and complex analytic transition functions.

**DEFINITION:** Define a complex variety as a subvariety  $Z \subset M$  in a complex manifold given by a collection of complex analytic equation.

**DEFINITION: Multi-valued function** on M is a closed, irreducible complex subvariety  $Z \subset M \times \mathbb{C}$  such that the projection  $Z \longrightarrow M$  is locally a diffeomorphism outside of a closed, nowhere dense subset in Z. The set Z is called **the graph of the multi-valued function**.

**EXAMPLE: Logarithm is a multi-valued function** on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Indeed, let Z be the graph of exponent  $y = e^x$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . The projection to x expresses all branches of logarithm  $x = \log y$  as functions of y.

**EXAMPLE:**  $y \rightarrow \sqrt{y}$  is a multi-valued function. Indeed, the graph of  $y = x^2$  projected to x gives both branches of  $\sqrt{y}$ .

## Multi-valued functions and branched covers

**THEOREM:** Consider a subvariety  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  given by a monic polynomial equation P(t) = 0, with  $P(t) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[t]$ . Assume that P(t) is irreducible. Then Z is a graph of a multi-valued function. Moreover, the projection of Z to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (to the first *n* coordinates) is a diffeomorphism at (z, t) if and only if  $P'(z) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Step 1: We shall represent points of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  by pairs (z,t), with  $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$ . Let  $\pi : Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be the standard projection along t. By the inverse function theorem,  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  is a smooth submanifold in a neighbourhood of any point  $(z,t) \in Z$ , with  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  whenever the differential  $dP : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is surjective (non-zero) at (z,t).

**Step 2:** This implies that Z is smooth outside of an algebraic subset of all  $(z,t) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  such that  $dP(z,t)(\xi,\tau) = 0$ , for all  $\xi \in \langle d/dz_1, ..., d/dz_n \rangle$ , and  $\tau \in \langle d/dt \rangle$ . Let  $P(z,t) = t^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t^i a_i(z)$ . Then

$$dP(z,t) = kt^{k-1}dt + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t^i da_i(z) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} it^{i-1}a_i(z)dt.$$

For  $|t| \gg 0$ , the leading term  $nt^{k-1}dt + t^{k-1}da_{k-1}(z)$  dominates the rest, and it is non-zero, because its dt component is non-zero.

### Multi-valued functions and branched covers (2)

**THEOREM:** Consider a subvariety  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  given by a monic polynomial equation P(t) = 0, with  $P(t) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[t]$ . Assume that P(t) is irreducible. Then Z is a graph of a multi-valued function. Moreover, Z is smooth, and the projection of Z to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (to the first n coordinates) is a diffeomorphism at (z,t) if and only if  $P'(z) \neq 0$ .

**Step 3:** Let  $z \in Z$  be a smooth point, and  $(\xi, \tau) \in T_z Z$ . Then  $\pi : W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is invertible whenever W does not contain a vector  $(0, \tau)$ , equivalently, when  $dP(z,t)(0,\tau) \neq 0$ . This is equivalent to  $\frac{dP(z,t)}{dt} \neq 0$ .

**Step 4:** Let  $P'(z,t) := \frac{dP(z,t)}{dt}$ . To prove that Z defines a multi-valued function, it remains to show that P'(z,t) is not identically zero on Z. Since P(z,t) is irreducible, and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}$  is factorial, the ring  $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}}{(P)}$  has no zero divisors. Then Hilbert Nullstellensatz would imply that any function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}$  which vanishes on Z is divisible by P(z,t). Then P'(z,t) does not vanish on Z, because it is polynomial of smaller degree.

# Symmetric polynomials

**DEFINITION: Symmetric polynomial**  $P(z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, ..., z_n]$  is a polynomial which is invariant with respect to the symmetric group  $\Sigma_n$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}[z_1, ..., z_n]$  in a usual way.

**DEFINITION:** Consider the polynomial  $P(z_1, ..., z_n, t) := \prod_{i=1}^n (t + z_i) = \sum e_i t^i$ , with  $e_i \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, ..., z_n]$ . Then  $e_i$  are called **elementary symmetric polynomials** on  $z_1, ..., z_n$ .

**THEOREM:** Every symmetric polynomial on  $z_1, ..., z_n$  can be polynomially expressed through the elementary symmetric polynomials.

**Proof:** Left as an exercise.

Commutative Algebra, lecture 21

M. Verbitsky

#### **Discriminant** of a polynomial

**DEFINITION:** Consider the symmetric polynomial  $\prod_{i \neq j} (z_i - z_j)$ . **Discriminant** of the polynomial  $P(z_1, ..., z_n, t) := \prod_{i=1}^n (t-z_i)$  is  $\prod_{i \neq j} (z_i - z_j)$  considered as a polynomial of its coefficients.

**EXAMPLE:** Discriminant of the quadratic polynomial  $t^2+bt+c$  is  $b^2-4c$ .

**EXAMPLE:** Discriminant of the cubic polynomial  $t^3 + bt^2 + ct + d$  is  $b^2c^2 - 4c^3 - 4b^3d - 27d^2 + 18bcd$ .

CLAIM: A polynomial has no multiple roots if and only if its discriminant is non-zero. ■

**Corollary 1:** Let  $P(t) \in k[t]$  be a polynomial over an algebraically closed field, and D its discriminant. Then the derivative P'(t) does not vanish on all roots of t if and only if  $D \neq 0$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\alpha$  be a root of P. Then  $P(t) = P_{\alpha}(t)(t-\alpha)$ , and  $P'(t) = P'_{\alpha}(t)(t-\alpha) + P_{\alpha}(t)$ . Therefore,  $P'(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $P_{\alpha}(t) = 0$ . This is equivalent to P(t) being divisible by  $(t-\alpha)^2$ .

# **Discriminant and ramified coverings**

**THEOREM:** Consider a subvariety  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  given by an irreducible monic polynomial equation P(t) = 0 of degree k, with  $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, ..., z_n][t]$ , and let  $\pi : Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be the projection to the coordinates  $z_1, ..., z_n$ . Assume that P(t) is irreducible. Denote by D(z) the discriminant of P(t), considered as a polynomial function on  $(z_1, ..., z_n)$ , and let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be the set of all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that  $D(z) \neq 0$ . Then the intersection  $Z \cap \pi^{-1}(U)$  is smooth and the projection  $\pi : Z \cap \pi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U$  is a *d*-sheeted covering.

**Proof. Step 1:** Since P(t) is irreducible, it has no common divisors with P'(t). Since the polynomial ring is factorial, irreducibility implies that the ideal (P(t)) is prime, hence P'(t) does not identically vanish on Z. Therefore U is open and nowhere dense. By Corollary 1, for any  $z \in U$ , the polynomials P(z,t) and P'(z,t) have no common roots. Therefore,  $dP(z,t) \neq 0$  on  $Z \cap \pi^{-1}(U)$ , and the set  $Z = \{(z,t) \mid P(z,t) = 0\}$  is smooth outside of zeros of D(z).

**Step 2:** Let  $z \in Z \cap \pi^{-1}(U)$  and  $(\xi, \tau) \in T_{(z,t)}Z$ . Then  $\pi : T_{(z,t)}Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is invertible whenever  $T_{(z,t)}$  does not contain a vector  $(0, \tau)$ , equivalently, when  $dP(z,t)(0,\tau) \neq 0$ . This is equivalent to  $\frac{dP(z,t)}{dt} \neq 0$ .

**Step 3:** The map  $\pi$ :  $Z \cap \pi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U$  is locally a diffeomorphism, and each point has precisely d preimages. Then it is a covering (prove it).

## Every algebraic variety is a ramified cover

Comparing this with the Noether normalization lemma, we obtain the following theorem.

**COROLLARY:** Let X be an algebraic variety. Then there exists a birational, finite map  $X \longrightarrow Z$ , a divizor  $D \subset Z$ , and a divisor  $D_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , such that  $Z \setminus D$  is a *d*-sheeted covering of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus D_1$ .

**COROLLARY:** Every algebraic variety X over  $\mathbb{C}$  has a smooth point. Moreover, non-smooth points of X are contained in a proper algebraic subvariety of X.

Proof: Indeed, every birational map is an isomorphism outside of a divisor. ■