

# **Multiple complex variables**

## **lecture 1: Cauchy theorem**

Misha Verbitsky

**IMPA, sala 236**

**March 25, 2019**

## Complex structure on vector spaces

**DEFINITION:** Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $I : V \rightarrow V$  an automorphism which satisfies  $I^2 = -\text{Id}_V$ . Such an automorphism is called **a complex structure operator** on  $V$ .

**We extend the action of  $I$  on the tensor spaces  $V \otimes V \otimes \dots \otimes V \otimes V^* \otimes V^* \otimes \dots \otimes V^*$  by multiplicativity:**  $I(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n) = I(v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes I(w_1) \otimes \dots \otimes I(w_n)$ .

### Trivial observations:

1. **The eigenvalues  $\alpha_i$  of  $I$  are  $\pm\sqrt{-1}$ .** Indeed,  $\alpha_i^2 = -1$ .
2.  **$V$  admits an  $I$ -invariant, positive definite scalar product (“metric”)**  $g$ . Take any metric  $g_0$ , and let  $g := g_0 + I(g_0)$ .
3.  **$I$  is orthogonal for such  $g$ .**  
Indeed,  $g(Ix, Iy) = g_0(x, y) + g_0(Ix, Iy) = g(x, y)$ .
4.  **$I$  diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$ .** Indeed, any orthogonal matrix is diagonalizable.
5. **There are as many  $\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenvalues as there are  $-\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenvalues.**



## The Grassmann algebra

**DEFINITION:** Let  $V$  be a vector space. Denote by  $\Lambda^i V$  the space of antisymmetric polylinear  $i$ -forms on  $V^*$ , and let  $\Lambda^* V := \bigoplus \Lambda^i V$ . Denote by  $T^{\otimes i} V$  the algebra of **all** polylinear  $i$ -forms on  $V^*$  (“tensor algebra”), and let  $\text{Alt} : T^{\otimes i} V \rightarrow \Lambda^i V$  be **the antisymmetrization**,

$$\text{Alt}(\eta)(x_1, \dots, x_i) := \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_i} (-1)^{\tilde{\sigma}} \eta(x_{\sigma_1}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i})$$

where  $\Sigma_i$  is the group of permutations, and  $\tilde{\sigma} = 1$  for odd permutations, and 0 for even. Consider the multiplicative operation (“wedge-product”) on  $\Lambda^* V$ , denoted by  $\eta \wedge \nu := \text{Alt}(\eta \otimes \nu)$ . The space  $\Lambda^* V$  with this operation is called **the Grassmann algebra**.

**REMARK: It is an algebra of anti-commutative polynomials.**

### Properties of Grassmann algebra:

1.  $\dim \Lambda^i V := \binom{\dim V}{i}$ ,  $\dim \Lambda^* V = 2^{\dim V}$ .
2.  $\Lambda^*(V \oplus W) = \Lambda^*(V) \otimes \Lambda^*(W)$ .

## The Hodge decomposition in linear algebra

**DEFINITION:** Let  $(V, I)$  be a space equipped with a complex structure. **The Hodge decomposition**  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} := V^{1,0} \oplus V^{0,1}$  is defined in such a way that  $V^{1,0}$  is a  $\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenspace of  $I$ , and  $V^{0,1}$  a  $-\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenspace.

**REMARK:** Let  $V_{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ . The Grassmann algebra of skew-symmetric forms  $\Lambda^n V_{\mathbb{C}} := \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^n V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  admits a decomposition

$$\Lambda^n V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Lambda^p V^{1,0} \otimes \Lambda^q V^{0,1}$$

We denote  $\Lambda^p V^{1,0} \otimes \Lambda^q V^{0,1}$  by  $\Lambda^{p,q} V$ . The resulting decomposition  $\Lambda^n V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Lambda^{p,q} V$  is called **the Hodge decomposition of the Grassmann algebra**.

## De Rham algebra

**DEFINITION:** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. **A bundle of differential  $i$ -forms on  $M$**  is the bundle  $\Lambda^i T^*M$  of antisymmetric  $i$ -forms on  $TM$ . It is denoted  $\Lambda^i M$ .

**REMARK:**  $\Lambda^0 M = C^\infty M$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\otimes_k T^*M \xrightarrow{\Pi} \Lambda^k M$  be the antisymmetrization map,

$$\Pi(\alpha)(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_n} (-1)^\sigma \alpha(x_{\sigma_1}, x_{\sigma_2}, \dots, x_{\sigma_n}).$$

Define **the exterior multiplication**  $\wedge : \Lambda^i M \times \Lambda^j M \longrightarrow \Lambda^{i+j} M$  as  $\alpha \wedge \beta := \Pi(\alpha \otimes \beta)$ , where  $\alpha \otimes \beta$  is a section  $\Lambda^i M \otimes \Lambda^j M \subset \otimes_{i+j} T^*M$  obtained as their tensor multiplication.

**REMARK:** The fiber of the bundle  $\Lambda^* M$  at  $x \in M$  **is identified with the Grassmann algebra  $\Lambda^* T_x^* M$** . This identification is compatible with the Grassmann product.

## De Rham differential

**THEOREM:** There exists a unique operator  $C^\infty M \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^1 M \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^2 M \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^3 M \xrightarrow{d} \dots$  satisfying the following properties

1. On functions,  $d$  is equal to the differential.
2.  $d^2 = 0$
3.  $d(\eta \wedge \xi) = d(\eta) \wedge \xi + (-1)^{\tilde{\eta}} \eta \wedge d(\xi)$ , where  $\tilde{\eta} = 0$  where  $\eta \in \Lambda^{2i} M$  is **an even form**, and  $\eta \in \Lambda^{2i+1} M$  is **odd**.

**DEFINITION:** The operator  $d$  is called **de Rham differential**.

**DEFINITION:** A form  $\eta$  is called **closed** if  $d\eta = 0$ , **exact** if  $\eta \in \text{im } d$ . The group  $\frac{\ker d}{\text{im } d}$  is called **de Rham cohomology** of  $M$ .

## Holomorphic functions

**DEFINITION:** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an open subset, and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a function of class  $C^1$  (differentiable at least once). We say that  $f$  is **holomorphic** if the differential  $df : T_x U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is complex linear at each  $x \in U$ .

**REMARK:** Clearly,  $f$  is holomorphic if and only if  $df \in \Lambda^{1,0}(U)$ , where  $\Lambda^{1,0}(U)$  is the Hodge (1,0)-component of the de Rham algebra.

**Taylor series decomposition for holomorphic functions in 1 variable is implied by the Cauchy formula:** for any holomorphic function  $f$  in disk  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\int_{\partial\Delta} \frac{f(z)dz}{z-a} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} f(a),$$

where  $a \in \Delta$  any point, and  $z$  coordinate on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Indeed, in this case,

$$2\pi\sqrt{-1} f(a) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a^i \int_{\partial\Delta} f(z)(z^{-1})^{i+1},$$

because  $\frac{1}{z-a} = z^{-1} \sum_{i \geq 0} (az^{-1})^i$ .



## Cauchy formula in dimension 1

Let's prove Cauchy formula, using Stokes' theorem. Since the space  $\Lambda^{1,0}\mathbb{C}$  is 1-dimensional,  $df \wedge dz = 0$  for any holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . This gives

**CLAIM:** A function on a disk  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic if and only if the form  $\eta := f dz$  is closed (that is, satisfies  $d\eta = 0$ ). ■

Now, let  $S_\varepsilon$  be a radius  $\varepsilon$  circle around a point  $a \in \Delta$ ,  $\Delta_\varepsilon$  its interior, and  $\Delta_0 := \Delta \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon$ . Stokes' theorem gives

$$0 = \int_{\Delta_0} d\left(\frac{f(z)dz}{z-a}\right) = - \int_{S_\varepsilon} \frac{f(z)dz}{z-a} + \int_{\partial\Delta} \frac{f(z)dz}{z-a},$$

hence Cauchy formula would follow if we show that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_\varepsilon} \frac{f(z)dz}{z-a} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(a)$ .

Assuming for simplicity  $a = 0$  and parametrizing the circle  $S_\varepsilon$  by  $\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_\varepsilon} \frac{f(z)dz}{z} &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t})}{\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t}} d(\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t}) = \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t})}{\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t}} \sqrt{-1} \varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t} dt = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t}) \sqrt{-1} dt \end{aligned}$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0,  $f(\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t})$  tends to  $f(0)$ , and this integral goes to  $2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(0)$ .

## Holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$

**THEOREM:** Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a differentiable function on an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . **Then the following are equivalent.**

- (1)  $f$  is holomorphic.
- (2) For any complex affine line  $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , the restriction  $f|_L : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic as a function of one complex variable.
- (3)  $f$  is expressed as a sum of Taylor series around any point  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in U$ : for all sufficiently small  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ , one has  $f(z_1 + t_1, z_2 + t_2, \dots, z_n + t_n) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} t_1^{i_1} t_2^{i_2} \dots t_n^{i_n}$ .

**Proof:** Equivalence of (1) and (2) is clear, because a restriction of  $\theta \in \Lambda^{1,0}(M)$  to a line is a  $(1,0)$ -form on a line, and, conversely, if  $df$  is of type  $(1,0)$  on each complex line, it is of type  $(1,0)$  on  $TM$ , which is implied by the following linear-algebraic observation.

**LEMMA:** Let  $\eta \in V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$  be a complex-valued linear form on a real vector space  $(V, I)$  equipped with a complex structure  $I$ . **Then  $\eta \in \Lambda^{1,0}(V)$  if and only if its restriction to any  $I$ -invariant 2-dimensional subspace  $L$  belongs to  $\Lambda^{1,0}(L)$ .**

**EXERCISE: Prove it.**

(3) clearly implies (1). (1) implies (3) by Cauchy formula (many variables), proven below.

## Cauchy formula (many variables)

**REMARK:** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an open subset, and  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  complex coordinates. Holomorphicity of  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is equivalent to  $df \in \Lambda^{1,0}(M)$ , which is equivalent to  $df \wedge dz_1 \wedge dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n = 0$ . Denote the form  $dz_1 \wedge dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n$  by  $\Phi$ . We obtain that  **$f$  is holomorphic if and only if the form  $f\Phi$  is closed**

### THEOREM: (Cauchy formula in dimension $n$ )

Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a polydisk (product of disks) of radius 1, and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Delta$  complex numbers. Denote by  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  the product of circles of radius 1 in variables  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ :  $S = S_1(z_1) \times S_1(z_2) \times \dots \times S_1(z_n)$ . Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function in a polydisk. **Then  $\int_S V = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , where**

$$V = \frac{f\Phi}{(z_1 - \alpha_1)(z_2 - \alpha_2) \times \dots \times (z_n - \alpha_n)}.$$

**Proof. Step 1:** Denote by  $Z$  the set  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid z_i = \alpha_i\}$ . The complement of  $Z$  is the set of definition of the closed differential form  $V$ . Let  $S_\varepsilon$  be the product of circles of radius  $\varepsilon$  with center in  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Then  $S, S_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^n \setminus Z$ , and **the tori  $S, S_\varepsilon$  are homotopy equivalent in the domain  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus Z$ , where  $V$  is closed. It remains to show that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_\varepsilon} V = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .**

## Cauchy formula (many variables), part 2

### THEOREM: (Cauchy formula in dimension $n$ )

Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a polydisk (product of disks) of radius 1, and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Delta$  complex numbers. Denote by  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  the product of circles of radius 1 in variables  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ :  $S = S_1(z_1) \times S_1(z_2) \times \dots \times S_1(z_n)$ . Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function in a polydisk. **Then  $\int_S V = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , where**

$$V = \frac{f\Phi}{(z_1 - \alpha_1)(z_2 - \alpha_2)\dots(z_n - \alpha_n)}.$$

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $S_\varepsilon$  be a product of circles of radius  $\varepsilon$  with center in  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . **It remains to show that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_\varepsilon} V = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .**

**Step 2:** To simplify notation we set  $\alpha_i = 0$ . Parametrize  $S_\varepsilon$  by the cube  $[0, 2\pi]^n$  using the map  $t_1, \dots, t_n \rightarrow \varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t_1}, \dots, \varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t_n}$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_\varepsilon} V &= \int_{S_\varepsilon} f(z) \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_n}{z_n} = \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t_1}, \varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t_2}, \dots, \varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t_n})}{\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t_1} \varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t_2} \dots \varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t_n}} \varepsilon^n d\left(e^{\sqrt{-1}t_1}\right) d\left(e^{\sqrt{-1}t_2}\right) \dots d\left(e^{\sqrt{-1}t_n}\right) = \\ &= (\sqrt{-1})^n \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} f(\varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t_1}, \dots, \varepsilon e^{\sqrt{-1}t_n}) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n, \end{aligned}$$

which converges to  $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n f(0, \dots, 0)$ . ■

## Cauchy formula and Taylor expansion

**REMARK:** Cauchy formula implies that **holomorphic functions defined in a polydisk have Taylor expansion in this polydisk**. Indeed,

$$f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n} \int_S \frac{f dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n}{(z_1 - \alpha_1)(z_2 - \alpha_2) \times \dots \times (z_n - \alpha_n)}$$

Take the Taylor expansion of  $(z_i - \alpha_i)^{-1}$  using

$$\frac{1}{(z_i - \alpha_i)} = \frac{z_i^{-1}}{(1 - \alpha_i z_i^{-1})} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i^l z_i^{-l-1}.$$

Then

$$f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{i_n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_1^{i_1} \dots \alpha_n^{i_n} \int_{S_\varepsilon} f(z_1, \dots, z_n) z_1^{-i_1-1} \dots z_n^{-i_n-1} dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n.$$