# **Complex analytic spaces**

lecture 11: Discriminant

Misha Verbitsky

IMPA, sala 236,

September 11, 2023, 13:30

## **Regular coordinate systems (reminder)**

Consider the ring of germs of functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  depending only on the first d coordinates. We identify this ring with  $\mathcal{O}_d \subset \mathcal{O}_n$ .

**THEOREM:** Let J be an ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . There exists a coordinate system  $z_1, ..., z_d, z_{d+1}, ..., z_n$  in a neighbourhood of 0 such that

1.  $J_d = 0$ , where  $J_d := \mathcal{O}_d \cap J$ .

2. The ideal J is generated by a collection of Weierstrass polynomials in  $\mathcal{O}_{i-1}[z_i]$ , i = d+1, ..., n.

**DEFINITION:** In this situation,  $z_1, ..., z_n$  is called a regular coordinate system for J.

**REMARK:** Let  $J \,\subset\, \mathcal{O}_n$  be an ideal of functions vanishing in a germ of a complex analytic variety Z. Then the first condition is equivalent to the following. Let  $P_d : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  be the projection to first d coordinates. The condition (1) means that  $\prod_d(Z)$  is not contained in any proper analytic subset  $Z' \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ .

**REMARK:** In this situation, the second condition is an algebraic restatement of the following geometric observation. Consider the projection  $\Pi_d: Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  to the first d coordinates. Then the preimage of every point is finite.

#### Finiteness theorem and primitive element theorem (reminder)

# **COROLLARY: (Finiteness theorem)**

Let  $z_1, ..., z_n$  be a regular coordinate system for an ideal  $J \in \mathcal{O}_n$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_d$  holomorphic functions, depending only on  $z_1, ..., z_d$ . Then the ring  $\mathcal{O}_n/J$  is finitely generated as a  $\mathcal{O}_d$ -module.

**Proof:** It is generated by a finite number of coordinate monomials.

## **THEOREM:** (Primitive element theorem)

Let J be a prime ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , and  $z_1, ..., z_d, ..., z_n$  a regular coordinate system for J. Assume that  $u \coloneqq \sum_{i=d+1}^n \lambda_i z_i$  generates the fraction field  $k(\mathcal{O}_n/J)$  over  $k(\mathcal{O}_d)$ . Define a map  $\mathfrak{u} \colon \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{u}(z_1, ..., z_n) = (z_1, ..., z_d, u)$ .

(1) The map  $\mathfrak{u}$  defines a holomorphic map  $\varphi \colon Z \longrightarrow Z_u$  from the germ Z of common zeroes of J to a germ of a hypersurface  $Z_u \subset \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ .

(2) The projection  $\Pi_d: Z_u \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  to the first d coordinates is finite.

(3) The map  $Z \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{u}} Z_u$  induces an isomorphism  $k(\mathcal{O}_{d+1}/(P_u)) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(\mathcal{O}_n/J)$ on the fraction fields.

## Banach fixed point theorem

**LEMMA:** (Banach fixed point theorem/ "contraction principle") Let  $U \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed subset, and  $f: U \longrightarrow U$  a map which satisfies |f(x) - f(y)| < k|x - y|, where k < 1 is a real number (such a map is called "contraction"). Then f has a fixed point, which is unique.

**Proof. Step 1:** Uniqueness is clear because for two fixed points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| = |x_1 - x_2| < k|x_1 - x_2|.$ 

**Step 2:** Existence follows because the sequence  $x_0 = x, x_1 = f(x), x_2 = f(f(x)), ...$ satisfies  $|x_i - x_{i+1}| \le k |x_{i-1} - x_i|$  which gives  $|x_n - x_{n+1}| < k^n a$ , where a = |x - f(x)|. Then  $|x_n - x_{n+m}| < \sum_{i=0}^m k^{n+i}a \le k^n \frac{1}{1-k}a$ , hence  $\{x_i\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, and converges to a limit y, which is unique.

**Step 3:** f(y) is a limit of a sequence  $f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots f(x_i), \dots$  which gives y = f(y).

**EXERCISE:** Find a counterexample to this statement when U is open and not closed.

#### **Inverse function theorem**

**THEOREM:** Let  $U, V \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be open subsets, and  $f: U \longrightarrow V$  a holomorphic map. Suppose that the differential of f is everywhere invertible. Then f is locally a diffeomorphism.

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $x \in U$ . Without restricting generality, we may assume that x = 0,  $U = B_r(0)$  is an open ball of radius r, and **in** U **one has**  $\frac{|f(x_1) - \varphi(x_1)|}{|x - x_1|} < 1/2$ . Replacing f with  $-f \circ (D_0 f)^{-1}$ , where  $D_0 f$  is differential of f in 0, we may assume also that  $D_0 f = -\text{Id}$ .

**Step 2:** In these assumptions, |f(x) + x| < 1/2|x| in a sufficiently small neighbourhood, hence  $\psi_s(x) \coloneqq f(x) + x - s$  is a contraction. This map maps  $\overline{B}_{r/2}(0)$  to itself when s < r/4. By Banach fixed point theorem,  $\psi_s(x) = x$  has a unique fixed point  $x_s$ , which is obtained as a solution of the equation f(x) + x - s = x, or, equivalently, f(x) = s. Denote the map  $s \longrightarrow x_s$  by g.

**Step 3:** By construction, fg = Id. Applying the chain rule, we find that g is also differentiable.

**REMARK:** This proof works for real manifolds just as well as for complex manifolds.

#### Smooth points of a subvariety

**DEFINITION:** Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a complex analytic subset. A point  $z \in Z$  is called **smooth** if for an open neighbourhood U of z in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the intersection  $U \cap Z$  is a smooth submanifold. A point which is not smooth is called **singular**.

**CLAIM:** A complex analytic subset  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is smooth as a real submanifold if and only if it is smooth as a complex submanifold.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $Z \,\subset \, \mathbb{C}^n$  is a complex subvariety which is smooth as a real submanifold. Consider the projection  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$  which induces an isomorphism  $d\varphi : T_z Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ . Such a projection exists because Z is smooth. Then  $\varphi : Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$  is a complex analytic diffeomorphism in a neighbourhood of z, by (a holomorphic version of) inverse function theorem.

**REMARK:** Today we shall prove that the set of smooth point on any complex variety is Zariski open and dense.

#### **Discriminant of a Weierstrass polynomial**

**REMARK:** Let  $P(t) = t^n + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + ... + a_0$  be a polynomial,  $\alpha_i$  its roots, and  $Q(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$  a symmetric polynomial on  $\alpha_i$ . Since any symmetric polynomial can be expressed polynomially through elementary symmetric polynomial,  $Q(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$  can be expressed polynomially through the coefficients  $a_i$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $P(t) = \prod_i (t - \alpha_i) = t^n + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + ... + a_0$  be a polynomial. The discriminant  $D_P$  of P is the product  $\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$  expressed as a polynomial on the coefficients of P(t):  $\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 = D_P(a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, ..., a_0)$ .

**REMARK:** Let  $P(z_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[z_n]$  be a Weierstrass polynomial, and  $D_P$  its discriminant. Since  $D_P$  is polynomially expressed through the coefficients of P, and coefficients belong to  $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ , we can (and will) consider the discriminant as a function on  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ .

#### Weierstrass polynomial, its derivative and its discriminant

**Lemma 1:** Let  $P(z_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[z_n]$  be a Weierstrass polynomial,  $P(0,0,...,0,z_n) = z^k$ , and  $D_P$  its discriminant, considered an element in  $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ . Assume that  $D_p = 0$ . Then the polynomials  $P(z_n), P'(z_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[z_n]$  are not coprime.

**Proof. Step 1:** Since  $\lim_{z_n \to 0} \frac{P(0,z_n)}{z_n^k} = 1$ , in a certain polydisc  $\Delta(n-1,1) := B_r(z_1,...z_{n-1}) \times \Delta_{r'}(z_n)$  we have  $P(z,z_n) \neq 0$  when  $|z_n| = r'$ . Fix  $z \in B_r(z_1,...z_{n-1})$ Then the function  $t \to P(z,t)$  has precisely k zeros  $\alpha_1,...,\alpha_k$  on a disc  $\Delta_{r'}$ , and  $D_P(z) = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$ . If  $D_P = 0$  on the disc  $B_r(z_1,...z_{n-1})$ , the polynomial P(z,t) is not coprime with  $\frac{d}{dt}P(z,t)$  because P(z,t), considered as a function of t, has multiple roots.

**Step 2:** Consider the polynomials  $P(z_n), P'(z_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[z_n]$ . Using the Euclidean algorithm, we can express their largest common denominator as  $Q(z_n) = A(z_n)P(z_n) + B(z_n)P'(z_n)$ , where  $A, B \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[z_n]$ . If P, P' are coprime,  $Q \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}$  is a non-zero germ of a function independent from  $z_n$ . Take  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  such that  $Q(z) \neq 0$ . In this point,  $D_P(z) = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 \neq 0$ , hence the  $D_P \neq 0$  when  $P(z_n), P'(z_n)$  are coprime.

#### **Discriminants and coverings**

**Theorem 1:** Let  $P(z_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[z_n]$  be a Weierstrass polynomial,  $P(0, 0, ..., 0, z_n) = z^k$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{C}^n$  its zero set and  $D_P$  its discriminant, considered an element in  $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ . We assume that  $D_P \neq 0$ . Denote by  $Z_D$  the zero set of  $D_P$ , and  $Z_X$  its preimage under the projection  $\Pi : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  to the first n-1 coordinates. Then  $X \setminus Z_x$  is smooth and the projection  $X \setminus Z_X \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \setminus Z_D$  is a non-ramified *k*-sheeted covering.

**DEFINITION:** The sets  $Z_D$  and  $Z_X$  are called **the discriminant sets of**   $\square$ . The above theorem can be stated as " $\square$  is a non-ramified *k*-sheeted **covering outside of its discriminant set.**"

**Proof. Step 1:** Since  $\lim_{z_n \to 0} \frac{P(0,z_n)}{z_n^k} = 1$ , in a certain polydisc  $\Delta(n-1,1) := B_r(z_1,...z_{n-1}) \times \Delta_{r'}(z_n)$  we have  $P(z,z_n) \neq 0$  when  $|z_n| = r'$ . In  $\Delta(n-1,1)$ , the projection  $X \xrightarrow{\prod} \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  takes precisely k points to one, by Rouché theorem, if counted with multiplicities. The discriminant is the set of all z where  $z_n \to P(z,z_n)$  has roots with multiplicities, hence  $X \setminus Z_X \xrightarrow{\prod} \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \setminus Z_D$  restricted to  $\Delta(n-1,1)$  takes precisely k points to one. It remains to prove that  $X \setminus Z_X$  is smooth and  $X \setminus Z_X \xrightarrow{\prod} \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \setminus Z_D$  a local diffeomorphism.

## **Discriminants and coverings (2)**

**Proof. Step 1:** Since  $\lim_{z_n \to 0} \frac{P(0,z_n)}{z_n^k} = 1$ , in a certain polydisc  $\Delta(n-1,1) := B_r(z_1,...z_{n-1}) \times \Delta_{r'}(z_n)$  we have  $P(z,z_n) \neq 0$  when  $|z_n| = r'$ . In  $\Delta(n-1,1)$ , the projection  $X \xrightarrow{\prod} \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  takes precisely k points to one, by Rouché theorem, if counted with multiplicities. The discriminant is the set of all z where  $z_n \to P(z,z_n)$  has roots with multiplicities, hence  $X \setminus Z_x \xrightarrow{\prod} \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \setminus Z_D$  restricted to  $\Delta(n-1,1)$  takes precisely k points to one. It remains to prove that  $X \setminus Z_x$  is smooth and  $X \setminus Z_x \xrightarrow{\prod} \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \setminus Z_D$  is a local diffeomorphism.

**Step 2:** By the inverse function theorem, the zero set of a function F on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is smooth at every point where dF is non-zero. However, the 1-form dP evaluated on  $\frac{d}{dz_n}$  if equal to  $P'(z_n)$ , and it is non-zero on a point  $(z, z_n) \in X \setminus Z_x$ .

**Step 3:** To prove that  $X \setminus Z_x \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \setminus Z_D$  is a local diffeomorphism, it remains to show that the differential of the projection  $X \setminus Z_x \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \setminus Z_D$  is an isomorphism. The tangent space to X in any  $(z, z_n) \in X \setminus Z_x$  is equal to ker dP. Clearly, ker dP does not intersect the space  $(0, 0, ...0, *) = \ker d\Pi$  in any point of  $X \setminus Z_x$  because  $dP(\frac{d}{dz_n}) = P'(z_n) \neq 0$ .

### **Discriminant of the minimal polynomial**

**LEMMA:** Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a germ of an irreducible complex variety,  $z_1, ..., z_d, z_{d+1}, ..., z_n$  the regular coordinates,  $u = \sum_{i=d+1}^n \lambda_i z_i$  the primitive element

which generates the fraction field  $k(\mathcal{O}_n/J)$  over  $k(\mathcal{O}_d)$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_u(t) \in \mathcal{O}_d[t]$  its minimal polynomial. Consider its discriminant  $D_{P_u}$  as a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . Then  $D_{P_u} \neq 0$ .

**Proof:** If  $D_{P_u} = 0$ , this means that  $P_u(t)$  and  $P'_u(t)$  are not coprime (Lemma 1). Then  $P_u(t)$  is not irreducible, which is impossible, because  $P_u(t)$  is a minimal polynomial, and  $\frac{k(\mathcal{O}_d)[t]}{(P_u(t))}$  has no zero divisors.

**COROLLARY:** In these assumptions, let  $Z_u$  be the zero set of  $P_u(t)$ . Then, in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of 0, the projection  $Z_u \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  is a non-ramified *k*-sheeted covering outside of the discriminant set. **Proof:** Theorem 1.