

# **Complex analytic spaces**

**lecture 12: Smooth points and bimeromorphic maps**

Misha Verbitsky

**IMPA, sala 236,**

**September 13, 2023, 17:00**

## Pole divisor

**DEFINITION:** Let  $Z$  be a germ of a variety,  $f$  a non-zero holomorphic function on  $Z$ , and  $D_f$  its zero set. Assume that  $f$  is not identically zero on any of the irreducible components of  $Z$ . Then  $D_f$  is called **the zero divisor of  $f$** .

**DEFINITION:** A **meromorphic function** on a complex analytic variety  $Z$  is a function, defined outside of a closed, nowhere Zariski dense complex analytic subset  $D \subset Z$ , and locally equal to a fraction  $\frac{f}{g}$ , where  $f, g$  are holomorphic functions, and  $D_g = D$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $f, g$  be germs of functions on a germ of complex variety  $Z$ . We say that  $f, g$  are **coprime** if they have no common divisors, vanishing in  $x$ . Let  $h$  be a meromorphic function on a germ  $Z$  of a variety, represented as  $\frac{f}{g}$ , where  $f, g$  are coprime. **The pole divisor** of  $h$  is the zero divisor of  $g$ .

**CLAIM:** Let  $h$  be a meromorphic function on a complex analytic variety  $Z$ . **Then there exists a subvariety  $P_h \subset Z$  such that locally on each germ,  $P_h$  is the pole divisor of  $Z$ .**

**Proof:** Left as an exercise. ■

**DEFINITION:** In these assumptions,  $P_h$  is called **the pole divisor of  $Z$** .

## Meromorphic maps

**DEFINITION: Meromorphic map**  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow Z_1$  of complex analytic varieties is a map, defined outside of a nowhere dense subvariety, which in local coordinates can be expressed by meromorphic functions.

**EXAMPLE:** Consider the map  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow Z_u$  constructed in the primitive element theorem. Since  $\varphi$  induces an isomorphism of the fraction field, **the inverse map  $\varphi^{-1}$  is meromorphic: the coordinate functions  $z_{d+1}, \dots, z_n$  on  $Z$  belong to the fraction field of the ring  $\mathcal{O}_d[u] = \mathcal{O}_{Z_u}$ , hence there exists a meromorphic map  $Z_u \rightarrow Z$  taking  $(z_1, \dots, z_d, u)$  to  $(z_1, \dots, z_d, z_{d+1}, \dots, z_n)$ .**

**DEFINITION:** A meromorphic map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is called **bimeromorphic** if there exists a meromorphic map  $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi \circ \psi$  and  $\psi \circ \varphi$  are identities in each point where these compositions are defined.

## Exceptional set of a bimeromorphic map

Let  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  be a bimeromorphic map of irreducible subvarieties of  $\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m$ , and  $\psi: Y \rightarrow X$  the inverse map. The map  $\varphi$  is by definition holomorphic outside of an analytic subset  $Z_X \subset X$ , which is called **exceptional set of  $X$** , and  $\psi$  is holomorphic outside of an analytic subset  $Z_Y \subset Y$ . Taking pullbacks of coordinate functions  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$  we may express  $\varphi^*$  as a collection of meromorphic functions  $\varphi^*(y_i) = \Phi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\psi^*(x_i) = \Psi_i(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ ; restrictions of these functions to  $X, Y$  are holomorphic on  $X \setminus Z_X$  and  $Y \setminus Z_Y$ . Since  $\varphi \circ \psi$  and  $\psi \circ \varphi$  are identity maps, we have

$$\Psi_i(\Phi_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \Phi_m(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = x_i,$$

$$\Phi_i(\Psi_1(y_1, \dots, y_m), \dots, \Psi_n(y_1, \dots, y_m)) = y_i$$

in all points where  $\Phi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n), \Psi_1(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  are defined, that is, outside of the pole sets of these functions. These sets are complex analytic by definition. Therefore, the maps  $(\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n)$  and  $(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m)$  induce an isomorphism between Zariski open sets of  $X$  and  $Y$ . The complements to these sets are called **the exceptional sets of  $\varphi, \psi$** .

## Exceptional set of a bimeromorphic map (2)

Summing it up:

**CLAIM:** A bimeromorphic map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  induces an isomorphism between a Zariski open subset of  $X$  and a Zariski open subset of  $Y$ . When  $X, Y$  are irreducible, a bimeromorphic map is the same as an isomorphism between the fraction fields  $k(\mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $k(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ . ■

**REMARK:** Unlike it happens in algebraic geometry, not every isomorphism between Zariski open sets of  $X$  and  $Y$  can be extended to a bimeromorphic map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ . Indeed, there are uncountably many pairwise non-bimeromorphic compact complex manifolds  $X_\alpha$  with  $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset X_\alpha$  Zariski open.

**REMARK:** The difference between algebraic and complex geometry can be explained as follows. In algebraic category, for any Zariski open set  $U \subset Z$ ,  $k(\mathcal{O}_U) = k(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ , and in the complex category,  $k(\mathcal{O}_U)$  is usually an extension of infinite transcendence degree over  $k(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ .

## Regular coordinate systems (reminder)

Consider the ring of germs of functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  depending only on the first  $d$  coordinates. We identify this ring with  $\mathcal{O}_d \subset \mathcal{O}_n$ .

**THEOREM:** Let  $J$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . There exists a coordinate system  $z_1, \dots, z_d, z_{d+1}, \dots, z_n$  in a neighbourhood of 0 such that

1.  $J_d = 0$ , where  $J_d := \mathcal{O}_d \cap J$ .
2. The ideal  $J$  is generated by a collection of Weierstrass polynomials  $P_i(z_i) \in \mathcal{O}_{i-1}[z_i]$ ,  $i = d+1, \dots, n$ .

**DEFINITION:** In this situation,  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  is called a **regular coordinate system for  $J$** .

## THEOREM: (Primitive element theorem)

Let  $J$  be a prime ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , and  $z_1, \dots, z_d, \dots, z_n$  a regular coordinate system for  $J$ . Assume that  $u := \sum_{i=d+1}^n \lambda_i z_i$  generates the fraction field  $k(\mathcal{O}_n/J)$  over  $k(\mathcal{O}_d)$ . Define a map  $u: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ ,  $u(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_d, u)$ .

(1) **The map  $u$  defines a holomorphic map  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow Z_u$  from the germ  $Z$  of common zeroes of  $J$  to a germ of a hypersurface  $Z_u \subset \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ .**

(2) **The projection  $\Pi_d: Z_u \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  to the first  $d$  coordinates is finite.**

(3) **The map  $Z \xrightarrow{u} Z_u$  induces an isomorphism  $k(\mathcal{O}_{d+1}/(P_u)) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(\mathcal{O}_n/J)$  on the fraction fields.**

## Discriminants and coverings (reminder)

**DEFINITION:** Let  $P(t) = \prod_i (t - \alpha_i) = t^n + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  be a polynomial. **The discriminant**  $D_P$  of  $P$  is the product  $\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$  expressed as a polynomial on the coefficients of  $P(t)$ :  $\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 = D_P(a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_0)$ .

**REMARK:** Let  $P(z_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[z_n]$  be a Weierstrass polynomial, and  $D_P$  its discriminant. Since  $D_P$  is polynomially expressed through the coefficients of  $P$ , and coefficients belong to  $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ , **we can (and will) consider the discriminant as a function on  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ .**

**Theorem 1:** Let  $P(z_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[z_n]$  be a Weierstrass polynomial,  $P(0, 0, \dots, 0, z_n) = z_n^k$ ,  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  its zero set and  $D_P$  its discriminant, considered an element in  $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ . We assume that  $D_P \neq 0$ . Denote by  $Z_D$  the zero set of  $D_P$ , and  $Z_X$  its preimage under the projection  $\Pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  to the first  $n-1$  coordinates. **Then  $X \setminus Z_X$  is smooth and the projection  $X \setminus Z_X \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \setminus Z_D$  is a non-ramified  $k$ -sheeted covering.**

**DEFINITION:** The sets  $Z_D$  and  $Z_X$  are called **the discriminant sets of  $\Pi$** . The above theorem can be stated as **“ $\Pi$  is a non-ramified  $k$ -sheeted covering outside of its discriminant set.”**

## Taking average of a function over a ramified cover

The following lemma is proven by the same argument as used to prove the Weierstrass preparation theorem.

Let  $P(z_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[z_n]$  be a Weierstrass polynomial,  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  the germ of its zero set, and  $\Pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  the projection to the first  $n-1$  coordinates. Assume that  $P(0, \dots, 0, z_n) = z_n^k$ . As usual, we take polydisc  $\Delta(n-1, 1) := B_r(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \times \Delta_{r'}(z_n)$  such that  $P(z, z_n) \neq 0$  when  $|z_n| = r'$ ; **by Rouché theorem, the set  $\Pi^{-1}(z) \cap X \cap \Delta(n-1, 1)$  has precisely  $k$  points, if counted with multiplicities.**

**LEMMA:** Consider the projection  $\Pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  as above, let  $z \in B_r(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ , and  $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  the set  $\Pi^{-1}(z)$ , taken with multiplicities. Consider a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ , and let  $\sigma(f)$  be a germ of a function on  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  which takes  $z$  to  $\sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i)$ .

**Then  $\sigma(f)$  is holomorphic.**

**Proof:** Let  $\Delta_z := \Pi^{-1}(z) \cap \Delta(n-1, 1)$  be the disc of radius  $r'$ , obtained as the preimage of  $z$ . Denote by  $P_z$  the restriction of  $P$  to  $\Delta_z$ . **Then  $\sigma(f)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\partial\Delta_z} f(\zeta) \frac{P'_z(\zeta)}{P_z(\zeta)} d\zeta$ .** Indeed,  $\frac{P'_z(\zeta)}{P_z(\zeta)} = \frac{d}{d\zeta} \log(P_z(\zeta))$  is a function which has simple poles at  $t_i$  of the same multiplicity as  $t_i$ , hence the residues of  $f(\zeta) \frac{P'_z(\zeta)}{P_z(\zeta)}$  at  $t_i$  are  $2\pi\sqrt{-1} f(t_i) k_i$ , where  $k_i$  is the multiplicity of  $t_i$ . ■



## Taking average of a function over a ramified cover (2)

**LEMMA:** Consider the projection  $\Pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  as above, let  $z \in B_r(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ , and  $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  the set  $\Pi^{-1}(z)$ , taken with multiplicities. Consider a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ , and let  $\sigma(f)$  be a germ of a function on  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  which takes  $z$  to  $\sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i)$ .

**Then  $\sigma(f)$  is holomorphic.**

**Proof:** Let  $\Delta_z := \Pi^{-1}(z) \cap \Delta(n-1, 1)$  be the disc of radius  $r'$ , obtained as the preimage of  $z$ . Denote by  $P_z$  the restriction of  $P$  to  $\Delta_z$ . **Then  $\sigma(f)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\partial\Delta_z} f(\zeta) \frac{P'_z(\zeta)}{P_z(\zeta)} d\zeta$ .** Indeed,  $\frac{P'_z(\zeta)}{P_z(\zeta)} = \frac{d}{d\zeta} \log(P_z(\zeta))$  is a function which has simple poles at  $t_i$  of the same multiplicity as  $t_i$ , hence the residues of  $f(\zeta) \frac{P'_z(\zeta)}{P_z(\zeta)}$  at  $t_i$  are  $2\pi\sqrt{-1} f(t_i)k_i$ , where  $k_i$  is the multiplicity of  $t_i$ . ■

**Corollary 1:** In these assumptions, let  $E_r$  be an elementary symmetric polynomial on  $f(t_1), \dots, f(t_k)$ . **Then  $E_r$  is holomorphic as a function of  $z$ .**

**Proof:** Elementary symmetric polynomials on  $f(t_1), \dots, f(t_k)$  are expressed polynomially through the Newton polynomials  $\sigma(f^l)$ , where  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ . Since  $\sigma(f^l)$  is holomorphic as a function of  $z$ , the same is true about  $E_r$ . ■

## Projection of a complex analytic set

**REMARK:** The following proposition is a weaker form of Remmert's proper image theorem: **a proper image of a complex analytic set is complex analytic.**

**PROPOSITION:** Let  $P(z_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[z_n]$  be a Weierstrass polynomial,  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  the germ of its zero set, and  $\Pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  the projection to the first  $n-1$  coordinates. **Then for any complex analytic set  $Y \subset X$ , the projection  $\Pi(Y)$  is also complex analytic.**

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $J \subset \mathcal{O}_n$  be the ideal of  $Y$ , and  $J_{n-1} := \mathcal{O}_{n-1} \cap J$ . **We are going to prove that  $\Pi(Y)$  is the zero set  $Z_{J_{n-1}}$  of  $J_{n-1}$ .** Clearly, any  $f \in J_{n-1}$  vanishes on  $\Pi(Y)$ , hence  $Z_{J_{n-1}} \supset \Pi(Y)$ .

**Step 2:** Conversely, let  $z \in X$  be a point such that  $\Pi(z) \notin \Pi(Y)$ . **We need to show that  $\Pi(z) \notin Z_{J_{n-1}}$ , that is, find a function  $f \in J_{n-1}$  which does not vanish in  $z$ .**

## Projection of a complex analytic set (2)

**Step 3:** Let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ , and let  $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  be the set  $\Pi^{-1}(z)$ , taken with multiplicities. Consider any  $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ . Since  $\xi(f) := \prod_{i=1}^k f(t_i)$  is an elementary symmetric polynomial on  $f(t_1), \dots, f(t_n)$ , this expression is holomorphic as a function of  $z$  (Corollary 1).

**For any  $f \in J$ , the function  $\xi(f)$  vanishes in all points of  $\Pi(Y)$ , because it is a product of  $f(t_i)$ . Therefore,  $f \in J_{n-1}$ .**

**Step 4:** Let  $z \in X$  be a point such that  $\Pi(z) \notin \Pi(Y)$ . Take a function  $f \notin J$  such that  $f$  does not vanish anywhere on  $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(z))$ ; such a function exists because  $X$  is the set of common zeros of  $J$ . Then  $\xi(f) \neq 0$  in  $\Pi(z)$ , but  $\xi(f) \in J_{n-1}$ . **We have constructed a function which belongs to  $J_{n-1}$  and does not vanish in  $x$ . ■**

**REMARK:** The same argument also proves that **an image of a divisor is a divisor**: indeed, the image of a divisor  $Z_f$  is the zero set of the function  $E_r(f)$ . Moreover, **this argument works for any ramified covering map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$** , obtained when  $X$  is the set of zeroes of a Weierstrass polynomial, without assuming that  $Y$  is smooth.

## A complex variety always has smooth points

Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a germ of a complex analytic variety, and  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow Z_u \subset \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$  the map constructed in the primitive element theorem using the regular coordinates. Since  $\varphi$  is bimeromorphic, it is invertible outside of the complex subvariety  $E \subset Z_u$ , called **the exceptional set of  $\varphi^{-1}$** .

**Theorem 2:** In these assumptions, let  $D \subset Z_u$  be the discriminant set of the projection  $\Pi : Z_u \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ ,  $E_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^d$  be  $\Pi(E)$ ,  $E_1 \subset Z$  the set  $\Pi^{-1}(E_0)$ , and  $D_1 := \varphi^{-1}(D)$ . **Then  $Z \setminus (E_1 \cup D_1)$  is a smooth subset, and its projection to  $\mathbb{C}^d$  a  $k$ -sheeted non-ramified covering.**

**Proof:** The set  $Z_u \setminus (E_0 \cup D)$  is smooth by Theorem 1. **Its projection to its image in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  is a  $k$ -sheeted non-ramified covering**, again by Theorem 1.

The projection  $Z \setminus E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} Z_u \setminus E_0$  is an isomorphism, because  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism outside of its exceptional set. ■

## The set of singularities of a complex analytic variety

**PROPOSITION:** In assumptions of Theorem 2, **the set  $E_1 \cup D_1$  is closed and nowhere dense in  $Z$ .**

**Proof. Step 1:** Closedness is clear. Restricting  $\Pi_d : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  to a compact neighbourhood of a point, we obtain that all closed sets are compact, hence the image of a closed set is closed. Then for any open set of form  $U = \Pi_d^{-1}(\Pi_d(U))$ , the image  $\Pi(U)$  is open; indeed, the image of its complement  $C$  is closed, and  $\Pi(U)$  is the complement to  $\Pi(C)$ . This implies, in particular, that **a closed set  $K \subset Z_u$ ,  $K = \Pi^{-1}(\Pi(K))$  is nowhere dense if and only if its image in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  is nowhere dense.**

**Step 2:** To show that  $E_1 \cup D_1$  are nowhere dense, we notice that both sets satisfy  $K = \Pi_d^{-1}(\Pi_d(K))$  and their images in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  are divisors. Since the divisors in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  are nowhere dense **(prove this as an exercise)**, **Step 1 implies that the divisors  $E_1$  and  $D_1$  are nowhere dense. ■**

## The set of singularities of a complex analytic variety

**DEFINITION: Dimension** of an irreducible complex variety is dimension of the set of its smooth points.

**REMARK:** This definition is ambiguous because **we did not prove yet that this set is connected** (it is, and we will prove it). Nevertheless, **for an irreducible germ, the dimension is well defined (prove this as an exercise)**.

**THEOREM:** Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a complex subvariety, and  $Z_{sing} \subset Z$  the set of all its singular points. **Then  $Z_{sing} \subset Z$  is a complex-analytic subset, and its complement is dense and open in  $Z$ .**

**Proof. Step 1:** Since the result is local, it suffices to prove it assuming that  $Z$  is a germ of a subvariety. Choose regular coordinates, and let  $E_1 \cup D_1$  be the set defined in Theorem 2. **Outside of  $E_1 \cup D_1$ , the variety  $Z$  is smooth, and  $E_1 \cup D_1$  is nowhere dense.**

**Step 2:** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be the generators of the ideal of germs of holomorphic functions vanishing in  $Z$ . Then  $Z_{sing}$  **is the set of all  $z \in Z$  where the rank of the matrix  $\langle df_1, \dots, df_n \rangle$  is strictly less than  $\text{codim } Z$ , hence it is complex analytic.** ■