

Complex analytic spaces

lecture 14: Dimension

Misha Verbitsky

IMPA, sala 236,

September 20, 2023, 17:00

Finite and dominant morphisms (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings. It is called **finite** if B is finitely generated as A -module.

EXAMPLE: (Finiteness theorem)

Let z_1, \dots, z_n be a regular coordinate system for an ideal $J \subset \mathcal{O}_n$, and \mathcal{O}_d holomorphic functions, depending only on z_1, \dots, z_d . Then **the ring \mathcal{O}_n/J is finitely generated as a \mathcal{O}_d -module**. In other words, **the ring morphism $\mathcal{O}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n/J$ is finite**.

DEFINITION: Let Z, Z_1 be germs of complex varieties. Recall that **a morphism** $\varphi : Z \rightarrow Z_1$ is a germ of a map which can be given in coordinates by holomorphic functions: if $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $Z_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ are germs of complex analytic subsets, **φ is expressed by an m -tuple of holomorphic functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in \mathcal{O}_n$ taking $z \in Z$ to $(\varphi_1(z), \dots, \varphi_m(z)) \in Z_1$** .

DEFINITION: In this situation, the ring \mathcal{O}_Z of germs of functions on Z is a \mathcal{O}_{Z_1} -module. We say that φ is **finite** if \mathcal{O}_Z is finitely generated as a \mathcal{O}_{Z_1} -module, and **dominant** if $\varphi(Z)$ does not belong to a proper complex-analytic subvariety of Z_1 .

CLAIM: A morphism $\varphi : Z \rightarrow Z_1$ **is dominant if and only if the corresponding ring morphism $\varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{Z_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$ is injective**.

Finiteness theorem and discriminants (reminder)

THEOREM: (Finiteness theorem)

Let z_1, \dots, z_n be a regular coordinate system for an ideal $J \subset \mathcal{O}_n$, and \mathcal{O}_d holomorphic functions, depending only on z_1, \dots, z_d . Then **the ring \mathcal{O}_n/J is finitely generated as a \mathcal{O}_d -module.**

Proof: Choose regular coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_d, z_{d+1}, \dots, z_n$ on Z . Then the ring \mathcal{O}_Z is generated over \mathcal{O}_d by a collection of coordinate functions which all satisfy a polynomial equation $P(z_i) = 0$ where P_i is a monic polynomial, $P_i \in \mathcal{O}_i[z_i]$, $i = d+1, d+2, \dots, n$. ■

THEOREM: In these assumptions, let $J_r := \mathcal{O}_r \cap J$, and let $Z_r \subset \mathbb{C}^r$ be the set of common zeros of J_r . Let D_r be the discriminant of the polynomial $P_r[z_r]$ restricted to Z_{r-1} . Then

- (i) the coordinate projection $\pi_r : Z_r \rightarrow Z_{r-1}$ to the first $r-1$ coordinates is a surjective map**
- (ii) any point of Z_{r-1} has a finite number of preimages,**
- (iii) the images of the coordinate projections $\Pi_r(D_r) \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ to the first d variables are divisors**
- (iv) and outside of $\pi(D_r) \subset Z_{r-1}$ the number of preimages $\#(\pi_{r-1}^{-1}(z))$ is $\deg P_r$.**

Dimension

DEFINITION: Recall that the **dimension** of a germ of an irreducible complex variety is the dimension of the set of its smooth points (assuming it is constant, which is proven below).

DEFINITION: A variety is called **equidimensional**, if all its irreducible components have the same dimension.

PROPOSITION: Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X_1$ be a finite, dominant map of complex varieties. **Then** $\dim X = \dim X_1$.

Proof: We have shown that φ is a covering outside of the union of discriminant divisors. Therefore, $\dim X = \dim X_1$. ■

REMARK: In the definition of the dimension, there was an ambiguity related to possible existence of open, smooth subsets of different dimension in the same germ of an irreducible variety. **This ambiguity is resolved**, because **a finite, dominant map preserves the dimension**, and **any germ of a variety admits a finite map to \mathbb{C}^d** , which is **unambiguously d -dimensional**.

Dimension of a divisor

LEMMA: Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite map, and $Z \subset X$ is a subvariety. Then $f : Z \rightarrow \varphi(Z)$ is finite and dominant.

Proof: This map is dominant by definition; it is finite because the quotient map $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$ is finite, hence the composition $\psi : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$ is also finite. Finally, $\mathcal{O}_{\varphi(Z)}$ is, also by definition, equal to $\frac{\mathcal{O}_Y}{\ker \psi}$. ■

THEOREM: Let Z be an irreducible germ of a variety, and $Z_f \subset Z$ the germ of a zero divisor of a non-trivial function. Then $\dim Z_f = \dim Z - 1$.

Proof. Step 1: Suppose first that $Z = \mathbb{C}^n$. Applying the Weierstrass preparation theorem, we can represent f as a Weierstrass polynomial. Then the coordinate projection of Z_f to \mathbb{C}^{n-1} is a covering outside of its discriminant, hence $\dim Z_f = n - 1$.

Step 2: Consider the finite, dominant map $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ associated with the regular coordinates. The image of a divisor is a divisor (Lecture 12), hence $\dim(\pi(Z_f)) = d - 1$ by Step 1. On the other hand, $\dim Z_f = \dim \pi(Z_f)$, because $\pi : Z_f \rightarrow \pi(Z_f)$ is finite and dominant. Therefore, $\dim Z_f = d - 1 = \dim Z - 1$. ■

Dimension of a divisor: useful observations

CLAIM: An irreducible complex variety X **is never equal to a countable union of its divisors**. Also, **divisors are nowhere dense**.

Proof: Indeed, a divisor has measure 0 in the set of smooth points of X . ■

COROLLARY: A meromorphic function **is holomorphic outside of its pole**, which is a closed, nowhere dense subvariety. ■

COROLLARY: **If $X \subset Y$ are complex analytic, then $\dim X \leq Y$ (prove it).**

COROLLARY: $\dim X \geq \dim X_{sing}$.

Krull dimension

DEFINITION: Let X be an irreducible variety, and $X_n \subsetneq X_{n-1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq X_1 \subsetneq X$ a chain of irreducible subvarieties such that each X_i is a divisor in X_{i-1} . Then the number n is called **the Krull dimension** of X .

THEOREM: The Krull dimension of an irreducible variety is equal to its dimension.

Proof. Step 1: Using the regular coordinates, choose a finite, dominant map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ locally in a neighbourhood of a given point. Since a finite and dominant map takes divisors to divisors and preserves the dimension, the map π preserves the Krull dimension and the dimension. Therefore, **it suffices to prove the theorem when $X = \mathbb{C}^n$.**

Step 2: Using induction, we may assume we proved the theorem when $\dim X \leq n - 1$. Choose a divisor Z on \mathbb{C}^n . Then $\dim Z = n - 1$ because it has smooth points, and its Krull dimension is $n - 1$ by induction assumption. **This implies that the Krull dimension of \mathbb{C}^n is n .** ■