

## Teoria Ergódica Diferenciável, assignment 2: Radon-Nikodym theorem

**Rules:** This is a class assignment for August 28. Please try to write the solutions in class at August 28 and give them to your monitor Ermerson Rocha Araujo. No-one is penalized for failing to write the solutions, but being good at assignments would simplify getting good grades at your exams.

### 2.1 Hahn decomposition

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $S$  be a space with  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{U} \subset 2^S$ . **Signed measure** is a  $\sigma$ -aditive function  $\mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Exercise 2.1.** Let  $\rho : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a signed measure.

- Let  $X_i \in \mathfrak{U}$  be a sequence of sets such that  $\lim_i \rho(X_i) = -\infty$ . Consider  $Y_n := \bigcup_{i=0}^n X_i$ . Prove that either  $\lim_n \rho(Y_n) = -\infty$  or  $\limsup_n \rho(X_n \setminus Y_{n-1}) = \infty$ . In the first case prove that  $\rho(\bigcup Y_i) = -\infty$ .
- Let  $\beta := \inf_{B \in \mathfrak{U}} \rho(B)$ . If  $\beta = -\infty$ , prove that a union  $\bigcup Z_{n_i}$  of some  $Z_n := X_n \setminus Y_{n-1}$  defined above satisfies  $\rho(\bigcup Z_{n_i}) = \infty$ . Deduce that  $\beta > -\infty$ .
- Let  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathfrak{U}$  be elements such that  $\rho(E_i) \leq \beta + \varepsilon_i$ . Prove that  $\rho(E_1 \Delta E_2) \geq -\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ . Deduce that  $\rho(E_1 \cup E_2) \leq \beta + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$  and  $\rho(E_1 \cap E_2) \leq \beta + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ .
- Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a sequence such that  $\rho(E_i) \leq \beta + \frac{1}{2^i}$ . Prove that  $B_j := \bigcup_{i>j} E_i$  satisfies  $\lim_i \rho(B_i) = -\beta$ .
- In these assumptions, prove that  $\rho(B) = \beta$ , where  $B = \bigcap B_i$ .

**Hint.** Show that  $|\rho(B_j \setminus E_j)| \leq \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}$ ,  $\rho(B_j \setminus B_{i+j}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{j-3}}$ , and  $\rho(B_j \setminus B) \leq \frac{1}{2^{j-4}}$ .

**Exercise 2.2.** In assumptions of the previous exercise, let  $A := S \setminus B$ . Prove that  $\rho(X) \geq 0$  for each  $X \subset A$  and  $\rho(X) \leq 0$  for each  $X \subset B$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\rho$  be a signed measure on  $S$ . A measurable set  $X \subset S$  is called  $\rho$ -negligible if for any  $X_1 \subset X$ , one has  $\rho(X_1) = 0$ .

**Exercise 2.3.** Prove that  $\rho$  is a measure on  $A$ ,  $-\rho$  is a measure on  $B$ , and the decomposition  $S = A \amalg B$  is defined uniquely up to a  $\rho$ -negligible set.

**Definition 2.3.** This decomposition is called **the Hahn decomposition** of the signed measure  $\rho$ .

## 2.2 Absolutely continuous measures

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $S$  be a space equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\mu, \nu$  two measures on this  $\sigma$ -algebra. We say that  $\nu$  is **absolutely continuous** with respect to  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\nu \ll \mu$ , if for each measurable set  $A$ ,  $\mu(A) = 0$  implies  $\nu(A) = 0$ .

**Exercise 2.4.** Let  $\nu \ll \mu$  be non-zero finite measures on a space  $S$  with a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Consider the signed measure  $\nu - \varepsilon\mu$ , where  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $S = A_\varepsilon \amalg B_\varepsilon$  be its Hahn decomposition.

- Prove that  $\nu(B_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon\mu(S)$  and  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu(B_\varepsilon) = 0$ .
- Deduce from this that  $\nu(A_\varepsilon) > \frac{1}{2}\nu(S)$  for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small.
- Prove that  $\mu(A_\varepsilon) > 0$  for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small.

**Exercise 2.5.**  $\nu \ll \mu$  be finite measures on a space  $S$  with a  $\sigma$ -algebra, with  $\nu \neq 0$ . Prove that there exists a non-negative measurable function  $f$ , positive on a set of positive measure, such that  $\nu - f\mu \geq 0$ .

**Hint.** Apply the Hahn decomposition to  $\nu - \varepsilon\mu$  and take  $f = \varepsilon\chi_{A_\varepsilon}$

**Exercise 2.6.** (Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem) Let  $\{f_i\}$  be monotone sequence of integrable functions on a space  $S$  with measure, universally bounded in  $L^1$ -norm. Prove that  $\{f_i\}$  converges in  $L^1$ -norm to its pointwise limit.

**Exercise 2.7.** Let  $M$  be a space with finite measure, and  $\{f_\alpha\}$  a countable set of positive measurable functions, universally bounded in  $L^1$ -norm. Prove that  $\sup_\alpha f_\alpha$  is measurable.

**Hint.** Use Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem.

**Exercise 2.8.** (Radon-Nikodym theorem) Let  $\nu \ll \mu$  be finite measures on a space  $S$  with  $\sigma$ -algebra. Denote by  $C$  the infimum  $C := \inf_f \int_S \nu - f\mu$ , where  $f$  is a non-negative measurable function, such that  $\nu - f\mu$  is non-negative. Consider a sequence  $\{f_i\}$  of non-negative measurable functions such that  $f_i\mu \leq \nu$  and  $\lim_i \int_S \nu - f_i\mu = C$ . Prove that  $C = 0$  and  $f := \sup_{f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} f_\alpha$  satisfies  $f\mu = \nu$ .

**Hint.** Otherwise consider the measure  $\nu_1 := \nu - f\mu$ , prove that  $\nu_1 \ll \mu$  and find a non-negative measurable function  $g$ , positive on a set of positive measure, such that  $\nu_1 - g\mu \geq 0$ . Then  $f + g$ .

### 2.3 Supplement by Ermerson Rocha Araujo

**Exercise 2.9.** Find a continuous transformation  $f : X \rightarrow X$  of a compact metric space and an **infinite** measure  $\mu$  in  $X$  for which the statement of Poincaré's Recurrence Theorem does not hold.

**Exercise 2.10.** Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  a continuous map. If  $E$  is a subset of  $X$ , we put

$$\tau(E, x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\{0 \leq j < n : f^j(x) \in E\}.$$

Suppose that for every subset  $U \subset X$  and every  $x \in X$  there exists  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{prob}(X, f)$  such that  $\mu(U) \leq \tau(U, x)$ .

- a. We say that a compact set  $\Lambda \subset X$  is a center of attraction for  $f$  if  $f(\Lambda) \subset \Lambda$  and  $\tau(U, x) = 1$  for every  $x \in X$  and every neighborhood  $U$  of  $\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda$  is a center of attraction and contains no proper subset with the same property, it is called a minimal center of attraction. Prove that there exists a unique minimal center of attraction  $\Lambda$  for  $f$ , and that  $f(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ .
- b. If  $S$  is closure of the union of the supports of all probability measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{prob}(X, f)$ , then  $\Lambda = S$

**Exercise 2.11.** Let  $X$  be a set,  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  a measurable map. If  $\mu_i \in \mathcal{M}_{prob}(X, f)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are ergodic and  $\mu_i$  is not absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , prove that there exist disjoint sets  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$   $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = X,$$

$$\mu_i(A_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

The result still holds if we have infinite countable  $\mu'_i$ s?

**Hint.** Use Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem.