

# Teoria Ergódica Diferenciável

## lecture 4: Weak-\* topology on measures

Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada

Misha Verbitsky, August 16, 2017

## $\sigma$ -algebras and measures (reminder)

**DEFINITION:** Let  $M$  be a set. A  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  is a Boolean algebra  $\mathfrak{A} \subset 2^X$  such that for any countable family  $A_1, \dots, A_n, \dots \in \mathfrak{A}$  the union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is also an element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**REMARK:** We define the operation of addition on the set  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  in such a way that  $x + \infty = \infty$  and  $\infty + \infty = \infty$ . On finite numbers the addition is defined as usually.

**DEFINITION:** A function  $\mu : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  is called **finitely additive** if for all non-intersecting  $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mu(A \amalg B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ . The sign  $\amalg$  denotes union of non-intersecting sets.  $\mu$  is called  **$\sigma$ -additive** if  $\mu(\amalg_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum \mu(A_i)$  for any pairwise disjoint countable family of subsets  $A_i \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

**DEFINITION:** A **measure** in a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A} \subset 2^X$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive function  $\mu : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

**EXAMPLE:** Let  $X$  be a topological space. The **Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra** is a smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A} \subset 2^X$  containing all open subsets. **Borel measure** is a measure on Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

## Measurable maps and measurable functions (reminder)

**DEFINITION:** Let  $X, Y$  be sets equipped with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A} \subset 2^X$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset 2^Y$ . We say that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is **compatible with the  $\sigma$ -algebra**, or **measurable**, if  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathfrak{A}$  for all  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

**REMARK:** This is similar to the definition of continuity. In fact, **any continuous map of topological spaces is compatible with Borel  $\sigma$ -algebras**.

**DEFINITION:** Let  $X$  be a space with  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A} \subset 2^X$ . A function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called **measurable** if  $f$  is compatible with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is, if the preimage of any Borel set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $X, Y$  be sets equipped with  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A} \subset 2^X$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset 2^Y$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a measurable map. Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $X$ . Consider the function  $f_*\mu$  mapping  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  to  $\mu(f^{-1}(B))$ .

**EXERCISE:** Prove that  $f_*\mu$  is a measure on  $Y$ .

**DEFINITION:** The measure  $f_*\mu$  is called **the pushforward measure**, or **pushforward** of  $\mu$ .

## Ergodic measures (reminder)

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\Gamma$  be a group acting on a measured space  $(M, \mu)$  and preserving its  $\sigma$ -algebra. We say that the  $\Gamma$ -action is **ergodic** if for each  $\Gamma$ -invariant, measurable set  $U \subset M$ , either  $\mu(U) = 0$  or  $\mu(M \setminus U) = 0$ . In this case  $\mu$  is called **an ergodic measure**.

**THEOREM:** Let  $M$  be a second countable topological space, and  $\mu$  a Borel measure on  $M$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a group acting on  $M$  by homeomorphisms. Suppose that any non-empty open subset of  $M$  has positive measure, and action of  $\Gamma$  is ergodic. Then **for almost all  $x \in M$ , the orbit  $\Gamma \cdot x$  is dense in  $M$ .**

**THEOREM:** Let  $(M, \mu)$  be a space with finite measure, and  $\Gamma$  a group acting on  $M$  and preserving the measure. Then the following are equivalent.

**(a) The action of  $\Gamma$  is ergodic.**

**(b) For each integrable,  $\Gamma$ -invariant function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f$  is constant almost everywhere.**

**(c) For each square integrable,  $\Gamma$ -invariant function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f$  is constant almost everywhere.**

## Radon-Nikodym theorem

**DEFINITION:** Let  $S$  be a space equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\mu, \nu$  two measures on this  $\sigma$ -algebra. We say that  $\nu$  is **absolutely continuous** with respect to  $\mu$  if for each measurable set  $A$ ,  $\mu(A) = 0$  implies  $\nu(A) = 0$ . This relation is denoted  $\nu \ll \mu$ ; clearly, it defines a partial order on measures.

**EXERCISE:** Find an example of a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is **not absolutely continuous with respect to the usual Lebesgue measure**.

**EXERCISE:** Find an infinite family  $\mathfrak{M}$  of measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that **each measure  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$  is not absolutely continuous with respect to each other  $\mu' \in \mathfrak{M}$** .

**EXERCISE:** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a space  $M$  with  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  an integrable function. Define a measure  $f\mu$  by  $A \rightarrow \int_A f\mu$ . **Prove that  $f\mu \ll \mu$** .

**THEOREM: (Radon-Nikodym)** Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two measures on a space  $S$  with a  $\sigma$ -algebra, satisfying  $\mu(S) < \infty$ ,  $\nu(S) < \infty$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$ . **Then there exists an integrable function  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  such that  $\nu = f\mu$** .

**Proof:** I will distribute it at certain point. ■

## Convex cones and extremal rays

**DEFINITION:** Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $K \subset V$  a subset. We say that  $K$  is **convex** if for all  $x, y \in K$ , the interval  $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  lies in  $K$ . We say that  $K$  is a **convex cone** if it is convex and for all  $\lambda > 0$ , the homothety map  $x \rightarrow \lambda x$  preserves  $K$ .

**EXAMPLE:** Let  $M$  be a space equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A} \subset 2^M$ , and  $V$  the space formally generated by all  $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  subspace in  $V^*$  generated by all finite measures. This space is called **the space of finite signed measures**. **The measures constitute a convex cone in  $\mathcal{S}$ .**

**DEFINITION: Extreme point** of a convex set  $K$  is a point  $x \in K$  such that for any  $a, b \in K$  and any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $ta + (1 - t)b = x$  implies  $a = b = x$ . **Extremal ray** of a convex cone  $K$  is a non-zero vector  $x$  such that for any  $a, b \in K$  and  $t_1, t_2 > 0$ , a decomposition  $x = t_1 a + t_2 b$  implies that  $a, b$  are proportional to  $x$ .

**DEFINITION: Convex hull** of a set  $X \subset V$  is the smallest convex set containing  $X$ .

**EXAMPLE:** Let  $V$  be a vector space, and  $x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots$  linearly independent vectors. **Simplex** is the convex hull of  $\{x_i\}$ . Its extremal points are  $\{x_i\}$  **(prove it)**.

## Ergodic measures as extremal rays (1)

**Lemma 1:** Let  $(M, \mu)$  be a measured space, and  $\Gamma$  a group which acts ergodically on  $M$ . Consider a measure  $\nu$  on  $M$  which is  $\Gamma$ -invariant and satisfies  $\nu \ll \mu$ . **Then**  $\nu = \text{const} \cdot \mu$ .

**Proof:** Radon-Nikodym gives  $\nu = f\mu$ . The function  $f = \frac{\nu}{\mu}$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, because both  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Then  $f = \text{const}$  almost everywhere. ■

**Lemma 2:** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be measures,  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ , and  $\mu := t_1\mu_1 + t_2\mu_2$ . **Then**  $\mu_1 \ll \mu$ .

**Proof:**  $\mu_1(U) \leq t_1^{-1}\mu(U)$ , hence  $\mu_1(U) = 0$  whenever  $\mu(U) = 0$ . ■

## Ergodic measures as extremal rays (2)

**THEOREM:** Let  $(M, \mu)$  be a space equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra and a group  $\Gamma$  acting on  $M$  and preserving the  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\mathcal{M}$  the cone of finite invariant measures on  $M$ . Consider a finite,  $\Gamma$ -invariant measure on  $M$ . Then the following are equivalent.

**(a)  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  lies in the extremal ray of  $\mathcal{M}$**

**(b)  $\mu$  is ergodic.**

**(a) implies (b):** Let  $U$  be an  $\Gamma$ -invariant measurable subset. Then  $\mu = \mu|_U + \mu|_{M \setminus U}$ , and one of these two measures must vanish, because  $\mu$  is extremal.

**(b) implies (a):** Let  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  be a decomposition of the measure  $\mu$  onto a sum of two invariant measures. Then  $\mu \gg \mu_1$  and  $\mu \gg \mu_2$  (Lemma 2), hence  $\mu$  is proportional to  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  (Lemma 1). ■

**REMARK:** A probability measure  $\mu$  lies on an extremal ray if and only if it is extreme as a point in the convex set of all probability measures (prove it).



## Existence of ergodic measures: strategy

To prove existence of ergodic measures, we shall use the following strategy:

1. Define topology on the space  $\mathcal{M}$  of finite measures ("measure topology" or "weak-\* topology") such that the space of probability measures is compact.
2. Prove Krein-Milman theorem

**THEOREM: (Krein-Milman)** Let  $K \subset V$  be a compact, convex subset in a locally convex topological vector space. **Then  $K$  is the closure of the convex hull of the set of its extreme points.**

This theorem implies that any  $\Gamma$ -invariant finite measure is a limit of finite sums of ergodic measures.

**EXERCISE:** Find all ergodic measures on a cube with trivial group action and the standard measure.

## Weak-\* topology

**DEFINITION:** Let  $M$  be a topological space, and  $C_c^0(M)$  the space of continuous function with compact support. Any finite Borel measure  $\mu$  defines a functional  $C_c^0(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  mapping  $f$  to  $\int_M f \mu$ . We say that a sequence  $\{\mu_i\}$  of measures **converges in weak-\* topology** (or **in measure topology**) to  $\mu$  if

$$\lim_i \int_M f \mu_i = \int_M f \mu$$

for all  $f \in C_c^0(M)$ . **The base of open sets of weak-\* topology** is given by  $U_{f,]a,b[}$  where  $]a,b[ \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an interval, and  $U_{f,]a,b[}$  is the set of all measures  $\mu$  such that  $a < \int_M f \mu < b$ .

## Tychonoff topology

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\{X_\alpha\}$  be a family of topological spaces, parametrized by  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ . **Product topology**, or **Tychonoff topology** on the product  $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$  is topology where the open sets are generated by unions and finite intersections of  $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(U)$ , where  $\pi_\alpha : \prod_\alpha X_\alpha$  is a projection to the  $X_\alpha$ -component, and  $U \subset X_\alpha$  is an open set.

**REMARK:** Tychonoff topology is also called **topology of pointwise convergence**, because the points of  $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$  can be considered as maps from the set of indices  $\mathcal{I}$  to the corresponding  $X_\alpha$ , and a sequence of such maps converges if and only if it converges for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**REMARK:** Consider a finite measure as an element in the product of  $C_c^0(M)$  copies of  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is, as a continuous map from  $C_c^0(M)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . **Then the weak-\* topology is induced by the Tychonoff topology on this product.**

## Measures as functionals on $C_c^0(M)$

**DEFINITION: Locally finite measure** is a Borel measure which is finite on a certain base of open sets.

**DEFINITION: Uniform topology** on functions is induced by the metric  $d(f, g) = \sup |f - g|$ .

**Theorem (\*):** Let  $M$  be a metrizable, locally compact topological vector space, and  $C_c^0(M)^*$  the space of functionals continuous in uniform topology. **Then locally finite measures can be characterized as elements  $\mu \in C_c^0(M)^*$  which are non-negative on all non-negative functions.**

**Proof:** Clearly, all measures give such functionals. Conversely, consider a functional  $\mu \in C_c^0(M)^*$  which is non-negative on all non-negative functions. Given a closed set  $K \subset M$ , the characteristic function  $\chi_K$  can be obtained as a monotonously decreasing limit of continuous functions  $f_i$  which are equal to 1 on  $K$  **(prove it)**. Define  $\mu(K) := \lim_i \mu(f_i)$ ; this limit is well defined because the sequence  $\mu(f_i)$  is monotonous. This gives an additive Borel measure on  $M$  **(prove it)**. ■

## Space of measures and Tychonoff topology

**REMARK:** (Tychonoff theorem)

**A product of any number of compact spaces is compact.**

This theorem is hard and its proof is notoriously counter-intuitive. However, from Tychonoff the following theorem follows immediately.

**THEOREM:** Let  $M$  be a compact topological space, and  $\mathcal{P}$  the space of probability measures on  $M$  equipped with the measure topology. **Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is compact.**

**Proof. Step 1:** For any probability measure on  $M$ , and any  $f \in C_c^0(M)$ , one has  $\min(f) \leq \int_M f \mu \leq \max(f)$ . Therefore,  $\mu$  can be considered as an element of the product  $\prod_{f \in C_c^0(M)} [\min(f), \max(f)]$  of closed intervals indexed by  $f \in C_c^0(M)$ , and **Tychonoff topology on this product induces the weak-\* topology.**

**Step 2:** A closed subset of a compact set is again compact, hence **it suffices to show that all limit points of  $\mathcal{P} \subset \prod_{f \in C_c^0(M)} [\min(f), \max(f)]$  are probability measures.** This is implied by Theorem (\*). The limit measure satisfies  $\mu(M) = 1$  because the constant function  $f = 1$  has compact support, hence  $\lim \int_M \mu_i = \int_M \mu$  whenever  $\lim_i \mu_i = \mu$ . ■

The space  $C_c^0(M)$  is second countable (an exercise)

**DEFINITION:** Let  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ . A function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  **$C$ -Lipschitz** if  $|f(x) - f(y)| < Cd(x, y)$ , and **Lipschitz** if it is  $C$ -Lipschitz for some  $C > 0$ .

**EXERCISE:** Let  $M$  be a second countable metrizable topological space. Prove that the space of all Lipschitz maps with uniform topology has a countable dense subset.

**EXERCISE:** Let  $M$  be a second countable metrizable topological space. Prove that  $C_c^0(M)$  has a countable dense subset.

## The space of Lipschitz functions is second countable

**DEFINITION:** An  $\varepsilon$ -net in a metric space  $M$  is a subset  $Z \subset M$  such that any  $m \in M$  lies in an  $\varepsilon$ -ball with center in  $Z$ .

**REMARK:** A metric space is compact **if and only if it has a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  (prove it).**

**Claim 1:** Let  $M$  be a compact metrizable topological space. **Then the space of  $C$ -Lipschitz functions has a countable dense subset.**

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $Z$  be a finite  $\varepsilon/C$ -net in  $M_0$ . Then for any  $C$ -Lipschitz functions  $f, g$ , one has

$$\left| \sup_{m \in M} |f - g| - \sup_{z \in Z} |f - g| \right| < 2\varepsilon,$$

because for each  $m \in M$  there exists  $m' \in Z$  such that  $d(m, m') < \varepsilon/C$ , and then  $|f(m) - f(m')| < C\varepsilon/C = \varepsilon$ , giving  $|f(m) - g(m)| < |f(m') - g(m')| + 2\varepsilon$ .

## The space of Lipschitz functions is second countable

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $Z$  be a finite  $\varepsilon/C$ -net in  $M_0$ . Then for any  $C$ -Lipschitz functions  $f, g$ ,

$$\left| \sup_{m \in M} |f - g| - \sup_{z \in Z} |f - g| \right| < 2\varepsilon.$$

**Step 2:** Let  $R_\varepsilon$  be the set of all functions on  $Z$  with values in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $\varphi \in R_\varepsilon$  denote by  $U_\varphi$  an open set of all  $C$ -Lipschitz functions  $f$  satisfying  $\max_{z \in Z} |f(z) - \varphi(z)| < \varepsilon$ . Then for all  $f, g \in U_\varphi$ , one has  $\max_{z \in Z} |f(z) - g(z)| < 2\varepsilon$ , and by Step 1 this gives  $\sup_{m \in M} |f - g| < 4\varepsilon$ .

**Step 3:** The set of all such  $U_\varphi$  is countable; choosing a function  $f_\varphi$  in each non-empty  $U_\varphi$ , we use  $\sup_{m \in M} |f - g| < 4\varepsilon$  to see that  $\{f_\varphi\}$  is a countable  $4\varepsilon$ -net in the space of  $C$ -Lipschitz functions. ■

**COROLLARY:** Let  $M$  be a compact metrizable topological space. **Then  $C_c^0(M)$  has a countable dense subset.**

**Proof:** Using Claim 1, we see that it is sufficient to show that Lipschitz functions are dense in the set of all continuous functions; this follows from the Stone-Weierstrass theorem. ■



## Tychonoff theorem for countable families

**REMARK:** Let  $\{F_i\}$  be a countable, dense set in  $C^0(M)$ . Then any measure  $\mu$  is determined by  $\int_M F_i \mu$ , and **weak-\* topology is topology of pointwise convergence on  $F_i$** . This implies that **compactness of the space of measures is implied by the compactness of the product  $\prod_{F_i} [\min(F_i), \max(F_i)]$ , which is countable.**

### **THEOREM: (Countable Tychonoff theorem)**

**A countable product of metrizable compacts is compact.**

**Proof:** Let  $\{M_i\}$  be a countable family of metrizable compacts. We need to show that the space of sequences  $\{a_i \in M_i\}$  with topology of pointwise convergence is compact. Take a sequence  $\{a_i(j)\}$  of such sequences, and replace it by a subsequence  $\{a'_i(j) \in M_i\}$  where  $a_1(i)$  converges. Let  $b_1 := \lim a'_i(1)$ . Replace this sequence by a subsequence  $\{a''_i(j) \in M_i\}$  where  $a_2(i)$  converges. Put  $b_2 = \lim_i a''_i(2)$  and so on. Then  $\{b_i\}$  is a limit point of our original sequence  $\{a_i(j)\}$ . By Heine-Borel, compactness for second countable spaces is equivalent to sequential compactness, hence  $\prod_i M_i$  is compact. ■