

Teoria Ergódica Diferenciável

lecture 14: Mixing, weak mixing, ergodicity

Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada

Misha Verbitsky, November 1, 2017

Hilbert spaces (reminder)

DEFINITION: Hilbert space is a complete, infinite-dimensional Hermitian space which is second countable (that is, has a countable dense set).

DEFINITION: Orthonormal basis in a Hilbert space H is a set of pairwise orthogonal vectors $\{x_\alpha\}$ which satisfy $|x_\alpha| = 1$, and such that H is the closure of the subspace generated by the set $\{x_\alpha\}$.

THEOREM: Any Hilbert space has a basis, and all such bases are countable.

Proof: A basis is found using Zorn lemma. If it's not countable, open balls with centers in x_α and radius $\varepsilon < 2^{-1/2}$ don't intersect, which means that the second countability axiom is not satisfied. ■

THEOREM: All Hilbert spaces are isometric.

Proof: Each Hilbert space has a countable orthonormal basis. ■

Real Hilbert spaces (reminder)

DEFINITION: A Euclidean space is a vector space over \mathbb{R} equipped with a positive definite scalar product g .

DEFINITION: **Real Hilbert space** is a complete, infinite-dimensional Euclidean space which is second countable (that is, has a countable dense set).

DEFINITION: **Orthonormal basis** in a Hilbert space H is a set of pairwise orthogonal vectors $\{x_\alpha\}$ which satisfy $\|x_\alpha\| = 1$, and such that H is the closure of the subspace generated by the set $\{x_\alpha\}$.

THEOREM: **Any real Hilbert space has a basis, and all such bases are countable.**

Proof: A basis is found using Zorn lemma. If it's not countable, open balls with centers in x_α and radius $\varepsilon < 2^{-1/2}$ don't intersect, which means that the second countability axiom is not satisfied. ■

THEOREM: **All real Hilbert spaces are isometric.**

Proof: Each Hilbert space has a countable orthonormal basis. ■

Koopman operators

DEFINITION: Let (M, μ) be a space with finite measure, and $T : M \rightarrow M$ a measurable map preserving measure. The triple (M, μ, T) is called **dynamical system**. The map T defines an isometric embedding $T^* : L^2(M, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(M, \mu)$ on the space of square-integrable functions, called **the Koopman operator**.

DEFINITION: Two dynamical systems (M, μ, T) and (M_1, μ_1, T_1) are **spectral equivalent** if there exists an invertible map $\varphi : L^2(M, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(M_1, \mu_1)$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^2(M, \mu) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & L^2(M_1, \mu_1) \\ T^* \downarrow & & \downarrow T_1^* \\ L^2(M, \mu) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & L^2(M_1, \mu_1) \end{array}$$

(this is the same as to say that the equivalence φ exchanges the Koopman operators T^* and T_1^*).

DEFINITION: A property A of dynamical system is called **spectral invariant** if for each two spectral invariant systems (M, μ, T) and (M_1, μ_1, T_1) , the property A holds for $(M, \mu, T) \Leftrightarrow$ it holds for (M_1, μ_1, T_1) .

REMARK: We shall see today that **ergodicity is a spectral invariant**.

Adjoint maps (reminder)

EXERCISE: Let (H, g) be a Hilbert space. Show that **the map $x \longrightarrow g(x, \cdot)$ defines an isomorphism $H \longrightarrow H^*$.**

DEFINITION: Let $A : H \longrightarrow H$ be a continuous linear endomorphism of a Hilbert space (H, g) . Then $\lambda \longrightarrow \lambda(A(\cdot))$ map $A^* : H^* \longrightarrow H^*$. Identifying H and H^* as above, we interpret A^* as an endomorphism of H . It is called **adjoint endomorphism** (**Hermitian adjoint** in Hermitian Hilbert spaces).

REMARK: The map A^* satisfies $g(x, A(y)) = g(A^*(x), y)$. This relation is often taken as a definition of the adjoint map.

DEFINITION: An operator $U : H \longrightarrow H$ is **orthogonal** if $g(x, y) = g(U(x), U(y))$ for all $x, y \in H$.

CLAIM: An operator U is orthogonal if and only if $U^*(U(x)) = x$ for all x .

Proof: Indeed, orthogonality is equivalent to $g(x, y) = g(U^*U(x), y)$, which is equivalent to $U^*U = \text{Id}$ because the form $g(z, \cdot)$ is non-zero for non-zero z . ■

Orthogonal maps and direct sum decompositions (reminder)

LEMMA: Let $U : H \rightarrow H$ be an invertible orthogonal map. Denote by H^U the kernel of $1 - U$, that is, the space of U -invariant vectors, and let H_1 be the closure of the image of $1 - U$. **Then $H = H^U \oplus H_1$ is an orthogonal direct sum decomposition.**

Proof: Let $x \in H^U$. Then

$$(U^* - 1)(x) = (U^* - 1)U(x) = (1 - U)x = 0.$$

This gives $g(x, (U - 1)y) = g((U^* - 1)x, y) = 0$, hence $x \perp H_1$. Conversely, any vector x which is orthogonal to H_1 satisfies $0 = g(x, (U - 1)y) = g((U^* - 1)x, y)$, giving

$$0 = (U^* - 1)(x) = (U^* - 1)U(x) = (1 - U)x.$$

■

Von Neumann ergodic theorem (reminder)

Corollary 1: Let $U : H \rightarrow H$ be an invertible orthogonal map, and $U_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U^i(x)$. **Then $\lim_n U_n(x) = P(x)$, for all $x \in H$ where P is orthogonal projection to H^U .**

Proof: By the previous lemma, it suffices to show that $\lim_n U_n = 0$ on H_1 . However, the vectors of form $x = (1 - U)(y)$ are dense in H_1 , and for such x we have $U_n(x) = U_n(1 - U)(y) = \frac{1 - U^n}{n}(y)$, and it converges to 0 because $\|U^n\| = 1$. ■

THEOREM: Let (M, μ) be a measure space and $T : M \rightarrow M$ a map preserving the measure. Consider the space $L^2(M)$ of functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with f^2 integrable, and let $T^* : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$ map f to T^*f . **Then the series $T_n(f) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T^*)^i(f)$ converges in $L^2(M)$ to a T^* -invariant function.**

Proof: Corollary 1 implies that $T_n(f)$ converges to $P(f)$. ■

Ergodic measures and Cesàro sums

From now on, all measure spaces we consider are tacitly assumed to have finite measure.

REMARK: **a. e.** means “almost everywhere”, that is, outside of a measure 0 set.

THEOREM: Let (M, μ) be a space with (finite) measure, and $T : M \rightarrow M$ a measurable map. Then T is ergodic if and only if for any bounded function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the function $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T^*)^i f$ is constant a. e.

Proof: By von Neumann ergodic theorem, $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T^*)^i f$ is T -invariant, hence constant a.e. whenever T is μ -ergodic. Conversely, if T is not μ -ergodic, there exists a bounded, measurable T -invariant function f which is not constant a.e., and $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T^*)^i f = f$ is not constant. ■

REMARK: Ergodicity would follow if $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T^*)^i f = \text{const}$ for all $f = \chi_A$, where $A \subset M$ is a measurable subset, and χ_A its characteristic function.

COROLLARY: A dynamical system (M, μ, T) **is ergodic if and only if the eigenspace of the corresponding Koopman operator $T^* : L^2(M, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(M, \mu)$ with eigenvalue 1 is 1-dimensional.**

Convergence in density

DEFINITION: The **(asymptotic) density** of a subset $J \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$ is the limit $\lim_N \frac{|J \cap [1, N]|}{N}$. A subset $J \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$ has **density 1** if $\lim_N \frac{|J \cap [1, N]|}{N} = 1$.

DEFINITION: A sequence $\{a_i\}$ of real numbers **converges to a in density** if there exists a subset $J \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$ of density 1 such that $\lim_{i \in J} a_i = a$. The convergence in density is denoted by $\text{Dlim}_i a_i = a$.

PROPOSITION: (Koopman-von Neumann, 1932) Let $\{a_i\}$ be a sequence of bounded non-negative numbers, $a_i \in [0, C]$. **Then convergence to 0 in density is equivalent to the convergence of Cesàro sums:**

$$\text{Dlim}_i a_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i = 0$$

Proof: See the next slide

Convergence in density (2)

Proof. Step 1: If $\text{Dlim } a_i = 0$, then for $J \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$ of density 1, one has $\lim_{i \in J} a_i = 0$, which gives

$$\lim_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i = \lim_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [1, N] \cap J} a_i + \lim_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [1, N] \setminus J} a_i$$

The first of the limits on RHS converges to 0 because $\lim_{i \in J} a_i = 0$, and the second limit is bounded by $\lim_N C \frac{|[1, N] \setminus J|}{N}$, converging to 0. **The same argument proves that density convergence always implies Cesàro convergence for bounded sequences.**

Step 2: Conversely, if $\lim_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i = 0$, let L_k be the set of all n such that $a_n \geq \frac{1}{k}$. Clearly, $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \dots$. The density of each L_k is 0 because $\frac{|L_k \cap [1, N]|}{N} \leq k \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i$, and the later term converges to 0. Define a sequence $n_k \leq n_{k+1} \leq \dots$ in such a way that $\frac{|L_k \cap [1, n]|}{n} < \frac{1}{k}$ for all $n \geq n_k$, and let $L := \bigcup_k (L_k \cap [n_k, \infty[)$. Denote by J the set $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1} \setminus L$. **Then $\lim_{i \in J} a_i = 0$, because on each interval $[n_k, n_{k+1}]$, for all $i \notin L$ one has $i \notin L_k$, giving $a_i \leq 1/k$.**

Step 3: It remains to show that L has density 0. Let $m \in [n_{k-1}, n_k]$. Then $\frac{|L \cap [0, m]|}{m} \leq \frac{|L_k \cap [0, m]|}{m} \leq \frac{1}{k}$, hence $\lim_m \frac{|L \cap [0, m]|}{m} = 0$. ■

Mixing, weak mixing, ergodicity

DEFINITION: Let (M, μ, T) be a dynamic system, with μ a probability measure. We say that

(i) **T is ergodic** if $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_M (T^*)^i(\chi_A)\chi_B \mu = \mu(A)\mu(B)$, for all measurable sets $A, B \subset M$.

(ii) **T is weak mixing** if $\text{Dlim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} (T^*)^i(\chi_A)\chi_B = \mu(A)\mu(B)$.

(iii) **T is mixing**, or **strongly mixing** if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int (T^*)^i(\chi_A)\chi_B = \mu(A)\mu(B)$.

REMARK: The first condition is equivalent to the usual definition of ergodicity by the previous remark. Indeed, from (usual) ergodicity it follows that $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T^*)^i(\chi_A) = \mu(A)$, which gives $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (T^*)^i(\chi_A)\chi_B = \mu(A)\chi(B)$ and the integral of this function is precisely $\mu(A)\mu(B)$. Conversely, if $\lim_n \int (T^*)^i(\chi_A)\chi_B$ depends only on the measure of B , the function $\lim_n \int (T^*)^i(\chi_A)$ is constant, hence T is ergodic in the usual sense.

REMARK: Clearly, (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) (the last implication follows because the density convergence implies the Cesàro convergence). ■

Mixing, weak mixing, ergodicity: spectral invariance

Notice that the space generated by χ_A is C^0 -dense in the space of all measurable functions. Therefore, in the definition of mixing/weak mixing/ergodicity we may replace χ_A, χ_B by arbitrary L^2 -integrable functions. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the scalar product on the Hilbert space $L^2(M, \mu)$.

DEFINITION: Let (M, μ, T) be a dynamic system. We say that

(i) **T is ergodic** if $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle T^i(f), g \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle = \langle f, 1 \rangle \langle g, 1 \rangle$ for all $f, g \in L^2(M, \mu)$.

(ii) **T is weak mixing** if $\text{D}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T^n(f), g \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle = \langle f, 1 \rangle \langle g, 1 \rangle$.

(iii) **T is mixing**, or **strongly mixing**, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T^n(f), g \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle = \langle f, 1 \rangle \langle g, 1 \rangle$.

REMARK: Notice that **these three notions are spectral invariants**. Indeed, the weakest of them already implies ergodicity, that is, the eigenspace of eigenvalue 1 of T is 1-dimensional. This implies that T determines the constant function in $L^2(M, \mu)$. However, the conditions (i)-(iii) are expressed in terms of 1, T and the scalar product, hence spectral invariant.

Mixing: probabilistic interpretation

DEFINITION: Probability space is the set M , elements of which are called **outcomes**, equipped with a σ -algebra of subsets, called **events**, and a probability measure μ . In this interpretation, the measure of an event $U \subset M$ is its probability. A **random variable** is a measurable map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Its **expected value** is $E(f) := \int_M f \mu$. The **correlation** of random variables f, g is $C(f, g) := E(fg) - E(f)E(g)$.

REMARK: Mixing means precisely that $\lim_i (C(T^i f, g)) = 0$, that is, for any two random variables f and g , the correlation of $T^i f$ and g converges to 0.

REMARK: Let $A \subset M$ be an event. **Conditional expectation** of the random variable f is $E_A(f) := \frac{\int_A f \mu}{\mu(A)}$. This is an expectation of f under the condition that the event A happened. The conditional expectation $E_A(\chi_B) := \frac{\mu(A \cap B)}{\mu(A)}$ is probability that B happens under the condition that A happened.

Correlation between events $A, B \subset M$ is the measure of their independence:

$$E_A(\chi_B) = E(\chi_B) \Leftrightarrow \frac{\mu(A \cap B)}{\mu(A)} = \mu(B) \Leftrightarrow \mu(A \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B).$$

if correlation is 0, **this means that the probability of A is entirely unaffected by B .**

Mixing: coin tossing

DEFINITION: Let P be a finite set, $P^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the product of \mathbb{Z} copies of P , $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}$ a finite subset, and $\pi_{\Sigma} : P^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow P^{|\Sigma|}$ projection to the corresponding components. **Cylindrical sets** are sets $C_R := \pi_{\Sigma}^{-1}(R)$, where $R \subset P^{|\Sigma|}$ is any subset.

REMARK: For Bernoulli space, **a complement to an cylindrical set is again an open set**, and the cylindrical sets **form a Boolean algebra**.

DEFINITION: Bernoulli measure on $P^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is μ such that $\mu(C_R) := \frac{|R|}{|P|^{|\Sigma|}}$.

REMARK: We consider $P^{\mathbb{Z}}$ as the set of outcomes of infinite sets of coin tossing. The corresponding events are observations of some of the tosses, and its measure is the probability of an event.

THEOREM: (Lebesgue approximation theorem)

For each Lebesgue measurable set $S \subset P^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a cylindrical subset $C_R = \pi_{\Sigma}^{-1}(R)$ **such that** $\mu(C_R \Delta S) < \varepsilon$.

Proof: The σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets is by definition a completion of the Boolean algebra of cylindrical sets. ■

Bernoulli shifts are mixing

DEFINITION: Bernoulli shift maps a sequence $a_{-n}, a_{-n+1}, \dots, a_0, a_1, \dots$ to the sequence $b_{-n}, b_{-n+1}, \dots, b_0, b_1, \dots$, $b_i = a_{i-1}$.

CLAIM: The corresponding \mathbb{Z} -action is (strongly) mixing on the Bernoulli space.

Proof. Step 1: Since the set of characteristic functions of cylindrical sets is dense, it suffices to prove the mixing for A, B cylindrical, $A = C_R = \pi_{\Sigma}^{-1}(R)$, $B = C_{R'} = \pi_{\Sigma'}^{-1}(R')$.

Step 2: Let $C_R = \pi_{\Sigma}^{-1}(R)$ and $C_{R'} = \pi_{\Sigma'}^{-1}(R')$ be two open sets, where $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Sigma' \subset \mathbb{Z}$ don't intersect. Then $\mu(C_R \cap C_{R'}) = \mu(C_R)\mu(C_{R'})$: the corresponding correlations vanish. Indeed,

$$\mu(C_R \cap C_{R'}) = \frac{|R||R'|}{|P|^{|\Sigma|+|\Sigma'|}}.$$

This is intuitively clear, because different coin tossings are independent.

Step 3: For sufficiently big power T^N of the Bernoulli shift, the sets $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi(\Sigma')$ don't intersect, which gives $C(T^N(C_R), C_{R'}) = 0$. ■