# Teoria Ergódica Diferenciável

#### lecture 18: Ergodic decomposition theorem

Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada

Misha Verbitsky, November 17, 2017

## Radon-Nikodym theorem (reminder)

**DEFINITION:** Let *S* be a space equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\mu, \nu$  two measures on this  $\sigma$ -algebra. We say that  $\nu$  is **absolutely continuous** with respect to  $\mu$  if for each measurable set *A*,  $\mu(A) = 0$  implies  $\nu(A) = 0$ . This relation is denoted  $\nu \ll \mu$ ; clearly, it defines a partial order on measures.

**THEOREM:** (Radon-Nikodym) Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two measures on a space S with a  $\sigma$ -algebra, satisfying  $\mu(S) < \infty$ ,  $\nu(S) < \infty$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$ . Then there exists an integrable function  $f: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  such that  $\nu = f\mu$ .

**COROLLARY:** Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two ergodic measures on  $(M, \Gamma)$  which are not proportional. Then  $\nu \not\ll \mu$  and  $\mu \not\ll \nu$ .

**Proof:** Indeed, otherwise we would have  $\nu = f\mu$  or  $\mu = f\nu$ , where f is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant measurable function. Then f is constant a. e. by ergodicity.

### Convex cones and extremal rays (reminder)

**DEFINITION:** Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $K \subset V$  a subset. We say that K is **convex** if for all  $x, y \in K$ , the interval  $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  lies in K. We say that K is a **convex cone** if it is convex and for all  $\lambda > 0$ , the homothety map  $x \longrightarrow \lambda x$  preserves K.

**EXAMPLE:** Let M be a space equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A} \subset 2^M$ , and V the space formally generated by all  $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Denote by S subspace in  $V^*$  generated by all finite measures. This space is called the space of finite signed measures. The measures constitute a convex cone in S.

**DEFINITION: Extreme point** of a convex set K is a point  $x \in K$  such that for any  $a, b \in K$  and any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , ta + (1-t)b = x implies a = b = x. **Extremal** ray of a convex cone K is a non-zero vector x such that for any  $a, b \in K$  and  $t_1, t_2 > 0$ , a decomposition  $x = t_1a + t_2b$  implies that a, b are proportional to x.

**DEFINITION: Convex hull** of a set  $X \subset V$  is the smallest convex set containing X.

**EXAMPLE:** Let V be a vector space, and  $x_1, ..., x_n, ...$  linearly independent vectors. Simplex is the convex hull of  $\{x_i\}$ . Its extremal points are  $\{x_i\}$  (prove it).

# **Ergodic measures as extremal rays (reminder)**

**Lemma 1:** Let  $(M, \mu)$  be a measured space, and  $\Gamma$  a group which acts ergodically on M. Consider a measure  $\nu$  on M which is  $\Gamma$ -invariant and satisfies  $\nu \ll \mu$ . Then  $\nu = \text{const} \cdot \mu$ .

**Proof:** Radon-Nikodym gives  $\nu = f\mu$ . The function  $f = \frac{\nu}{\mu}$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, because both  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Then f = const almost everywhere.

**Lemma 2:** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be measures,  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ , and  $\mu := t_1\mu_1 + t_2\mu_2$ . Then  $\mu_1 \ll \mu$ .

**Proof:**  $\mu_1(U) \leq t_1^{-1}\mu(U)$ , hence  $\mu_1(U) = 0$  whenever  $\mu(U) = 0$ .

# Ergodic measures as extremal rays 2 (reminder)

**THEOREM:** Let  $(M, \mu)$  be a space equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra and a group  $\Gamma$  acting on M and preserving the  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\mathcal{M}$  the cone of finite inivariant measures on M. Consider a finite,  $\Gamma$ -invariant measure on M. Then the following are equivalent.

(a)  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  lies in the extremal ray of  $\mathcal{M}$ 

(b)  $\mu$  is ergodic.

(a) implies (b): Let U be an  $\Gamma$ -invariant measurable subset. Then  $\mu = \mu|_U + \mu|_{M\setminus U}$ , and one of these two measures must vanish, because  $\mu$  is extremal.

(b) implies (a): Let  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  be a decomposition of the measure  $\mu$  onto a sum of two invariant measures. Then  $\mu \gg \mu_1$  and  $\mu \gg \mu_2$  (Lemma 2), hence  $\mu$  is proportional to  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  (Lemma 1).

**REMARK:** A probability measure  $\mu$  lies on an extremal ray if and only if it is extreme as a point in the convex set of all probability measures (prove it).

# **Existence of ergodic measures (reminder)**

To prove existence of ergodic measures, we use the following strategy:

1. Define topology on the space  $\mathcal{M}$  of finite measures ("measure topology" or "weak-\* topology") such that the space of probability measures is compact.

2. Use Krein-Milman theorem.

**THEOREM:** (Krein-Milman) Let  $K \subset V$  be a compact, convex subset in a locally convex topological vector space. Then K is the closure of the convex hull of the set of its extreme points.

This theorem implies that any  $\Gamma$ -invariant finite measure is a limit of finite sums of ergodic measures.

#### Faces of compact convex sets

**DEFINITION:** Face of a convex set  $A \subset V$  is a convex subset  $F \subset A$  such that for all  $x, y \in A$  whenever  $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in F$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we have  $x, y \in F$ .

**EXAMPLE:** Let  $A \subset V$  be a convex set, and  $\lambda : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a linear map. Consider the set  $F_{\lambda} := \{a \in A \mid \lambda(a) = \sup_{x \in A} \lambda(x)\}$ . Then  $F_{\lambda}$  is a face of A.

**REMARK:** Let  $x, y \in V$  be distinct points in a topological vector space. Hahn-Banach theorem implies that **there exists a continuous linear functional**  $\lambda : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda(x) \neq \lambda(y)$ .

**COROLLARY:** The set of extreme points of a compact convex subset  $A \subset V$  is non-empty.

**Proof:** Indeed, from the above argument it follows that A has a non-trivial face, which is also compact and convex. Intersection of a chain of faces  $F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq F_3$ ... is also a face, which is non-empty because all  $F_i$  are compact. Now, Zorn lemma implies that the smallest face is a point.

## **Krein-Milman theorem**

**THEOREM:** Let  $A \subset V$  be a compact convex subset a topological vector space. Then A is the closure of the convex hull of the set E(A) of extreme points of A.

**Proof:** Let  $A_1$  be the closure of the convex hull of the set E(A) of extreme points of A. Suppose that  $A_1 \subsetneq A$ . Using Hahn-Banach theorem, we can find a  $\lambda$  which vanishes on  $A_1$  and satisfies  $\lambda(z) > 0$  for some  $z \in A$ . Then the face  $F_{\lambda} = \{a \in A \mid \lambda(a) = \sup_{x \in A} \lambda(x)\}$  does not intersect  $A_1$  and contains an extreme point, as shown above.

# **Choquet theorem**

**THEOREM:** (Choquet theorem) Let  $K \subset V$  be a compact, convex subset in a locally convex topological vector space, R the closure of the set E(K) of its extreme points, and P the space of all probabilistic Borel measures on R. Consider the map  $\Phi : P \longrightarrow K$  putting  $\mu$  to  $\int_{x \in R} x\mu$ . Then  $\Phi$  is surjective.

**Proof:** By weak-\* compactness of the space of measures, P is compact. The image of  $\Phi$  is convex and contains all points of R which correspond to atomic measures. On the other hand, an image of a compact set under a continuous map is compact, hence  $\Phi(P)$  is compact and complete. Finally, K is a completion of a convex hull of R, hence  $K = \Phi(P)$ .

**REMARK:** The measure  $\mu$  associated with a point  $k \in K$  is not necessarily unique. If  $\Phi : P \longrightarrow K$  is bijective, the set K is called a simplex.

#### **Ergodic decomposition of a measure**

**THEOREM:** Let  $\Gamma$  be a group (or a semigroup) acting on a topological space M and preserving the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, P the space of all  $\Gamma$ -invariant

probabilistic measures on M, and R the space of ergodic probabilistic measures. Then, for each  $\mu \in P$ , there exists a probability measure  $\rho_{\mu}$  on R, such that  $\mu = \int x \in Rx \rho_{\mu}$ . Moreover, if  $\Gamma$  is countable, the measure  $\rho_{\mu}$  is uniquely determined by  $\mu$ .

**REMARK:** Such a form  $\rho_{\mu}$  is called **ergodic decomposition** of a form  $\mu$ .

**Existence of ergodic decomposition follows from Choquet theorem.** We prove uniqueness of ergodic decomposition in the next lecture.