# **K3** surfaces

lecture 7: Intersection form of a K3 surface

Misha Verbitsky

IMPA, sala 236

September 23, 2024, 17:00

## K3 surfaces are holomorphically symplectic (reminder)

**DEFINITION: A complex surface** is a compact, complex manifold of complex dimension 2.

**DEFINITION:** A K3 surface is a Kähler complex surface M with  $b_1 = 0$  and  $c_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

**REMARK: All surfaces with**  $b_1$  even are Kähler (Kodaira, Buchdahl-Lamari).

**REMARK:** Since  $b_1(M) = 0$ , for a K3 we have  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_M) = 0$  (follows from Hodge theory). The canonical bundle of a K3 surface is trivial. This follows from the exponential exact sequence  $0 = H^1(\mathcal{O}_M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^1(M) \xrightarrow{c_1} H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ 

**COROLLARY: A K3 surface is holomorphically symplectic.** 

M. Verbitsky

### Period map for holomorphically symplectic manifolds (reminder)

**DEFINITION:** Let  $(M, I, \Omega)$  be a holomorphically symplectic manifold, and CSymp the space of all C-symplectic forms. The quotient CTeich :=  $\frac{CSymp}{Diff_0}$ is called **the holomorphically symplectic Teichmüller space**, and the map CTeich  $\longrightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$  taking  $(M, I, \Omega)$  to the cohomology class  $[\Omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$ **the holomorphically symplectic period map**.

We want to prove that **the period map is locally an embedding.** This is immediately implied by the following version of Moser's lemma.

**THEOREM:** Let  $(M, I_t, \Omega_t)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  be a family of C-symplectic forms on a compact manifold. Assume that the cohomology class  $[\Omega_t] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$  is constant, and  $H^{0,1}(M, I_t) = 0$ , where  $H^{0,1}(M, I_t) = H^1(M, \Theta_{(M, I_t)})$  is cohomology of the sheaf of holomorphic functions. Then **there exists a smooth family of diffeomorphisms**  $V_t \in \text{Diff}_0(M)$ , such that  $V_t^*\Omega_0 = \Omega_t$ .

**Proof:** Later in this course.

# **Intersection form on** $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda^{2,0}(V)$

**Lemma A:** Let (V, I, g) be a 4-dimensional space equipped with a complex structure operator  $I \in \text{End}(V)$ ,  $I^2 = -\text{Id}$ , and  $W := \text{Re}(\Lambda^{2,0}(V,I)) \subset \Lambda^4(V)$ **Then for any non-zero**  $\alpha \in W$ , one has  $\frac{\alpha \wedge \alpha}{\text{Vol}} > 0$ .

**Proof:** The space  $\Lambda^{2,0}(V,I) \subset \Lambda^2(V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$  is 1-dimensional over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\Omega = \omega_1 + \sqrt{-1} \omega_2$  be its generator, with  $\omega_1 = \operatorname{Re}\Omega$ ,  $\omega_2 = \operatorname{Im}\Omega$ . Then

$$0 = \Omega \wedge \Omega = \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + 2\sqrt{-1}\,\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$$

implies  $\omega_1^2 = \omega_2^2$ . By definition of the orientation in V, one has  $\frac{\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}}{\text{Vol}} > 0$ , but  $\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 = 2\omega_2^2$ , hence  $\omega_1^2 > 0$ .

# Intersection form on $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda_{prim}^{1,1}(V)$

**Lemma B:** Let (V, I, g) be a 4-dimensional space equipped with a complex structure operator  $I \in \text{End}(V)$ ,  $I^2 = -\text{Id}$ ,  $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(V)$  a Hermitian form, and  $\Lambda^{1,1}_{prim}(V) \subset \Lambda^{1,1}(V,I) \subset \Lambda^4(V)$  be the space of (1,1)-forms  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \wedge \omega = 0$ . Then for any non-zero  $\alpha \in W$ , one has  $\frac{\alpha \wedge \alpha}{Vol} < 0$ .

**Proof.** Step 1: Consider the Hodge star operator  $* : \Lambda^2(V) \longrightarrow \Lambda^2(V)$ . Clearly,  $*^2 = \text{Id}$ , hence all eigenvalues of \* are  $\pm 1$ . If we invert the orientation, \* becomes -\*; this implies that \* is conjugated to -\*, hence the multiplicity of 1 and -1 is equal 3. Denote the corresponding eigenspaces as  $\Lambda^2 V =$  $\Lambda^+ V \oplus \Lambda^- V$ . This decomposition is clearly orthogonal with respect to the pairing  $\alpha, \beta \longrightarrow \frac{\alpha \wedge \beta}{\text{Vol}}$ .

**Step 2:** Let us identify V with quaternionic algebra  $\mathbb{H}$  Then the three symplectic structures  $\operatorname{Re}\Omega, \operatorname{Im}\Omega, \omega$  can be understood as Hermitian forms for I, J, K, which implies that  $*\omega = \omega$ , and the same is true for  $\operatorname{Re}\Omega, \operatorname{Im}\Omega$ . Therefore,  $\langle \operatorname{Re}\Omega, \operatorname{Im}\Omega, \omega \rangle = \Lambda^+ V$ .

**Step 3:** The space  $\Lambda_{prim}^{1,1}(V)$  is 3-dimensional and orthogonal to the 3-dimensional space  $\langle \operatorname{Re}\Omega, \operatorname{Im}\Omega, \omega \rangle$ . The space  $\langle \operatorname{Re}\Omega, \operatorname{Im}\Omega, \omega \rangle$  is equal to  $\Lambda^+ V$ , as follows from Step 2. Then  $\Lambda_{prim}^{1,1}(V) = \langle \operatorname{Re}\Omega, \operatorname{Im}\Omega, \omega \rangle^{\perp} = \Lambda^- V$ .

#### Hodge index formula

**THEOREM:** Let  $(M, I, \omega)$  be a complex Kähler surface, and  $H_{prim}^{1,1}(M)$  the kernel of the natural map  $\alpha \to \int_M \alpha \wedge \omega$ . Then **the intersection form is positive definite on the space**  $\operatorname{Re} H^{2,0}(M) \oplus \mathbb{R}\omega$  and negative definite in  $H_{prim}^{1,1}(M)$ .

**Proof. Step 1:** It is clear that the intersection form is positive definite on the space  $\langle \omega \rangle$ , which is orthogonal to  $\operatorname{Re} H^{2,0}(M)$ . On  $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda^{2,0}(M)$ , the form  $\alpha \to \alpha \wedge \alpha$ , taking value in  $C^{\infty}M$ , is positive by the previous lemma, hence the intersection form is positive definite on (2,0) + (0,2) real cohomology classes. It remains only to prove it is negative definite on  $H^{1,1}_{prim}(M)$ .

**Step 2:** The operator  $\alpha \to \alpha \land \omega$  takes harmonic form to harmonic, as follows from Hodge theory. Therefore, for any  $[\alpha] \in H^{1,1}_{prim}(M)$ , its harmonic representative  $\alpha$  satisfies  $\alpha \land \omega = 0$ . Now, Lemma B implies that the intersection form is negative definite on  $H^{1,1}_{prim}(M)$ .

### Local Torelli theorem

**REMARK:** In real dimension 4, C-symplectic form is a pair  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  of symplectic forms which satisfy  $\omega_1^2 = \omega_2^2$  and  $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = 0$ .

**THEOREM:** Let  $(M, I, \Omega)$  be a complex holomorphically symplectic surface with  $H^{0,1}(M) = 0$ , that is, a K3 surface. Consider the period map Per : CTeich  $\longrightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$  taking  $(M, I, \Omega)$  to the cohomology class  $[\Omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$ . Then Per is a local diffeomorphism of CTeich to the period space  $Q := \{v \in H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \mid \int_M v \wedge v = 0, \int_M v \wedge \overline{v} > 0\}.$ 

**Proof:** Later in this course.

**A caution:** CTeich is smooth, but non-Hausdorff.

## The period space of complex structures

**DEFINITION:** Since  $H^{2,0}(M) = \mathbb{C}$ , the space CTeich is  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -fibered over the space Teich of complex structures on K3. The corresponding period space is denoted  $\mathbb{P}$ er := { $v \in \mathbb{P}H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \mid \int_M v \wedge v = 0, \int_M v \wedge \overline{v} > 0$ }.

The following theorem follows from local Torelli for C-symplectic structures.

**PROPOSITION:** (local Torelli theorem for complex structures) Let Teich be the space of complex structures on a K3 surface, and Per : Teich  $\longrightarrow$  Per the map taking (M, I) to the line  $H^{2,0}(M) \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$ . Then Per is a local diffeomorphism.

**Proof:** The group  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts on CTeich and on Q, which are locally diffeomorphic, hence Teich = CTeich / $\mathbb{C}^*$  is locally diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}$ er =  $Q/\mathbb{C}^*$ .

#### The period space of complex structures is a Grassmannian

**CLAIM:**  $\mathbb{P}er = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1).$ 

**Proof.** First version: Indeed, the group  $SO(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}), q) = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{P}$ er, and  $SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$  is a stabilizer of a point.

**Proof. Second version:** Take a non-zero vector v in a line  $l \in \mathbb{P}$ er. Since (v, v) = 0 and  $(v, \overline{v}) > 0$ , the vectors v and  $\overline{v}$  are not proportional, hence they generate a 2-dimensional plane  $P \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  which is positive, because  $(v, \overline{v}) > 0$ , hence belongs to the positive, oriented Grassmannian

$$\operatorname{Gr}_{++}(H^2(M,\mathbb{R})) = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1).$$

Conversely, for any  $P \subset \text{Gr}_{++}(H^2(M,\mathbb{R}))$ , its complexification  $P \otimes \mathbb{C}$  contains two lines  $l_1, l_2$  which belong to the quadric q(v, v) = 0. These two lines are distinguished by their orientation. This implies that **the correspondence**  $\mathbb{P}\text{er} \to SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$  **taking**  $\Omega \in Q$  **to**  $\langle \text{Re}\omega, \text{Im} \Omega \rangle \in$  $\text{Gr}_{++}(H^2(M,\mathbb{R}))$  **is bijective.** 

### The set of all classes of type (1,1)

**Corollary 1:** Let  $U \subset$  Teich be an open neighbourhood, and  $V \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ the set of all cohomology classes which are of type (1,1) for some  $I \in U$ . **Then** V is open in  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** Step 1: Let  $I \in$  Teich and  $P \in$  Gr<sub>++</sub>( $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ) be the corresponding 2-space,  $P = \text{Re}(H^{2,0}(M, I))$ . Then  $H^{1,1}(M) = P^{\perp}$ .

Step 2: Consider a 2-dimensional positive subspace  $P \in Gr_{++}(H^2(M,\mathbb{R}))$  associated with  $I \in U$ . Since Teich is locally diffeomorphic to  $Gr_{++}(H^2(M,\mathbb{R}))$ , it would suffice to show that for some neighbourhood  $U_1 \ni P$  in  $Gr_{++}(H^2(M,\mathbb{R}))$ , the union  $\bigcup_{P_1 \in U_1} P_1^{\perp}$  is open in  $H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ .

**Step 3:** Consider a non-zero element  $y \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , which belongs to a sufficiently small neighbourhood  $U_x$  of  $x \in P^{\perp}$ , and let  $P_y$  be the projection of P to  $y^{\perp}$ . Since y is close to x, this projection is non-degenerate, and its image is a positive 2-plane. This defines a map  $\Phi : U_x \longrightarrow \text{Gr}_{++}$ . Let  $W := \Phi(U_x) \cap \text{Per}(U)$ . By construction, the set S of all  $y \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $y \perp P'$  for some  $P' \in W$  contains  $U_x$ , hence it is open in  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ . However, S is the union of  $H^{1,1}(I)$  for all  $I \in \text{Teich}$  such that  $\text{Per}(I) \in \Phi(U_x) \cap \text{Per}(U)$ , hence it belongs to  $\bigcup_{I \in U} H^{1,1}(M, I)$ .

#### Intersection form for a K3 surface

**Lemma 1:** Let  $\eta$  be an odd intersection form on  $V_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , and let  $\pi$ :  $V_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})$  be the standard projection. Consider the set R of odd vectors in V. Then  $\pi(R)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{P}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $s \in V_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus 0$  be any vector. To prove that  $\pi(R)$  is dense, it will suffice to fine an element of  $\pi(R)$  in any neighbourhood of  $\pi(s)$ .

**Step 2:** Let  $r_0 \in R$ . Then all vectors in the sequence  $r_n := r_0 + 2ns$  are odd, and  $\lim_i \pi(r_i) = s$ .

#### **THEOREM:** The intersection form of a K3 surface is even.

**Proof. Step 1:** Going ad absurdum, assume that the intersection form of a K3 is odd. Using Corollary 1 and Lemma 1, we obtain a complex structure *I* and an odd vector  $r \in H^{1,1}(M,I)$ . Indeed, by Corollary 1, the union  $\bigcup_{I \in \text{Teich}} H^{1,1}(M,I)$  of the set of all (1,1)-vectors is open in  $H^2(M,R)$  and by Lemma 1 there are odd vectors in any  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -invariant open subset of  $H^2(M,R)$ .

**Step 2:** Let *L* be a line bundle on (M, I) such that  $c_1(L) = r$  has odd self-intersection. By Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch formula,  $\chi(L) = 2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_M r \wedge r$ , hence **the self-intersection of**  $c_1(L)$  **is even.** 

## Voting

# Order of the next lectures (please vote):

1. Local Torelli (3-4 lectures), then Lefschetz hyperplane section, then density of quartics.

- 2. Density of quartics, then Lefschetz hyperplane section, then local Torelli.
- 3. Lefschetz hyperplane section, density of quartics, local Torelli.