

K3 surfaces

lecture 11: Density of quartics deduced from Ratner theory

Misha Verbitsky

IMPA, sala 236

October 7, 2024, 17:00

Ample bundles on quartic surfaces (reminder from lecture 10)

PROPOSITION: A K3 surface M is isomorphic to a quartic **if and only if** $\text{Pic}(M)$ **contains a very ample bundle L with $(L, L) = 4$.**

COROLLARY: Let M be a K3 surface, such that $\text{Pic}(M) = \mathbb{Z}$, and L the line bundle generating $\text{Pic}(M)$. Assume that $(L, L) > 2$. **Then L or L^* is very ample. ■**

Corollary 1: Let M be a K3 surface, such that $\text{Pic}(M) = \mathbb{Z}$, and L the line bundle generating $\text{Pic}(M)$. Assume that $(L, L) = 4$. **Then M is isomorphic to a quartic.**

Proof: The bundle L is very ample by the previous corollary, hence by the previous proposition M is a quartic. ■

REMARK: Today we shall prove that **quartics are dense in the Teichmüller space of K3 surfaces**, using local Torelli theorem (which will be proven later).

The period space of complex structures (reminder from lecture 7)

DEFINITION: Let Teich be the Teichmüller space of complex structures of Kähler type on a K3 surface. The corresponding period space is denoted $\mathbb{P}\text{er} := \{v \in \mathbb{P}H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \mid \int_M v \wedge v = 0, \int_M v \wedge \bar{v} > 0\}$.

PROPOSITION: (local Torelli theorem)

Let Teich be the space of complex structures on a K3 surface, and $\mathbb{P}\text{er} : \text{Teich} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\text{er}$ the map taking (M, I) to the line $H^{2,0}(M) \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$. **Then $\mathbb{P}\text{er}$ is a local diffeomorphism.**

Proof: Later in these lectures.

CLAIM: $\mathbb{P}\text{er} = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$.

Proof: Take a non-zero vector v in a line $l \in \mathbb{P}\text{er}$. Since $(v, v) = 0$ and $(v, \bar{v}) > 0$, the vectors v and \bar{v} are not proportional, hence they generate a 2-dimensional plane $P \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ which is positive, because $(v, \bar{v}) > 0$, hence belongs to the positive, oriented Grassmannian

$$\text{Gr}_{++}(H^2(M, \mathbb{R})) = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1).$$

Conversely, for any $P \subset \text{Gr}_{++}(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}))$, its complexification $P \otimes \mathbb{C}$ contains two lines l_1, l_2 which belong to the quadric $q(v, v) = 0$. These two lines are distinguished by their orientation. This implies that **the correspondence $\mathbb{P}\text{er} \rightarrow SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$ taking $\Omega \in Q$ to $\langle \text{Re}\omega, \text{Im}\omega \rangle \in \text{Gr}_{++}(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}))$ is bijective.** ■

Noether-Lefschetz locus in the period space

DEFINITION: Let M be a K3, $\eta \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ be a non-zero class, and $\mathbb{P}er_\eta \subset \mathbb{P}er = \{v \in \mathbb{P}H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \mid \int_M v \wedge v = 0, \int_M v \wedge \bar{v} > 0\}$. the set of all points such that $\eta \perp v$, **or, equivalently, $\eta \in H^{1,1}(M, I)$ for any $I \in \text{Teich}$ such that $\text{Per}(I) = v$.** Then $\mathbb{P}er_\eta$ is called **the Noether-Lefschetz locus corresponding to η .**

REMARK: Clearly, $\mathbb{P}er_\eta$ is an intersection of a complex hyperplane $\mathbb{P}\eta^\perp \subset \mathbb{P}H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbb{P}er$, hence **$\mathbb{P}er_\eta$ is a complex divisor in $\mathbb{P}er$.** This divisor is clearly smooth (**all homogeneous varieties are smooth**).

REMARK: For the same reason, given any subspace $V \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$, the period space $\mathbb{P}er(V) := \{v \in \mathbb{P}V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \mid \int_M v \wedge v = 0, \int_M v \wedge \bar{v} > 0\}$ is a complex submanifold of $\mathbb{P}er$, with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{P}er(V) = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}} M - 2$. However, **$\mathbb{P}er(V)$ is empty if V does not contain positive 2-planes.** The submanifold $\mathbb{P}er(V)$ is also called **the Noether-Lefschetz locus**.

The set of quartics with Picard rank 1

REMARK: Given $v \in \mathbb{P}er$, let $H^{1,1}(M, v)$ be the space $\langle \operatorname{Re} v, \operatorname{Im} v \rangle^\perp \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. Clearly, $H^{1,1}(M, v) = H^{1,1}(M, I)$ whenever $v = \operatorname{Per}(I)$. We denote by $\operatorname{Pic}(M, v)$ the lattice $H^{1,1}(M, v) \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$; clearly, it coincides with $\operatorname{Pic}(M, I)$ whenever $v = \operatorname{Per}(I)$.

CLAIM: Let $\eta \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbb{P}er_\eta^0$ be the space of all $v \in \mathbb{P}er_\eta$ such that $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{Pic}(M, v) = 1$. Then $\mathbb{P}er_\eta^0$ is dense in $\mathbb{P}er_\eta$.

Proof: Let \mathfrak{S} be the set of all rank 2 subgroups of $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ containing η . Then $\mathbb{P}er(V) \subset \mathbb{P}er_\eta$ is a smooth divisor in $\mathbb{P}er_\eta$. Since

$$\mathbb{P}er_\eta \setminus \mathbb{P}er_\eta^0 = \bigcup_{S \in \mathfrak{S}} \mathbb{P}er(V)$$

the complement $\mathbb{P}er_\eta \setminus \mathbb{P}er_\eta^0$ is a countable union of divisors, hence it has measure 0. ■

Set of all quartics is dense

THEOREM: Let M be a K3 and $\mathfrak{R} \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ the set of all vectors η such that $(\eta, \eta) = 4$. **Then $\bigcup_{\eta \in \mathfrak{R}} \text{Per}_\eta$ is dense in Per .**

Proof: Later today.

Using this result and local Torelli, we prove

Corollary 2: Let $\mathfrak{Q} \subset \text{Teich}$ be the set of all K3 with Picard group of rank 1 generated by a vector x with $(x, x) = 4$. **Then \mathfrak{Q} is dense in Teich .**

Proof: In a neighbourhood of each point $v \in \text{Per}$ there is a point $w \in \text{Per}_\eta$, where $\eta \in \mathfrak{R}$. Since w is a limit of points $w_i \in \text{Per}_\eta^0 \subset \mathfrak{Q}$, every neighbourhood of v contains a point in \mathfrak{Q} . ■

REMARK: By Corollary 1, **all such x correspond to quartics;** therefore, **Corollary 2 implies that quartics are dense in Teich .**

COROLLARY: **Every K3 is diffeomorphic to a smooth quartic.** ■

Set of all quartics is dense (2)

It remains to prove

THEOREM: Let M be a K3 and $\mathfrak{R} \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ the set of all vectors η such that $(\eta, \eta) = 4$. **Then $\bigcup_{\eta \in \mathfrak{R}} \text{Per}_\eta$ is dense in Per .**

Using the identification $\text{Per} = \text{Gr}_{+,+}(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}))$ we reformulate this theorem as follows.

Theorem 2: Let M be a K3, $\mathfrak{R} \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ the set of all vectors η such that $(\eta, \eta) = 4$, and $W_{\mathfrak{R}} \subset \text{Gr}_{+,+}(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}))$ the set of all 2-planes orthogonal to some $\eta \in \mathfrak{R}$. **Then $W_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is dense in $\text{Gr}_{+,+}(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}))$.**

REMARK: There are 2 proofs. Today we will deduce Theorem 2 from Ratner's theorems (a deep and fundamental result of homogeneous dynamics). Next lecture we prove it directly using an elementary argument.

Ergodic measures

DEFINITION: Let (M, μ) be a space with a measure, and G a group acting on M preserving μ . This action is **ergodic** if all G -invariant measurable subsets $M' \subset M$ satisfy $\mu(M') = 0$ or $\mu(M \setminus M') = 0$.

REMARK: Ergodic measures are extremal rays in the cone of all G -invariant measures.

REMARK: By Choquet's theorem, **any G -invariant measure on M is expressed as an average of a certain set of ergodic measures.** Therefore, **G -invariant ergodic measures always exist.**

CLAIM: Let M be a manifold, μ a Lebesgue measure, and G a group acting on (M, μ) ergodically. **Then the set of non-dense orbits has measure 0.**

Proof: Consider a non-empty open subset $U \subset M$. Then $\mu(U) > 0$, hence $M' := G \cdot U$ satisfies $\mu(M \setminus M') = 0$. For any orbit $G \cdot x$ not intersecting U , $x \in M \setminus M'$. Therefore the set of such orbits has measure 0. ■

Ratner theory

DEFINITION: Let G be a connected Lie group equipped with a Haar measure. **A lattice** $\Gamma \subset G$ is a discrete subgroup of finite covolume (that is, G/Γ has finite volume).

EXAMPLE: By Borel and Harish-Chandra theorem, **any integer lattice in a simple Lie group has finite covolume.**

THEOREM: (Calvin C. Moore, 1966) Let Γ be an arithmetic lattice in a non-compact simple Lie group G with finite center, and $H \subset G$ a non-compact subgroup. Then the left action of Γ on G/H is **ergodic**, that is, **for all Γ -invariant measurable subsets $Z \subset G/H$, either Z has measure 0, or $G/H \setminus Z$ has measure 0.**

THEOREM: (Marina Ratner)

Let $H \subset G$ be a Lie subgroup generated by unipotents, and $\Gamma \subset G$ a lattice. Then **a closure of any H -orbit in G/Γ is an orbit of a closed, connected subgroup $S \subset G$, such that $S \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in S .**

REMARK: Let $x \in G/H$ be a point in a homogeneous space, and $\Gamma \cdot x$ its Γ -orbit, where Γ is an arithmetic lattice. Then its closure is an orbit of a group S containing stabilizer of x . Moreover, **S is a smallest group defined over rationals and stabilizing x .**

Oppenheim conjecture

CONJECTURE: (Oppenheim, 1929; proven by G. Margulis, 1987)

Let q be an irrational quadratic form on \mathbb{R}^n of signature (a, b) , with $a, b > 0$, and $S_q := q(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. **Then S is dense in \mathbb{R} .**

Proof. Step 1: Let $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $H = SO(a, b) \subset G$, and $\Gamma = SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Points of G/H classify quadratic forms of signature (a, b) . Consider the function $F : G/H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ taking a frame e_1, \dots, e_n to $q(e_1)$. Clearly, $F(\Gamma \cdot (e_1, \dots, e_n)) \subset S_q$ when e_1 is integer, hence **it suffices to show that the orbit $\Gamma \cdot q$ is dense.**

Step 2: There are no intermediate subgroups between $SO(a, b)$ and $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ **(an exercise)**. Then, by Ratner's theorem, a point $q \in G/H$ has a closed orbit (and in this case q is preserved by a sublattice $H_{\mathbb{Z}} = G_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap H$; in other words, q is a rational quadratic form), or a dense orbit, and in this case S_q is dense. ■

Orbits of $SO(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}))$ on $\text{Gr}_{+,+}(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}))$

EXERCISE: Let $G = SO(a, b)$ and $H \subset G$ the stabilizer of a point $W \in \text{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{a,b})$. **Then there is only one type of intermediate subgroups between G and H : it is the stabilizer of a non-zero vector $x \in W$.**

Therefore, Ratner theorem implies

PROPOSITION: Let $v \in \text{Per}$ be a point corresponding to a 2-plane $W \in \text{Gr}_{++}(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}))$ with no rational vectors. **Then its $SO(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}))$ -orbit in $\text{Gr}_{++}(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}))$ is dense.**

Now, Theorem 2 **easily follows from this proposition**, because a general point $v \in \text{Per}_\eta$ has no rational vectors.



Marina Ratner (1979).