K3 surfaces

lecture 25: The global Torelli theorem for Teichmüller spaces

Misha Verbitsky

IMPA, sala 236

November 27, 2024, 17:00

Complex structures on a K3 surface

THEOREM: Let M be a complex manifold diffeomorphic to a K3 surface. Then M is K3, that is, satisfies $K_M = \mathcal{O}_M$.

Proof. Step 1: Since $b_1(M)$ is even, M is Kähler by Buchdahl-Lamari. Also, $c_2(M) = 24$ because it is its topological Euler characteristic. Since the signature of M is (3, 19), Hodge index theorem implies dim $H^{2,0}(M) = 1$. Now, $b_1(M) = 0$ implies that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_M) = 2$ and rk $H^0(K_M) = 1$. Riemann-Roch formula for surfaces gives $\chi(\mathcal{O}_M) = \frac{c_1^2 + c_2}{12}$ $\frac{10}{12} =$ c_1^2 $\frac{c_1^2}{2}+2$, hence $c_1^2=0$. This implies that $\chi(K_M^{\otimes i}) = 2$ for all i. If we prove that $r \tilde{k} H^0(K_M^{\otimes i}) > 0$ for all i, it would imply that K_M is trivial.

Step 2: Assume, by contradiction, that K_M is non-trivial. Since K_M is effective, $rk H^0(K_M^{\otimes i}) = 0$ for all $i < 0$. Serre's duality gives $rk H^0(K_M^{\otimes i}) =$ $H^2(K_{M_0}^{\otimes -i+1})$ and $\operatorname{rk} H^1(K_M^{\otimes i}) = \operatorname{rk} H^1(K_M^{\otimes -i+1})$. Then $\chi(K_M^{\otimes i}) = 2$ implies that $H^0(K_M^{\otimes i}) \geq 2$ for all $i > 1$. The corresponding sections of $K_M^{\otimes i}$ don't intersect, because c_1^2 $1,$ hence the line system $K_M^{\otimes i}$ is globally generated and defines a holomorphic map π to $\mathbb{C}P^n$. The bundle $K_M^{\otimes i}$ restricted to any fiber of π has degree 0, hence the fibers of π are 1-dimensional. This implies that M is a surface of Kodaira dimension 1.

Step 3: From Kodaira-Enriques classification it follows that all surfaces of Kodaira dimension 1 are elliptic with base a curve S of genus ≥ 1 . Therefore, $\pi_1(M)$ surjects to $\pi_1(S)$ and $H_1(M)$ is infinite. This brings a contradiction.

Hyperkähler manifolds: reminder

DEFINITION: (E. Calabi, 1978)

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold equipped with three complex structure operators I, J, K : $TM \longrightarrow TM$, satisfying the quaternionic relations

$$
I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -Id.
$$

Suppose that g is Kähler with respect to I, J, K. Then (M, I, J, K, g) is called **hyperkähler**. REMARK: This is the same as $\mathcal{H}ol(M) \subset Sp(n)$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{H}ol(M) \subset Sp(n)$, we have 3 complex structures $I, J, K: TM \longrightarrow TM$, such that $\nabla(I)$ = $\nabla(J) = \nabla(K) = 0$, which implies that I, J, K are Kähler. Conversely, if I, J, K are Kähler, we have $\nabla(I) = \nabla(J) = \nabla(K) = 0.$

Eugenio Calabi, 1923-2023

EXERCISE: Prove that the form ω_J + √ $\overline{-1}\,\omega_{K}$ is holomorphically symplectic on (M, I) .

Calabi-Yau theorem: reminder

DEFINITION: Let (M, I, ω) be a Kähler manifold. Its Kähler class is the cohomology class of ω in $H_{\mathbb R}^{\vec{\bf 1},\vec{\bf 1}}$ $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}(M,I).$

DEFINITION: Let (M, I, ω) be a Kähler manifold with trivial canonical bundle, and $\Omega \in \wedge^{n, \mathsf{O}}_{I}$ $I^{n,\mathsf{U}}_I(M)$ its non-degenerate holomorphic section. We say that (M, I) is Ricci-flat if $|\Omega| = const$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the metric on $\Lambda_I^{n,0}(M)$ induced by the Kähler metric.

THEOREM: (Calabi-Yau)

Let (M, Ω) be compact holomorphically symplectic manifold of Kähler type, and $\overline{[\omega]} \in H^{1,1}_{\mathbb R}$ $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}(M,I)$ a Káhler class. Then there exists a unique Ricci-flat metric g on (M, I) such that its Kähler class is equal to $[\omega]$.

THEOREM: (Calabi)

A Kähler metric on a compact holomorphically symplectic manifold is hyperkähler if and only if it is Ricci-flat.

COROLLARY: A compact holomorphically symplectic manifold of Kähler type admits a unique hyperkähler metric in each Kähler class.

The hyperkähler Teichmüller space (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let Teich $_h$ be the Teichmüller space of conformal classes of hyperkähler metrics on a K3 surface M , that is, the space of all hyperkähler metrics on M up to $\mathbb{R}^{>0} \times \text{Diff}_0$ -action. We will call $Teich_h$ "the hyperkähler Teichmüller space".

REMARK: It is not hard to see that the topology on Teich $_h$, identified with the set of hyperkähler metrics of diameter 1, is induced by d_{GH} , and therefore $Teich_h$ is Hausdorff.

Oswald Teichmüller, 1913-1943

The hyperkähler period space (reminder)

REMARK: Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold, and $\mathcal{H}^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ be the space of harmonic 2-forms, tacitly identified with the second cohomology. Since the Hodge star operator commutes with the Laplacian, it preserves $\mathcal{H}^2(M,\mathbb{R})$. Denote by $H^+(M,\mathbb{R})$ the set of $*$ -invariant harmonic forms, and $H^{-}(M,\mathbb{R})$ the set of *-anti-invariant harmonic forms; then $H^{2}(M) =$ $H^+(M) \oplus H^-(M)$, and the intersection form is positive on $H^+(M)$ and negative on $H^-(M)$. This decomposition defines a map from the space of all Riemannian metrics to the Grassmanian of all dim $H^+(M)$ -dimensional positive subspaces in $H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$.

REMARK: To simplify the language, in the sequel we will identify hyperkähler structures (I, J, K, g) and $(I, J, K, \lambda g)$, where λ is a constant. For a K3, the triple (I, J, K) determines g uniquely up to a constant, hence we will say "hyperkähler structure I, J, K " signifying " (I, J, K, g) up to a constant multiplier".

The hyperkähler Torelli theorem (reminder)

DEFINITION: Given a hyperkähler structure I, J, K on a K3 surface, consider the decomposition $\Lambda^2(M) = \Lambda^+(M) \oplus \Lambda^-(M)$. The bundle $\Lambda^+(M)$ is trivialized by parallel sections $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$, which generate the subspace $H^+(M, \mathbb{R}) \subset$ $\mathcal{H}^2(M,\mathbb{R})$. Let $Gr_{+++}(H^2(M,\mathbb{R}))$, or simply Gr_{+++} , be the Grassmannian of 3-dimensional positive oriented subspaces in $H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$. Define the hy**perkähler period map** as the map Per_h : Teich_h \longrightarrow Gr₊₊₊($H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$) taking I,J,K to the corresponding space $H^+(M)=\langle \omega_I,\omega_J,\omega_K\rangle.$

THEOREM: The hyperkähler period map Per $_h$: Teich $_h \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ er $_h$ for K3 surfaces is a homeomorphism for any connected component of Teich $_h$.

Proof: Lecture 24.

Teichmüller space for symplectic structures (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 M)$ be the space of all 2-forms on a manifold M, and Symp $\subset \Gamma(\Lambda^2 M)$ the space of all symplectic 2-forms. We equip $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 M)$ with C^{∞} -topology of uniform convergence on compacts with all derivatives. Then $\Gamma(\Lambda^2M)$ is a Frechet vector space, and Symp a Frechet manifold.

DEFINITION: Teichmüller space of symplectic structures on M is defined as a quotient Teich_s := Symp / Diff₀, where Diff₀ is the isotopy group.

DEFINITION: Define the period map Per : Teich_s $\longrightarrow H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ mapping a symplectic structure to its cohomology class.

THEOREM: (Moser, 1965)

The Teichmüler space Teich_s is a manifold (possibly, non-Hausdorff), and the period map Per : Teich_s $\longrightarrow H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ is locally a diffeomorphism.

It is based on THEOREM: ("Moser's lemma", 1965) Let ω_t , $t \in S$ be a smooth family of symplectic structures, parametrized by a connected manifold S. Assume that the cohomology class $[\omega_t] \in H^2(M)$ is constant in t. Then all ω_t are diffeomorphic.

Torelli theorem for symplectic structures

DEFINITION: A symplectic structure on a K3 surface is of Kähler type if it is Kähler for some complex structure. Conjecturally, all symplectic structures on a K3 surface are of Kähler type. Let Teich_s be the Teichmüller space of symplectic structures of Kähler type, and \mathbb{P} er_s $\subset H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ the space of all vectors $v\in H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_M v\wedge v>0.$

THEOREM: The period map Per : Teich_s \rightarrow Per_s is a diffeomorphism on each connected component of Teich_s.

Proof: Let Teich_H be the space of all hyperkähler structures (g, I, J, K) ; clearly, it is fibered over Teich_h with the fiber $\mathbb{R}^{>0} \times SO(3)$. Let P : Teich_H \longrightarrow Teich_s be the forgetful map putting (g, I, J, K) to ω_I . Calabi-Yau theorem implies that P is surjective. Indeed, any Kähler form can be deformed to a Ricci-flat Kähler form in the same cohomology class.

Step 2: From Torelli theorem for hyperkähler structures it follows that the fiber $P^{-1}(\omega)$ of P is the space of pairs $x,y\in H^2(M)$ satisfying $x^2=y^2=0$ ω^2 , such that the space $\langle \omega, x, y \rangle^{\perp}$ contains no (-2)-classes.

Step 3: Since the fibers of P are complements to subsets of codimension 2, they are connected. By Moser's lemma, for each $(M,I,J,K,g)\in P^{-1}(\omega)$ the symplectic forms ω_I are diffeomorphic.

Torelli theorem for symplectic structures (2)

THEOREM: The period map Per : Teich_s \longrightarrow Per_s is a diffeomorphism on each connected component of $Teich_s$.

Step 4: Let \mathbb{P} er_H be the set of all orthogonal oriented triples $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ with $\int_M\omega_i\wedge\omega_i=\int_M\omega_j\wedge\omega_j$ in $W\in\mathbb{P}\text{er}_h\subset\mathsf{Gr}_{+++}(H^2(M,\mathbb{R}))$. It is $\mathbb{R}^{>0}\times SO(3)$ fibered over $\mathbb{P}\text{er}_h$. Consider the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Teich}_{H} & \xrightarrow{P} & \text{Teich}_{s} \\
\downarrow \text{Per}_{H} & & \text{Per}_{s}\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Per}_{H} & & \text{Per}_{s}\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Per}_{H} = \{x, y, z \in H^{2}(M) \mid x^{2} = y^{2} = z^{2} > 0, & \xrightarrow{P'} \{x \in H^{2}(M) \mid x^{2} > 0\}\n\end{array}
$$

The map Per_H is an isomorphism as follows from global Torelli for hyperkähler structures, and the fibers of P are identified with fibers of P' as follows from Moser's lemma and Step 3. Therefore, Per_s is injective. The rest of the arrows are surjective as shown, hence Per_s is also surjective. \blacksquare

Teichmüller space for complex structures (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let Teich be the Teichmüller space of complex structures of Kähler type on a K3 surface. The corresponding period space is denoted $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{er}:=\{v\in \mathbb{P} H^2(M,\mathbb{C})\quad|\quad \textstyle\int_M v\wedge v=0, \int_M v\wedge \overline{v}>0\}.$

PROPOSITION: (local Torelli theorem)

Let Teich be the space of complex structures on a K3 surface, and Per : Teich \longrightarrow Per the map taking (M, I) to the line $H^{2,0}(M) \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$. Then Per is a local diffeomorphism.

Proof: Lecture 17.

Galina Tjurina (1938-1970)

REMARK: Torelli-type theorem for K3 surfaces was conjectured by André Weil, who included his ideas about K3 and Teichmüller theory in "Final report on contract AF A8 (603-57)" (1958), a report to a grant from american Air Force Office of Science Research, published only in 1979. Grauert attributes the local Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces to Andreotti and Weil (without reference; according to Grauert, the theorem was proven by Andreotti, but never published). Shafarevich attributes it to G. N. Tjurina, On the deformation of complex structures of algebraic varieties Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 152 (1963), 1316-1319. Tjurina proved the local injectivity of the period map (actually, she proved it for all Calabi-Yau manifolds). For local surjectivity, she referred to Kodaira, K.; Nirenberg, L.; Spencer, D. C. On the existence of deformations of complex analytic structures. Ann. of Math. (2) 68 (1958), 450-459.

Galina Tjurina, 1938-1970

Kähler cone of K3 surfaces (reminder)

THEOREM: (Demailly-Păun)

Let M be a compact Kähler manifold, and $K \subset H^{1,1}(M,\mathbb{R})$ a subset consisting of all classes η such that $\int_Z \eta^p > 0$ for any p -dimensional complex subvariety $Z \subset M$. Then the Kähler cone of M is one of the connected components of K .

This implies

THEOREM: Let M be a K3 surface, and \Re the set of all (-2)-classes. Denote by $\mathsf{Pos}(M)$ the component of $\{\omega \in H^{1,1}(M,\mathbb{R}) \;\; | \ \ \, \int_M \omega \wedge \omega > 0\}$ which contains Kähler classes (by Exercise 1, there is one and only one such component). Then the Kähler cone $\text{Kah}(M)$ is a connected component of the set $\mathsf{Pos}(M)\backslash \bigcup_{r\in\mathfrak{R}} r^{\perp}.$

Proof: Lecture 21.

Kähler chambers

DEFINITION: Let $V \in \mathbb{P}$ er = Gr₊₊($H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$), and $I \in \mathsf{Teich}$ be a complex structure such that $Per(I) = V$. The set $Kah(I) \subset Pos(I)$ is called a Kähler chamber of V. The set of Kähler chambers for V is the set of all Kah(I) for all $I \in \text{Per}^{-1}(V)$.

THEOREM: Let $V \in \mathbb{P}$ er = Gr₊₊($H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$), and \mathfrak{R}_V be the set of all (-2)classes orthogonal to V . Let $S_V := \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathfrak{R}_V}$. Then the Kähler chambers of V are connected components of $Pos(V)\backslash S_V$.

Proof. Step 1: Let $I \in Per^{-1}(V)$ be a complex structure. Its Kähler cone is the set of all classes $\omega \in \text{Pos}(V)$ which are positive on effective (-2)classes; clearly, this set is one of the connected components of $Pos(V)\backslash S_V$.

Step 2: Consider the forgetful map Teich $_H \longrightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \times$ Teich taking (I, J, K, g) to (I, ω_I) . Since Teich $_H = \mathbb{P}$ er $_H$, this map is surjective on the set of all $v \in Pos(V) \backslash S_V$. Therefore, any of the connected components of Pos(V)\S_V is realized as a Kähler cone for some $I \in \text{Per}^{-1}(V)$. ■

Global Torelli theorem for Teichmüller spaces

THEOREM: Let Teich be a connected component of the Teichmüller space of all complex structures on a K3 surface, and Per : Teich \longrightarrow Per the period map. Then Per is surjective, and bijective for all $V \in \mathbb{P}$ er \subset $Gr_{++}(H^2(M,\mathbb{R}))$ such that V^{\perp} does not contain (-2)-curves. **Proof. Step 1:** Consider the space \mathbb{P} er₁ of all pairs $\{(W \times V) \in \mathbb{P}$ er_h \times \mathbb{P} er | V \subset W}. This space is S^2 -fibered over \mathbb{P} er_h, with the fiber being the set of all oriented 2-planes in $W \in \mathbb{P}er_h$. Similarly, let Teich₁ be the set of all pairs $(\mathcal{H}, L) \in \text{Teich}_h \times \text{Teich}$, consisting of all hyperkähler structures $\langle I, J, K \rangle$ inducing L; this space is also S^2 -fibered over Teich. This gives a commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Teich}_1 & \xrightarrow{P} & \text{Teich} \\
\downarrow \text{Per}_1 & & \downarrow \text{Per} \\
\text{Per}_1 & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \text{Per}\n\end{array}
$$

Step 2: Torelli theorem for $\mathbb{P}\text{er}_{h}$ implies that Per₁ is a diffeomorphism. Calabi-Yau theorem implies that the fiber $P^{-1}(I)$ of Teich $_1 \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow}$ Teich is projectivization of the Kähler cone Kah (I) of (M,I) ; the fiber of $\mathbb{P}\mathsf{er}_1 \, \stackrel{\sf \psi}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathbb{P}\mathsf{er}$ is the positive cone Pos(I). Since Per₁ is a diffeomorphism, Ψ is one to one on points for which Kah(I) = Pos(I); for other points, $\Psi^{-1}(V) = \mathfrak{K}_V$, where \mathfrak{K}_V is the set of Kähler chambers. \blacksquare