

Hodge theory

lecture 12: Dolbeault cohomology of a 1-dimensional disk

Misha Verbitsky

IMPA, sala 236

30.04.2025

<http://verbit.ru/IMPA/Kahler-2025/>

Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem

DEFINITION: Let M be a topological space, and $\|f\| := \sup_M |f|$ **the sup-norm on functions**. **C^0 -topology** on the space $C^0(M)$ of continuous, bounded real-valued functions is the topology defined by the sup-norm.

EXERCISE: Prove that $C^0(M)$ **with sup-norm is a complete metric space**.

DEFINITION: Let $A \subset C^0 M$ be a subspace in the space of continuous functions. We say that A **separates the points** of M if for all distinct points $x, y \in M$, there exists $f \in A$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

THEOREM: (Stone-Weierstrass theorem)

Let $A \subset C^0 M$ be a subring separating points, and \overline{A} its closure. **Then $\overline{A} = C^0 M$.**

Hilbert spaces

DEFINITION: Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} is a complete, infinite-dimensional Hermitian space which is second countable (that is, has a countable dense set).

DEFINITION: Orthonormal basis in a Hilbert space H is a set of pairwise orthogonal vectors $\{x_\alpha\}$ which satisfy $|x_\alpha| = 1$, and such that H is the closure of the subspace generated by the set $\{x_\alpha\}$.

THEOREM: Any Hilbert space has a basis, and all such bases are countable.

Proof: A basis is found using Zorn lemma. If it's not countable, open balls with centers in x_α and radius $\varepsilon < 2^{-1/2}$ don't intersect, which means that the second countability axiom is not satisfied. ■

THEOREM: All Hilbert spaces are isometric.

Proof: Each Hilbert space has a countable orthonormal basis. ■

Fourier series

EXAMPLE: Let (M, μ) be a space with measure. Consider the space V of measurable functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\int_M |f|^2 \mu < \infty$. For each $f, g \in V$, the integral $\int f \bar{g} \mu$ is well defined, by Cauchy inequality: $\int |fg| \mu < \sqrt{\int_M |f|^2 \mu \int_M |g|^2 \mu}$. This gives a Hermitian form on V . Let $L^2(M)$ denote the completion of V with respect to this metric. It is called **the space of square-integrable functions on M** . Its elements are called **L^2 -functions**.

CLAIM: ("Fourier series") Functions $e_k(t) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}kt}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ on $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ form an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space $L^2(S^1)$.

Proof. Step 1: Orthogonality is clear from $\int_{S^1} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}kt} dt = 0$ for all $k \neq 0$ (prove it).

Step 2: The space of Fourier polynomials $\sum_{i=-n}^n a_i e_i(t)$ is dense in the space of continuous functions on the circle by the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem. Therefore, the closure of the space of functions which admit Fourier series is $L^2(S^1)$. ■

Fourier series on a torus

REMARK: Let t_1, \dots, t_n be coordinates on \mathbb{R}^n . We can think of t_i as of angle coordinates on the torus $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$, considered as a product of n copies of S^1 . Consider the **Fourier monomials** $F_{l_1, \dots, l_n} := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n l_i t_i)$, where l_1, \dots, l_n are integers. Clearly,

$$L^2(T^n) \cong \underbrace{L^2(S^1) \hat{\otimes} L^2(S^1) \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} L^2(S^1)}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

where $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the completed tensor product. This implies that the **Fourier monomials form a Hilbert basis in $L^2(T^n)$** .

REMARK: This also follows directly from the Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

THEOREM: Let V be a Hilbert space, $\text{Map}(T^n, V)$ continuous maps, and $L^2(T^n, V)$ a completion of $\text{Map}(T^n, V)$ with respect to the L^2 -norm $|v|^2 = \int_{T^n} |v(x)|^2 dx$. Consider an orthonormal basis u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots in V . Then **an orthonormal basis in $\text{Map}(T^n, V)$ is given by monomial maps $F_{l_1, \dots, l_n} u_j$** taking $s \in T^n$ to $F_{l_1, \dots, l_n}(s) u_j$.

Proof: Orthonormality of the collection $\{F_{l_1, \dots, l_n} u_j\}$ is clear. To prove its completeness (that is, the density of the subspace generated by $\{F_{l_1, \dots, l_n} u_j\}$), notice that $\text{Map}(T^n, V)$ is a completion of $\oplus_i \text{Map}(T^n, V_i)$, where $V_i = \langle v_i \rangle$. Now, $\{F_{l_1, \dots, l_n} u_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $V_i = \text{Map}(T^n, \mathbb{C})$. ■

Weight decomposition for $U(1)$ -representations

EXERCISE: Let $\rho : U(1) \longrightarrow GL(V)$ be a finite-dimensional irreducible complex representation of the Lie group $U(1)$. **Prove that $\dim \mathbb{C} = 1$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t \in U(1) = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ acts on V as $\rho(t)(v) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}nt}v$.**

DEFINITION: A representation of $U(1)$ with $\rho(t)(v) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}nt}v$ is called **an irreducible weight n representation**.

DEFINITION: Let V be a Hermitian space (possibly infinitely-dimensional) equipped with an action of $U(1)$, and $V_k \subset V$ weight k representations, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The direct sum $\bigoplus V_k$ is called **the weight decomposition** for V if it is dense in V .

EXAMPLE: Let $L^2(S^1, W)$ the space of maps from S^1 to a Hermitian space W . We define $U(1)$ -action on $L^2(S^1, W)$ by $\rho(t)(f) = R_t(f)$ where $R_t(f(x)) = f(x + t)$ shifts S^1 by t . Clearly, this is a Hermitian representation, and **its weight decomposition is its Fourier decomposition**.

Weight decomposition for $U(1)$ -representations (2)

CLAIM: Let $\bigoplus V_k \subset V$ be the weight decomposition of a Hermitian representation ρ of $U(1)$. Then **any vector $v \in V$ can be decomposed onto a converging serie $v = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} v_i$, with $v_i \in V_i$.** This decomposition is called **the weight decomposition** for v .

Proof. Step 1: Clearly, all V_i are pairwise orthogonal; indeed, for any $t \in U(1)$ and $x_p \in V_p$, $x_q \in V_q$, $i \neq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}pt}(x_p, x_q) &= (\rho(t)(x_p), x_q) = (x_p, \rho(-t)x_q) = \\ &= (x_p, e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}qt}x_q) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}qt}(x_p, x_q) \end{aligned}$$

giving $p = q$ whenever $(x_p, x_q) \neq 0$.

Step 2: Let $\pi_i : V \longrightarrow V_i$ be the orthogonal projection. Then $|x|^2 \geq \sum_{i=-p}^p |\pi_i(x)|^2$ because orthogonal projection is always distance-decreasing. Therefore, the serie $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_i(x)$ converges. Its limit is a vector x' which satisfies $(x, u) = (x', u)$ for any $u \in \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_k$. Since $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_k$ is dense in V , this implies $x = x'$. ■

Weight decomposition and Fourier series

LEMMA: Let W be a Hermitian representation of $U(1)$ admitting a weight decomposition. Then **any subquotient of W also admits a weight decomposition.**

Proof: This is clear for quotients. Any closed subspace $V \subset W$ gives a direct sum decomposition $W = V \oplus V^\perp$, hence it also can be realized as a quotient. ■

LEMMA: Let $\rho : U(1) \rightarrow U(W)$ be a Hermitian representation of $U(1)$, and $L^2(S^1, W)$ the space of maps from S^1 to W with the $U(1)$ -action by translation as defined earlier. **Then W can be realized as a sub-representation of $L^2(S^1, W)$.**

Proof: For any $x \in W$ consider $\alpha_x \in L^2(S^1, W)$ taking $t \in U(1) = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ to $\rho(t)(x)$. Clearly, $x \mapsto \alpha_x$ **defines a homomorphism of representations.** ■

THEOREM: Let W be a Hermitian representation of $U(1)$. **Then W admits a weight decomposition $W = \widehat{\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} W_i}$.**

Proof: We realize W as a subrepresentation in $L^2(S^1, W)$, and use the Fourier series to obtain the weight decomposition of $L^2(S^1, W)$. ■

Weight decomposition for T^n -action

EXERCISE: Consider the n -dimensional torus T^n as a Lie group, $T^n = U(1)^n$. Prove that any finite-dimensional Hermitian representation of T^n is a direct sum of 1-dimensional representations, with action of T^n given by $\rho(t_1, \dots, t_n)(x) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i)x$, for some $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, called **the weights** of the 1-dimensional representation.

DEFINITION: Let V be a Hermitian space (possibly infinitely-dimensional) equipped with an action of T^n , and $V_\alpha \subset V$ weight α representations, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The direct sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} V_\alpha$ is called **the weight decomposition** for V if it is dense in V .

THEOREM: Let W be a Hermitian vector space. Then **the Fourier series provide the weight decomposition on $L^2(T^n, W)$** . ■

THEOREM: Let W be a Hermitian representation of T^n . **Then W admits a weight decomposition $V = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} W_\alpha}$** .

Proof: We realize W as a subrepresentation in $L^2(T^n, W)$, and use the Fourier series to obtain the weight decomposition of $L^2(T^n, W)$. ■

Weight decomposition for T^n -action on differential forms

REMARK: Let M be a manifold with the T^n -action, and

$$\Lambda^*(M) = \hat{\bigoplus}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \Lambda^*(M)_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$$

be the weight decomposition on the differential forms. Then the **de Rham differential preserves each term** $\Lambda^*(M)_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$. Indeed, d commutes with the action of the Lie algebra of T^n , and $\Lambda^*(M)_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ are its eigenspaces.

REMARK: Let $\alpha = \sum \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ be the weight decomposition. The forms α_{p_1, \dots, p_k} are obtained by averaging

$$e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i} \alpha = \text{Av}_{T^n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n -p_i t_i} \alpha$$

hence they are smooth.

De Rham cohomology and T^n -action

THEOREM: Let M be a smooth manifold, and T^n a torus acting on M by diffeomorphisms. Denote by $\Lambda^*(M)^{T^n}$ the complex of T^n -invariant differential forms. **Then the natural embedding $\Lambda^*(M)^{T^n} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*(M)$ induces an isomorphism on de Rham cohomology.**

Proof. Step 1: Let $\alpha \in \Lambda^*(M)$ be a form and $\alpha = \sum \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_n}$ its weight decomposition, with $\alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_n} \in \Lambda_{p_1, \dots, p_n}^*(M)$ a form of weight p_1, \dots, p_n . Since T^n -action commutes with de Rham differential, these forms are closed when α is closed.

Step 2: Let r_1, \dots, r_n be the standard generators of the Lie algebra of T^n rescaled in such a way that $\text{Lie}_{r_k}(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i)) = \sqrt{-1} p_k$, and $i_{r_k} : \Lambda^i(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{i-1}(M)$ the contraction operator. Since $\text{Lie}_{r_k} = \{d, i_{r_k}\}$, we have $p_k \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_n} = d(i_{r_k} \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_n})$ whenever α_{p_1, \dots, p_n} is closed. Therefore, **all terms in the weight decomposition $\alpha = \sum \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_n}$ are exact except $\alpha_{0,0,\dots,0}$.**

Step 3: In the direct sum decomposition of the de Rham complex

$$\Lambda^*(M) = \Lambda^*(M)^{T^n} \oplus \bigoplus_{p_1, \dots, p_k \neq (0,0,\dots,0)} \Lambda_{p_1, \dots, p_k}^*(M)$$

the second component has trivial cohomology, because Lie_{r_k} is invertible on $\bigoplus_{p_k \neq 0} \Lambda_{p_1, \dots, p_n}^*(M)$ (**deduce it from $p_k \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_k} = d(i_{r_k} \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_k})$**), and $\text{Lie}_{r_k}(\text{closed form})$ is exact. ■

Constant forms on a torus

DEFINITION: Let $T^n = (S^1)^n$ be a compact torus equipped with a action on itself by shifts, and $\Lambda_{const}^*(M)$. the space of T^n -invariant forms on T^n . These forms are called **constant differential forms**. Clearly, **constant forms have constant coefficients in the usual (flat) coordinates on the torus**.

THEOREM: The natural embedding $\Lambda_{const}^*(T^n) \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*(T^n)$ **induces an isomorphism** $\Lambda_{const}^*(T^n) = H^*(T^n)$.

Proof: The embedding $\Lambda_{const}^*(T^n) = \Lambda^*(T^n)^{T^n} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*(T^n)$ induces an isomorphism on cohomology, however, all constant forms are closed, hence $H^*(\Lambda_{const}^*(T^n), d) = \Lambda_{const}^*(T^n)$. ■

Holomorphic vector fields

DEFINITION: Let (M, I) be a complex manifold, and $X \in TM$ a real vector field. It is called **holomorphic** if $\text{Lie}_X(I) = 0$, that is, if the corresponding flow of diffeomorphisms is holomorphic.

CLAIM: Let (M, I) be a complex manifold, and $X \in TM$ a holomorphic vector field. **Then $X^c := I(X)$ is also holomorphic, and commutes with X .**

LEMMA: Let X be a holomorphic vector field, and $X^c = I(X)$. **Then $\{d^c, i_X\} = -\text{Lie}_{X^c}$.**

Proof: Using $\{IdI^{-1}, i_X\} = I\{d, I^{-1}i_X I\}I^{-1}$, we obtain $\{d^c, i_X\} = -I\{d, i_{X^c}\}I^{-1} = I \text{Lie}_{X^c} I^{-1}$. However, X^c is holomorphic, hence $I \text{Lie}_{X^c} I^{-1} = \text{Lie}_{X^c}$. ■

PROPOSITION: Let X be a holomorphic vector field, and $X^c = I(X)$. **Then $\{\bar{\partial}, i_X\} = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Lie}_X - \sqrt{-1} \text{Lie}_{X^c})$.**

Proof: $\bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(d + \sqrt{-1} d^c)$, hence

$$\{\bar{\partial}, i_X\} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Lie}_X + \sqrt{-1} \{d^c, i_X\} = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Lie}_X - \sqrt{-1} \text{Lie}_{X^c}).$$

■

Dolbeault cohomology of an elliptic curve

PROPOSITION: Let $X = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}^2$ be an elliptic curve, and $\Lambda^*(X) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \Lambda^*(X)_{p_1, p_2}$ its weight decomposition under the T^2 -action. Consider the space T^2 -invariant forms $\Lambda^*(X)^{T^2} = \Lambda^*(X)_{0,0}$. **Then the natural embedding $\Lambda^*(X)^{T^2} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*(X)$ induces an isomorphism of Dolbeault cohomology.**

Proof: Let $\alpha \in \Lambda^*(X)_{p_1, p_2}$ be a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form, with $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$. Suppose, for example, that $p \neq 0$, and X is the generator of the corresponding component of the Lie algebra such that $\text{Lie}_X \alpha = p\sqrt{-1} \alpha$. Since X^c belongs to the same Lie algebra, we have $\text{Lie}_{X^c}(\alpha) = v\alpha$, where $v \in \sqrt{-1} \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1} p + v}{2} \alpha = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Lie}_X - \sqrt{-1} \text{Lie}_{X^c}) \alpha = \{\bar{\partial}, i_X\} \alpha = \bar{\partial} i_X \alpha, \quad (***)$$

hence α is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. This implies that $\bar{\partial}$ has no cohomology on

$$\bigoplus_{p_1, p_2 \neq (0,0)} \Lambda^*(X)_{p_1, p_2}.$$

■

Dolbeault cohomology of a disk

COROLLARY: Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact subset, K^0 its interior, and $\eta \in \Lambda^{0,1}(K^0)$ a form smoothly extending to a neighbourhood of K . **Then η is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact.**

Proof: Choosing an appropriate lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$, we may assume that K is a subset of an elliptic curve X . Since η extends to a neighbourhood of K , we can use partition of unity to extend it to a smooth form $\tilde{\eta}$ on X . Applying the weight decomposition $\tilde{\eta} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \eta_{\alpha}$, we obtain that the form $\eta - \eta_{0,0}$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. However, the constant part $\eta_{0,0} = \text{const} \cdot dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \text{const} \cdot \bar{\partial}(\bar{z}dz)$ (for $(1,1)$ -form) or $\eta_{0,0} = \text{const} \cdot d\bar{z} = \text{const} \cdot \bar{\partial}(\bar{z})$ for $(0,1)$ -form is also $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. ■

Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma

DEFINITION: Polydisc D^n is a product of n discs $D \subset \mathbb{C}$.

THEOREM: (Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma)

Let $\eta \in \Lambda^{p,q}(D^n)$, $q > 0$, be a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form on a polydisc, smoothly extended to a neighbourhood of its closure $\overline{D^n} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. **Then η is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact.**

We proved it for $n = 1$. Now we prove it for all n .

$\bar{\partial}$ -homotopy operator on T^2

From now on, **1-dimensional complex torus is always $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ and the n -dimensional complex torus T^{2n} is a product of n copies of $T^2 = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$.**

CLAIM: Let $\mu \in \Lambda^{p,q}(M)_{a,b}$ be a form of weight (a,b) on a torus $T^2 = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$, and X the coordinate vector field along the real axis. **Then $\{\bar{\partial}, i_X\}(\mu) = \frac{1}{2}(b + \sqrt{-1} a)$.**

Proof: $\{\bar{\partial}, i_X\} = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Lie}_X - \sqrt{-1} \text{Lie}_{X^c})$, and X^c is the coordinate vector field along the imaginary axis, acting on μ by multiplication by $\sqrt{-1} b$. ■

DEFINITION: Given $\mu = \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \mu_{a,b}$ define

$$P(\mu) := \sum_{(a,b) \neq (0,0)} 2(b + \sqrt{-1} a)^{-1} \mu_{a,b}.$$

The operator P **commutes with all operators which commute with the T^2 -action on itself:** with d , d^c , i_X , i_{X^c} , etc.

COROLLARY: **Then $\{\bar{\partial}, P i_X\} = \mu - \mu_{0,0}$.** In particular, **if μ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, we also have $\bar{\partial} P(i_X(\mu)) = \mu - \mu_0$.** ■

Homotopy operator γ_k on T^{2n}

Let $U \subset T^{2n}$ be a polydisk. Since U is contractible, all constant (p, q) -forms on a torus with $q > 0$ are $\bar{\partial}$ -exact on U : $\bar{\partial}\bar{z}_i = \bar{\partial}(\bar{z}_i)$, which can be well defined on U because it is contractible.

For any disk $U \subset T^2$, fix a cutoff function ρ_ε which is 1 on U and 0 outside of a contractible ε -neighbourhood of \bar{U} . Consider the map $Q : \Lambda^{p,1}(T^2) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p,0}(T^2)$ taking μ to $\mu_{0,0}$ and replacing any constant summand of form $\alpha \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{z}_i$ by $\rho_\varepsilon \bar{z}_i \alpha$.

CLAIM: In these assumptions, **we have $\{\bar{\partial}, \gamma\}(\mu) = \mu$ on U for any form $\mu \in \Lambda^{p,1}(T^2)$** , where $\gamma(\alpha) = P(i_X(\alpha)) + Q(\mu)$.

Proof: If $\mu_{0,0} = 0$, we have $Q(\mu) = 0$, and this expression becomes $\{\bar{\partial}, P(i_X)\}(\mu) = \mu - \mu_{0,0}$ proven above. If $\mu = \mu_{0,0}$, it becomes $\bar{\partial}(Q(\mu))|_U = \mu$. ■

Corollary 1: Let $U \subset T^{2n}$ be a polydisk, and ρ_ε a cutoff function which is 1 on U and 0 outside of a contractible ε -neighbourhood of \bar{U} . We chose ρ_ε in such a way that $\text{Lie}_{d/dx_i}(\rho_\varepsilon) = 0$ at any point (x_1, \dots, x_n) such that $|x_i| < 1$. Let γ_k denote the operator γ along the k -th component in $T^{2n} = (T^2)^n$, and $\bar{\partial}_k$ the $\bar{\partial}$ along this component. **Then $\{\bar{\partial}_k, \gamma_k\}(\mu) = \mu$ on U for any form μ divisible by $d\bar{z}_k$, and $\{\bar{\partial}_k, \gamma_l\}|_U = 0$ for $l \neq k$.** ■

Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma

THEOREM: (Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma)

Let $\eta \in \Lambda^{0,p}(D^n)$ be a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form on a polydisc, smoothly extended to a neighbourhood of its closure $\overline{D^n} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. **Then η is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact.**

We prove the following version of Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck.

THEOREM: Let $U \subset T^{2n}$ be a sufficiently small polydisk, and $\mu \in \Lambda^{p,q}(T^{2n})$ a form with $q > 0$ which is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed on U . **Then there exists $\alpha \in \Lambda^{p,q-1}(T^{2n})$ such that $\bar{\partial}\alpha = \mu$ on U .**

Proof. Step 1: Let $\bar{\partial}_i : \Lambda^{p,q}(T^n) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p,q+1}(T^n)$ be the operator $\alpha \longrightarrow d\bar{z}_i \wedge \frac{d}{d\bar{z}_i}\alpha$, where z_i is i -th coordinate on T^n . **Then $\bar{\partial} = \sum_i \bar{\partial}_i$.** Denote by γ_i the homotopy operator defined above. If $\alpha = d\bar{z}_i \wedge \beta$, one has $\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}(\alpha) = \alpha$. If α contains no monomials divisible by $d\bar{z}_i$, one has

$$\bar{\partial}_i\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}(\alpha) = \bar{\partial}_i\gamma_i\bar{\partial}_i(\alpha) = \{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}\bar{\partial}_i\alpha = \bar{\partial}_i\alpha,$$

hence $\bar{\partial}_i(\alpha - \{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\})|_U = 0$. This implies that $\text{im} \left[\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\} - \text{Id} \right] \Big|_U$ **lies in the space $R_i(U)$ of forms without $d\bar{z}_i$ in monomial decomposition and with all coefficients holomorphic as functions on z_i .**

Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma (2)

THEOREM: Let $U \subset T^{2n}$ be a sufficiently small polydisk, and $\mu \in \Lambda^{p,q}(T^{2n})$ a form with $q > 0$ which is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed on U . **Then there exists $\alpha \in \Lambda^{p,q-1}(T^{2n})$ such that $\bar{\partial}\alpha = \mu$ on U .**

Proof. Step 1: Let $\bar{\partial}_i : \Lambda^{p,q}(D^n) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p,q+1}(D^n)$ be the operator $\alpha \longrightarrow d\bar{z}_i \wedge \frac{d}{d\bar{z}_i}\alpha$, and γ_i the homotopy defined above. Then $\text{im} [\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\} - \text{Id}]|_U$ lies in the space $R_i(U)$ of forms without $d\bar{z}_i$ in monomial decomposition and with all coefficients holomorphic as functions on z_i .

Step 2: Let R_i denote the space of forms α on T^{2n} such that $\alpha|_U$ belongs to the space $R_i(U)$ defined above. Properties of γ_i :

(1). $\text{im} [\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\} - \text{Id}] \subset R_i$. **(2).** $\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_j\}|_U = 0$, if $i \neq j$. **(3).** the restriction $[\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}]|_{R_i}$ **vanishes on U .** **(4).** $\gamma_i(R_j) \subset R_j$, $\bar{\partial}_i(R_j) \subset R_j$ **for all $i \neq j$.**

Property (1) is proven in Step 1, property (2) and (4) follow because γ_i is independent from the z_j -coordinate for all $j \neq i$. Finally, (3) follows because for all forms α without $d\bar{z}_i$ in its monomial decomposition one has $\{\gamma_i, \bar{\partial}\}(\alpha) = \gamma_i(\bar{\partial}(\alpha))$.

Step 3: Properties (1), (3) and (4) give $[\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\} - \text{Id}] (R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}) \subset R_i \cap R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}$ for $i \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$, and $\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}|_{R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}} = 0$ otherwise.

Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma (3)

Step 3: Properties (1), (3) and (4) give $[\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\} - \text{Id}](R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}) \subset R_i \cap R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}$ for $i \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$, and $\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}|_{R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}} = 0$ otherwise.

Step 4: Let $\gamma := \sum_i \gamma_i$. Since $\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_j\} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, Step 3 gives

$$[\{\bar{\partial}, \gamma\} - (n - k) \text{Id}](R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}) \subset \sum_{i \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} R_i \cap R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}$$

Step 5: Let $W_0 = \Lambda^*(T^{2n})$, and $W_k \subset W_{k-1}$ the subspace generated by all $R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}$ for $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$. **Step 4 implies** $[\{\bar{\partial}, \gamma\} - (n - k) \text{Id}]|_{W_k} \subset W_{k+1}$.

Step 6: W_n is the space of $(p, 0)$ -forms holomorphic on U , and it does not contain any (p, q) -forms for $q > 0$. Using induction in $d = n - k$, **we can assume that any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (p, q) -form in W_{k+1} is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact when $q > 0$. To prove PDG-lemma, it would suffice to prove the same for any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form $\alpha \in W_k$.** Step 5 gives $(n - k)\alpha - \{\bar{\partial}, \gamma\}(\alpha) = (n - k)\alpha - \bar{\partial}\gamma(\alpha) \in W_{k+1}$, and this form is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact by the induction assumption. **This gives** $(n - k)\alpha - \bar{\partial}\gamma(\alpha) = \bar{\partial}\eta$, hence α is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. ■

Hartogs theorem

THEOREM: Let f be a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$, where $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a compact, and $n > 1$. **Then f can be extended to a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n .**

Proof. Step 1: Replacing K by a bigger compact, we can assume that f is smoothly extended to a small neighbourhood of the closure $\overline{M \setminus K}$. Then f can be extended to a smooth function on \mathbb{C}^n , holomorphic outside of K . **Then $\alpha := \bar{\partial} \tilde{f}$ is a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0,1)$ -form with compact support.**

Step 2: Using the standard open embedding of \mathbb{C}^n to $\mathbb{C}P^n$, we may consider α as a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0,1)$ -form on $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Since $H^1(\mathbb{C}P^n) = 0$, this gives $\alpha = \bar{\partial} \varphi$, where φ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{C}P^n$. In particular, **φ is bounded on $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$.**

Step 3: Since $\bar{\partial} \varphi$ vanishes outside of K , the function φ is holomorphic outside of K . Since bounded holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C} are constant, **φ is constant on any affine line not intersecting K .**

Step 4: This implies that $\varphi = \text{const}$ on the union of all affine lines not intersecting K . Since $n > 1$, the complement of this set is compact. Subtracting constant if necessary, we obtain that **φ is a function with compact support.**

Step 5: $\bar{\partial}(\tilde{f} - \varphi) = \alpha - \alpha = 0$, **hence $\tilde{f} - \varphi$ is holomorphic.** However, φ has compact support, and therefore $f = \tilde{f} - \varphi$ outside of a compact. ■