

Metric spaces

lecture 2: Intrinsic metrics

Misha Verbitsky

IMPA, sala 232

January 4, 2022, 17:00

Admissible paths

DEFINITION: Let M be a topological space. **A class of admissible paths** is a set \mathcal{C} of continuous maps $[a, b] \rightarrow M$ with the following properties.

1. **The concatenation.** For any two paths $[a, b] \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} M$, $[b, c] \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} M$, satisfying $\gamma_1(b) = \gamma_2(b)$, **the concatenation** $\gamma : [a, c] \rightarrow M$ (that is, the path equal to γ_1 on $[a, b]$ and to γ_2 on $[b, c]$) is also admissible.
2. **Linear reparametrization.** For any linear map $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ and any admissible path $\gamma : [c, d] \rightarrow M$, the path $\varphi \circ \gamma$ is also admissible.
3. **Restriction.** For each path $[a, b] \xrightarrow{\gamma} M$, and an interval $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$, the restriction $\gamma|_{[c, d]}$ is also admissible.

Admissible paths (examples)

EXAMPLE: Polygonal chains in \mathbb{R}^n constitute an admissible class.

EXAMPLE: Piecewise polynomial paths are obtained by concatenation of a collection of paths $\gamma_i : [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, given by polynomial maps $R \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly, **piecewise polynomial paths constitute an admissible class.**

EXAMPLE: Piecewise smooth paths are obtained by concatenation of a collection of paths $\gamma_i : [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, given by smooth maps $R \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly, **piecewise smooth paths constitute an admissible class.**

DEFINITION: A **C -Lipschitz map** is a map of metric spaces $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$ satisfying $Cd(x, y) \geq d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$. **Lipschitz map** is a C -Lipschitz map with some constant C .

EXAMPLE: Lipschitz paths in a metric space constitute an admissible class

EXAMPLE: Rectifiable paths in a metric space constitute an admissible class

Length structures

DEFINITION: Let M be a topological space. **A length structure** on M is a class \mathcal{C} of admissible paths together with **a length functional** $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \cup \infty$ which satisfies the following axioms.

1. **Additivity:** for any path $\gamma : [a, c] \rightarrow M$ and any $b \in [a, c]$, one has $L(\gamma) = L(\gamma|_{[a, b]}) + L(\gamma|_{[b, c]})$.

2. **The length is continuous as a function of the ends:** for any path $\gamma : [a, c] \rightarrow M$, **the function $L(\gamma|_{[a, b]})$ depends on $b \in [a, c]$ continuously.**

3 **Invariance under reparametrizations:** for any homeomorphism $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ and any admissible path $\gamma : [a, c] \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi \circ \gamma$ is also admissible, one has $L(\gamma) = L(\varphi \circ \gamma)$.

4. **Compatibility with the topology:** for any point $x \in M$, and any closed set $Z \subset M$, such that $x \notin Z$, there is a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $L(\gamma) > \varepsilon$ for any admissible path connecting Z to x .

EXAMPLE: The arc-length on the class of rectifiable paths **gives a length structure.**

The metric associated with a length structure

DEFINITION: Let M be a topological space equipped with a length structure L . The **path metric** d_L associated with L is defined as $d_L(x, y) := \inf_{\gamma} L(\gamma)$, where the infimum is taken over all admissible paths connecting x to y .

CLAIM: d_L is a metric.

Proof: d_L is symmetric because the parameter change $t \rightarrow (b-t) + a$ takes a path connecting x to y to a path connecting y to x , and does not change the length. **Positivity of $d_L(x, y)$ for all $x \neq y$** follows from the condition 4, applied when $Z = \{y\}$. Indeed, there exists a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that any path γ connecting x to $Z = \{y\}$ satisfies $L(\gamma) > \varepsilon$, hence $d_L(x, y) > \varepsilon$. **Triangle inequality follows from the concatenation.** Let γ_1 be a path connecting x to y , and γ_2 a path connecting y to z . We can choose γ_1, γ_2 such that $L(\gamma_1) < d_L(x, y) + \varepsilon$, and $L(\gamma_2) < d_L(y, z) + \varepsilon$, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote by γ the concatenation of γ_1 and γ_2 , it is an admissible path connecting x to z , and satisfies $L(\gamma) = L(\gamma_1) + L(\gamma_2) < d_L(x, y) + d_L(y, z) + 2\varepsilon$. This gives

$$d_L(x, y) + d_L(y, z) \geq L(\gamma) + 2\varepsilon \geq d_L(x, z) + 2\varepsilon.$$

■

Examples of length structures

EXAMPLE: Let $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ with the standard topology, and \mathcal{C} the class of all piecewise-linear paths (polygonal chains) $[x_0, x_1] \cup [x_1, x_2] \cup \dots \cup [x_{n-1}, x_n]$. Define the length functional taking $\gamma = [x_0, x_1] \cup [x_1, x_2] \cup \dots \cup [x_{n-1}, x_n] \in \mathcal{C}$ to $L(\gamma) = \sum |d(x_i, x_{i+1})|$.

CLAIM: The metric d_L constructed from this length structure **is equal to the standard Euclidean metric.**

Proof: Indeed, **the shortest polygonal chain connecting two points is an interval of a line.** ■

EXAMPLE: (“crossing of a swamp”: conformally flat metric)

Let $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ and \mathcal{C} the class of all piecewise-linear paths (polygonal chains). Fix a continuous, positive function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$. Define the length functional as

$$L(\gamma) = \sum \int_{[x_i, x_{i+1}]} f dt$$

where dt is the unit 1-form on the interval.

EXERCISE: Prove that this defines a length structure.

Finsler metrics

EXAMPLE: Let M be \mathbb{R}^n , and \mathcal{C} the class of all piecewise smooth paths. Define the length functional as $L(\gamma(t)) := \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$.

CLAIM: This functional defines a length structure.

Proof: Indeed, it is equal to the arc length. ■

EXAMPLE: (A Finsler metric)

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, and $\nu_x : T_x \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the norm on the tangent space, continuously depending on x . For any piecewisely smooth path $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow U$, define $L_\nu(\gamma(t)) := \int_a^b \nu_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t)) dt$

PROPOSITION: This defines a length functional on the class of piecewisely smooth paths.

Proof: Additivity and continuity of L are clear. Compatibility with topology is implied by $L_\nu \geq L_d$, where L_d is the arc length associated with an Euclidean metric such that the corresponding norm on $T_x \mathbb{R}^n$ is smaller than ν_x .

Finsler metrics and Riemannian metrics

Invariance of L_ν under the reparametrization is implied by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} L_\nu(\varphi \circ \gamma) &= \int_a^b \nu_{\gamma(\varphi(t))}(\varphi \circ \gamma)'(t) dt = \\ &= \int_a^b \nu_{\gamma(\varphi(t))}(\varphi'(t)\gamma'(\varphi(t))) dt = \int_a^b \varphi'(t) \nu_{\gamma(\varphi(t))}(\gamma'(\varphi(t))) dt = \\ &= \int_{\varphi(a)}^{\varphi(b)} \nu_{\gamma(\varphi(t))}(\gamma'(\varphi(t))) d\varphi(t) = L_\nu(\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

■

DEFINITION: Let M be a manifold, and $\nu_x : T_x\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the norm on the tangent space, continuously depending on x . Define a functional L_ν on the class of piecewise smooth paths by

$$L_\nu(\gamma(t)) := \int_a^b \nu_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t)) dt.$$

The corresponding path metric on M is called **a Finsler metric**. When the norm ν_x is Euclidean for all points, this metric is called **a Riemannian metric**, and the Euclidean scalar product g_x **a Riemannian form**. Usually it is considered as a section of the bundle $\text{Sym}^2(T^*M)$ of symmetric 2-forms on M .

Geodesics (reminder)

DEFINITION: A path $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ is called **a minimizing geodesic** if $d(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in [a, b]$. In other words, **a map $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ is a minimizing geodesic if and only if it is an isometry.**

REMARK: **A geodesic** is a map $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ such that $[a, b]$ is a union of intervals $[a, b] = \cup [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, and $\gamma|_{[x_i, x_{i+1}]}$ is a minimizing geodesic. This definition is very important in differential geometry, but we (almost) never will use it.

REMARK: We are interested in metric spaces where every two points can be connected by a minimizing geodesic; such metrics are called **geodesic metrics**. Riemannian metric on a complete Riemannian manifolds have this property. In the next lecture I will explain how to construct such metrics.

Arc-length and rectifiable paths (reminder)

Let (M, d) be a metric space, and $\gamma : [a, b] \mapsto M$ a continuous path (here $[a, b]$ denotes the closed interval). Let $x_0 = a < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < b = x_n$ be the partition of the interval, and $L_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\gamma(x_i), \gamma(x_{i+1}))$ the length of the corresponding polygonal chain.

DEFINITION: We define **the arc-length** (or **the length**) of the path γ as

$$L_d(\gamma) := \sup_{a < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < b} L_\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}),$$

where supremum is taken over all partitions of the interval $[a, b]$. A path is called **rectifiable** if its arc-length is finite.

CLAIM: Let \mathcal{C} be the class of rectifiable paths, and $L_d : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the arc-length. Then (\mathcal{C}, L_d) is a length structure.

Arc-length as a length structure

DEFINITION: Let (M, d) be a metric space, and $L_d : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the arc-length functional on the class of rectifiable paths. Denote by \hat{d} the corresponding path metric. It is called **the path metric, induced by d** .

REMARK: Clearly, $\hat{d} \geq d$. Indeed, for any path γ connecting x to y , $L_d(\gamma) \geq d(x, y)$ by the triangle inequality.

DEFINITION: A metric d on M is called **intrinsic** if $\hat{d} = d$.

THEOREM: For any metric space (M, d) , **the metric \hat{d} is intrinsic**, that is, $\hat{\hat{d}} = \hat{d}$.

Proof. Step 1: Since $\hat{d} \geq d$, we have $L_d(\gamma) \leq L_{\hat{d}}(\gamma)$ for any path γ in M .

Step 2: Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a rectifiable path. Take a partition x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} of the interval such that $L_{\hat{d}}(\gamma) - \sum \hat{d}(\gamma(x_i), \gamma(x_{i+1})) < \varepsilon$. Then

$$L_{\hat{d}}(\gamma) - \varepsilon \leq \sum_i \hat{d}(\gamma|_{[x_i, x_{i+1}]}) \leq \sum_i L_d(\gamma|_{[x_i, x_{i+1}]}) = L_d(\gamma).$$

Passing to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $L_{\hat{d}}(\gamma) \leq L_d(\gamma)$. Since $\hat{d} \geq d$, this gives $L_{\hat{d}}(\gamma) = L_d(\gamma)$, hence $\hat{\hat{d}} = \hat{d}$. ■

Intrinsic metrics and length structures

THEOREM: Let (M, \mathcal{C}, L) be a topological space equipped with a length structure, and d the associated path metric. **Then d is intrinsic.**

Proof. Step 1: Let γ be an admissible path connecting a and b in M . By definition, $d(a, b) \leq L(\gamma)$. On the other hand, $L_d(\gamma)$ is the supremum of $\sum_i d(\gamma(x_i), \gamma(x_{i+1}))$ for all partitions of $[a, b]$. Therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} of $[a, b]$ such that

$$L_d(\gamma) \leq \sum_i d(\gamma(x_i), \gamma(x_{i+1})) + \varepsilon \leq \sum_i L(\gamma_{[x_i, x_{i+1}]}) + \varepsilon = L(\gamma) + \varepsilon.$$

Passing to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $L_d(\gamma) \leq L(\gamma)$, hence $\hat{d} \leq d$.

Step 2: The converse inequality $\hat{d} \geq d$ is clear. ■

The following version of Hopf-Rinow theorem is due to Stefan Cohn-Vossen.

THEOREM: Let (M, d) be a complete, locally compact space with intrinsic metric. Then **any two points of M can be connected by a minimizing geodesic.** Moreover, **any closed ball in M is compact.**

Proof: Next lecture. ■