

Seiberg-Witten invariants,

lecture 5: the Dirac operator

IMPA, sala 236

Misha Verbitsky, February 9, 2026, 17:00

<http://verbit.ru/IMPA/SW-2026/>

Ehresmann connections

DEFINITION: Let $\pi : M \rightarrow Z$ be a smooth submersion, with $T_\pi M$ **the bundle of vertical tangent vectors** (vectors tangent to the fibers of π). An **Ehresmann connection** on π is a sub-bundle $T_{\text{hor}}M \subset TM$ such that $TM = T_{\text{hor}}M \oplus T_\pi M$. The **parallel transport** along the path $\gamma : [0, a] \rightarrow Z$ associated with the Ehresmann connection is a diffeomorphism

$$V_t : \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0)) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\gamma(t))$$

smoothly depending on $t \in [0, a]$ and satisfying $\frac{dV_t}{dt} \in T_{\text{hor}}M$.

CLAIM: Let $\pi : M \rightarrow Z$ be a smooth fibration with compact fibers. Then **the parallel transport, associated with the Ehresmann connection, always exists.**

Proof: Follows from existence and uniqueness of solutions of ODEs. ■

Principal bundles (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let G be a Lie group. **Principal G -bundle** over a manifold M is a smooth fibration $P \mapsto M$ with a smooth G -action which acts freely and transitively on fibers.

EXAMPLE: Frame bundle on a smooth n -manifold M is the bundle of all frames (bases) in $T_x M$, for all $x \in M$.

DEFINITION: Let $H \rightarrow G$ be a group homomorphism, and P a principal H -bundle. Then the quotient $P_G := P \times G / H$ (with H acting on both components in a natural way) is called **an associated principal bundle**, and P is called **reduction of the principal G -bundle P_G to the group H** .

DEFINITION: Let G be a Lie group, and $G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ a group homomorphism. **A G -structure on a manifold M** is a reduction of the principal frame bundle to G .

DEFINITION: Let G be a Lie group, V its representation, and P a principal G -bundle on M . The quotient $P \times V / G$ is a vector bundle over M , called **the associated vector bundle**.

Connections

DEFINITION: Let B be a vector bundle on M . **Connection** on B is a differential operator $\nabla : B \rightarrow B \otimes \Lambda^1 M$ such that $\nabla(fb) = f\nabla(b) + b \otimes df$, for any $f \in C^\infty M$ and any section b of B .

DEFINITION: Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G -bundle. **A connection** on P is a G -invariant Ehresmann connection.

REMARK: We are going to construct **an equivalence between the connections in the principal frame bundles and connections in the corresponding vector bundles.**

REMARK: Let X be a manifold with smooth action of G , and $P_X := P \times_G X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} M$ the associated fibration. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\pi_X} P_X \rightarrow TP_X \rightarrow \pi_X^* TM \rightarrow 0. \quad (*)$$

A G -invariant splitting of the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_\pi P \rightarrow TP \rightarrow \pi^* TM \rightarrow 0$ gives a splitting of $(*)$, because $T_{(x,p)} P_X = \frac{T_p P \oplus T_x X}{\mathfrak{g}}$, where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G . Therefore, **A connection in a principal bundle defines an Ehresmann connection in every associated fibration.**

The horizontal lift

DEFINITION: Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ be a smooth submersion. **A lift** of a vector field $X \in TM$ is a vector field \tilde{X} on P such that for all $x \in P$ the differential $d\pi$ takes $\tilde{X}|_x \in T_x P$ to $X|_{\pi(x)} \in T_{\pi(x)} M$.

DEFINITION: Let $TP = T_{\text{hor}}P \oplus T_{\pi}P$ be an Ehresmann connection. Since the differential $D\pi : TP \rightarrow TM$ defines a bijective map $T_{\text{hor}}P \rightarrow TM$, for every vector field $X \in TM$ there exists a unique lift $X_{\text{hor}} \in T_{\text{hor}}P$. It is called **the horizontal lift** of X .

CLAIM: Let $X \in TM$ be a vector field, and γ its integral curve. **Then the parallel transport along the Ehresmann connection associated with γ is equal to the corresponding diffeomorphism flow e^X .**

Proof: Clear. ■

Ehresmann connection on a product

REMARK: Let $\pi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow Z$, $\pi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow Z$ be smooth submersions with fibers F_1, F_2 . Clearly, **the fibered product $\pi : M_1 \times_Z M_2 \rightarrow Z$ is a smooth submersion with fiber $F_1 \times F_2$.**

PROPOSITION: Consider standard exact sequence,
 $0 \rightarrow T_\pi(M_1 \times_Z M_2) \rightarrow T(M_1 \times_Z M_2) \rightarrow \pi^*TZ \rightarrow 0$. Let $\Pi_1 : M_1 \times_Z M_2 \rightarrow M_1$,
 $\Pi_2 : M_1 \times_Z M_2 \rightarrow M_2$ be the projection maps. Define the bundle

$$B := \{v \in T_{m_1, m_2}(M_1 \times_Z M_2) \mid D\Pi_1(v) \in T_{\text{hor}}M_1, \text{ and } D\Pi_2(v) \in T_{\text{hor}}M_2\}.$$

Then

(i) **B is isomorphic to π^*TZ and defines a splitting of the exact sequence (**), that is, an Ehresmann connection on the fibration $M_1 \times_Z M_2 \rightarrow Z$.**

(ii) Conversely, consider an Ehresmann connection $T(M_1 \times_Z M_2) = T_\pi(M_1 \times_Z M_2) \oplus T_{\text{hor}}(M_1 \times_Z M_2)$. Then the image of the differential $D\Pi_i$ in TM_i satisfies $D\Pi_i(T_{\text{hor}}(M_1 \times_Z M_2)) \oplus T_{\pi_i}M_i = TM_i$, that is, **defines an Ehresmann connection on the fibration $\pi_i M_i \rightarrow Z$.**

Proof: Left as an exercise. ■

REMARK: This defines a bijective correspondence between the Ehresmann connections on the fibration $\pi : M_1 \times_Z M_2 \rightarrow Z$ and the pairs of Ehresmann connections on $\pi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow Z$, $\pi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow Z$.

Linear connections

DEFINITION: Let B be a vector bundle on M and $\pi : \text{Tot } B \rightarrow M$ its total space. An Ehresmann connection $T \text{Tot } B = T_{\text{hor}} \text{Tot } B \oplus T_{\pi} \text{Tot } B$ on π is called **homogeneous** if the decomposition $T \text{Tot } B = T_{\text{hor}} \text{Tot } B \oplus T_{\pi} \text{Tot } B$ is preserved by the differential of homothety map $v \rightarrow \lambda v$.

DEFINITION: Consider the connection on $\text{Tot } B \times_M \text{Tot } B \rightarrow \text{Tot } B$ induced by the Ehresmann connection on $\text{Tot } B$. We say that an Ehresmann connection $T \text{Tot } B = T_{\text{hor}} \text{Tot } B \oplus T_{\pi} \text{Tot } B$ is **additive** if the differential of the addition map $\text{Tot } B \times_M \text{Tot } B \rightarrow \text{Tot } B$ takes horizontal vectors to horizontal vectors. We say that an Ehresmann connection is **linear** if it is additive and homogeneous.

PROPOSITION: Let B be a vector bundle on M , $\pi : \text{Tot } B \rightarrow M$ its total space, and $T \text{Tot } B = T_{\pi} \text{Tot } B \oplus T_{\text{hor}} \text{Tot } B$ an Ehresmann connection on $\text{Tot } B$. Then this connection **is linear if and only if the parallel transport map $V_t : \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0)) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ taking a fiber of B to another fiber of B is linear** with respect to the structure of the vector space on these fibers.

Proof: A connection is homogeneous if and only if the the horizontal lift of a vector field commutes with homothety, and additive if and only if it compatible with the group structure. ■

Dual connections

DEFINITION: Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ be a fibration equipped with an Ehresmann connection. Then the horizontal lifts of vector fields $X \in TM$ define diffeomorphisms of P preserving the fibers. These diffeomorphisms are called **parallel transports along the connection**.

CLAIM: Let $T \text{Tot } B = T_{\text{hor}} \text{Tot } B \oplus T_{\pi} \text{Tot } B$ be a linear Ehresmann connection, and $\text{Tot } B^* \times_M \text{Tot } B \xrightarrow{\kappa} \text{Tot } C^\infty M = \mathbb{C} \times M$ the natural pairing. Then **there exists a unique Ehresmann connection on $\text{Tot } B^*$ such that the map κ takes horizontal vectors to horizontal vectors.**

Proof: The parallel transport map in $\text{Tot } B^*$ is dual to the parallel transport in $\text{Tot } B$. ■

Fiberwise linear functions

DEFINITION: Let B be a vector bundle, and f a function on $\text{Tot } B$ which is linear on all fibers of π . Such a function is called **fiberwise linear**.

CLAIM: Let B be a vector bundle over M . An Ehresmann connection on $\text{Tot } B$ **is linear if and only if the Lie derivative of a fiberwise linear function along a horizontal vector field is again fiberwise linear.**

Proof: The diffeomorphism flow associated with a horizontal lift induces a linear map on fibers if and only if the Ehresmann connection is linear. ■

REMARK: Clearly, **every section of B defines a fiberwise linear function on $\text{Tot } B^*$** , and, conversely, **every fiberwise linear function on $\text{Tot } B^*$ is associated with a smooth section of B .**

Linear vector fields

PROPOSITION: Let $\text{Tot } B$ be a total space of a vector bundle equipped with a linear Ehresmann connection. This also defines a linear Ehresmann connection on $\text{Tot } B^*$. Given a vector field $X \in TM$, denote by $X_{\text{hor}} \in T \text{Tot } B^*$ its horizontal lift. Consider a section b of B as a fiberwise linear function on $\text{Tot } B^*$. The derivative $\text{Lie}_{X_{\text{hor}}} b$ is fiberwise linear on $\text{Tot } B^*$, hence it can be interpreted as a section of B . **Then the map $\nabla_X b := \text{Lie}_{X_{\text{hor}}} b$ defines a connection on the vector bundle B .**

Proof: Follows from the Leibnitz formula for the Lie derivative. ■

PROPOSITION: **All connections on the vector bundle B are obtained this way.**

Proof: Left as an exercise. ■

Connections in frame bundles

REMARK: Let $P_{GL(n,\mathbb{R})}TM$ be a frame bundle on TM , considered as a principal bundle. We consider $P_{GL(n,\mathbb{R})}TM$ as an open subset in $(TM)^n$, fibered over M with the fiber $(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$. Then, **any Ehresmann connection in TM gives an Ehresmann connection in $P_{GL(n,\mathbb{R})}TM \subset TM$.** By construction, **this connection is $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ -invariant.**

The following proposition gives an inverse correspondence.

PROPOSITION: Let V be a representation of G , and $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ a principal G -bundle equipped with an Ehresmann connection. Consider the natural Ehresmann connection on the associated vector bundle $P \times_G V$ defined earlier today. **Then this connection is linear.**

Proof: The action of G on V is compatible with the homothety and with the parallel transport, hence the parallel transport in the vector bundle $P \times_G V$ preserves the linear structure. ■

Spin^c-group (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let V be a real vector space with a scalar product, and let $z \in \text{Spin}(V)$ be the non-trivial element in the kernel of the homomorphism $\text{Spin}(V) \rightarrow \text{SO}(V)$. Then $\text{Spin}^c(V) := \frac{\text{Spin}(V) \times U(1)}{(z, -1)}$ is called **the Spin^c-group**.

REMARK: Let $S(V)$ be the Clifford module, that is, the spinorial representation associated with V . Consider the natural map $\text{Cl}(V) \rightarrow U(S(V) \otimes \mathbb{C})$. Then $\text{Spin}^c(V)$ is the image of $\text{Spin}(V) \times U(1)$ in $U(S(V) \otimes \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, **the space $U(S(V) \otimes \mathbb{C})$ admits a faithful action of the group $\text{Spin}^c(V)$.**

DEFINITION: A **Spin^c-structure** on a bundle B , $\text{rk}(B) = n$, is a reduction of its structure group to $\text{Spin}^c(n)$.

Spin^c-structures (reminder)

REMARK 1: From the exponential exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow 0$, we obtain an isomorphism $H^1(M, S^1) = H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Let c_1 denote the map $H^1(M, S^1) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

THEOREM: Let M be a manifold, and $R : H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ be the reduction mod 2 map. An oriented vector bundle B admits a Spin^c-structure **if and only if** $w_2(B) \in \text{im } R$.

Proof. Step 1: Clearly, Spin^c(V) is a double cover of $SO(V)$. Consider the exact sequence

$$H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^1(M, \text{Spin}^c(n)) \rightarrow H^1(M, SO(n)) \oplus H^1(M, S^1) \xrightarrow{w_2 + c_1} H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

It follows that **a principal Spin^c(n)-bundle is a principal $SO(n) \times S^1$ -bundle P such that $w_2 + c_1(P) = 0$.**

Step 2: In other words, a principal $SO(n) \times S^1$ -bundle is a pair of a principal $SO(n)$ -bundle B and a principal S^1 -bundle L , such that $w_2(B) + c_1(L) = 0 \pmod{2}$.

Step 3: From Remark 1, we obtain that a principal S^1 -bundle is uniquely determined by $c_1(L) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, a vector bundle B admits a Spin^c-structure **if and only if there exists $c_1(L) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $w_2(B) + c_1(L) = 0 \pmod{2}$.** ■

Levi-Civita connection on the spinor bundle

DEFINITION: A bundle of spinors on a spin-manifold M is a vector bundle associated to the principal $\text{Spin}(n)$ -bundle and a spin representation.

REMARK: Let $Z \subset G$ be a discrete central subgroup. Clearly, an G -invariant Ehresmann connection on G -bundle P is the same as a G/Z -invariant connection on P/Z , considered as a principal P/Z -bundle. Therefore, **the Levi-Civita connection on $P_{SO(n)}TM$ is naturally extended to its double cover $\text{Spin}(n)$ -bundle.**

DEFINITION: As shown in the first part of this lecture, **this defines a connection in any of the associated vector bundles.** The corresponding connection on the spinor bundle is also called **the Levi-Civita connection.**

The Dirac operator

DEFINITION: Consider the map $TM \otimes \text{Spin} \rightarrow \text{Spin}$ induced by the Clifford multiplication. One defines **the Dirac operator** $D : \text{Spin} \rightarrow \text{Spin}$ as a composition of $\nabla : \text{Spin} \rightarrow \Lambda^1 M \otimes \text{Spin} = TM \otimes \text{Spin}$ and the multiplication.

DEFINITION: A **harmonic spinor** is a spinor ψ such that $D(\psi) = 0$.

THEOREM: (Bochner's vanishing) A harmonic spinor ψ on a compact manifold with vanishing scalar curvature $Sc = \text{Tr}(\text{Ric})$ **satisfies** $\nabla\psi = 0$.

Proof: (More details will be given in the end of this lecture.) The **coarse Laplacian** $\nabla^*\nabla$ is expressed through the Dirac operator using the **Lichnerowicz formula** $\nabla^*\nabla - D^2 = -\frac{1}{4}Sc$. When these two operators are equal, **any harmonic spinor** ψ **lies in** $\ker \nabla^*\nabla$, **giving** $(\psi, \nabla^*\nabla\psi) = (\nabla\psi, \nabla\psi) = 0$.

■

Spin^c-structures: an associated bundle

DEFINITION: Let V be a vector space, $S(V)$ a spin-representation of $\text{Spin}(V)$, and $S_{\mathbb{C}}V := S(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ its complexification, considered as a $\text{Spin}^c(V)$ -representation. **A complex spinor bundle** associated with a principal $\text{Spin}^c(V)$ -bundle P is $P \times_{\text{Spin}^c(V)} S_{\mathbb{C}}V$.

REMARK: Consider a $\text{Spin}^c(TM)$ -bundle on M . The corresponding group is $\text{Spin}^c(n) = \frac{\text{Spin}(n) \times U(1)}{\pm 1}$. To define a connection on $\text{Spin}^c(TM)$ -bundle, **we need to define it on its double cover $\text{Spin}(n) \times U(1)$.**

DEFINITION: Let L denote the line bundle associated with the $U(1)$ -bundle associated with the Spin^c -structure. It is called **the determinant bundle** of the Spin^c -structure. Clearly, **L is a complex hermitian line bundle.**

DEFINITION: **A spinorial connection** on the $\text{Spin}^c(TM)$ -bundle is obtained from the Levi-Civita connection on its $\text{Spin}(n)$ -component and a unitary connection on the unitary complex line bundle L .

Gaussian curvature

CLAIM: Let ∇ be a Levi-Civita connection on a Riemannian manifold, and $R \in T^*M^{\otimes 3} \otimes TM$ its curvature tensor. Using an isomorphism $TM \cong T^*M$ given by the metric, we may consider R as an element in $T^*M^{\otimes 4}$. **Then R is a section of $\text{Sym}^2(\Lambda^2 T^*M)$, antisymmetric in 1,2 and 3,4 indices.**

DEFINITION: Let V be a vector space with non-degenerate scalar product g . **A trace** $\text{Tr}_{12} : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n-2}$ is defined as a map dual to the multiplication $A \rightarrow g \otimes A$. **The trace in i -th and j -th indices**, denoted as $\text{Tr}_{ij} : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n-2}$, is defined as a map which acts in the i -th and j -th component as Tr_{12} on the first two.

DEFINITION: **Gaussian curvature** of a Riemannian manifold is a scalar $\text{Tr}_{13} \text{Tr}_{24}(R)$, where R is the Riemannian curvature.

Clifford multiplication in $\text{Sym}^2(\Lambda^2 V)$

There are lots of constants missing from now on: $1/2$, $1/4$ and so on. It is a good exercise to find every place where I omit the constant and put it back.

LEMMA 1: Let $R \in \text{Sym}^2(\Lambda^2 V)$, where V is a space with scalar product g . Denote the Clifford multiplication as $\sigma : V^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(V)$. **Then**

$$\sigma(R) = \text{Tr}_{13} \text{Tr}_{24} R + \sigma(\text{Alt}(R)),$$

where $\text{Alt} : \text{Sym}^2(\Lambda^2 V) \rightarrow \Lambda^4 V$ is the exterior product map.

Proof: Let $x, y, z, t \in V$, and $R(x, y, z, t) := (xy - yx)(zt - tz) + (zt - tz)(xy - yx)$ be the corresponding element in $\text{Sym}^2(\Lambda^2 V)$. Then

1. If x, y, z, t are pairwise orthogonal, we have $\sigma(R(x, y, z, t)) = \sigma(\text{Alt}(R))$, because x, y, z, t anticommute in the Clifford algebra.
2. If x, y, z are pairwise orthogonal, and $y = t$, then $xy - yx$ anticommutes with $zt - tz$, hence $\sigma(R(x, y, z, t)) = 0$.
3. If x, y are orthogonal, $y = t$ and $x = z$, we have

$$\sigma(R(x, y, z, t)) = \sigma((xy - yx)^2) = g(x, x)g(y, y).$$

■

Laplacian and rough Laplacian

REMARK: Let $D : S \rightarrow S$ be the Dirac operator, and $x_i \in TM$ an orthonormal frame. **Then** $D(s) = \sum_i \sigma(x_i, \nabla_{x_i} s)$, **where** $\sigma : TM \otimes S \rightarrow S$ **is Clifford multiplication.**

COROLLARY: Let $\Theta \in \Lambda^2 M \otimes \text{End}(S)$ be the curvature of S . Then

$$D^2(s) = \sum_{i,j} \sigma(x_i x_j, \nabla_{x_i} \nabla_{x_j} s) = \sum_{i,j} \sigma(x_i x_j, \Theta_{x_i, x_j} s) + \sum_{i,j} \sigma(x_i x_j + x_j x_i, \nabla_{x_i} \nabla_{x_j} s).$$

Since $\sigma(x_i x_j + x_j x_i, v) = g(x_i, x_j)v$, this gives

$$D^2(s) = \sigma(\Theta, s) + \sum_i \nabla_{x_i} \nabla_{x_i} s.$$

DEFINITION: Rough Laplacian on a bundle B with connection on a Riemannian manifold is defined as $\mathfrak{D}(s) := \text{Tr}_{12}(\nabla^2 s)$.

REMARK: The previous corollary is then rewritten as $D^2(s) = \sigma(\Theta, s) + \mathfrak{D}(s)$.

REMARK: $\int (\mathfrak{D}(s), s) \text{Vol} = \int (\nabla s, \nabla s) \text{Vol}$. Therefore, **on a compact manifold, $\mathfrak{D}(s) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla(s) = 0$.**

The Weitzenböck formula

THEOREM: (Lichnerowicz-Weitzenböck formula)

Let M be a Riemannian manifold with spin structure, $\mathfrak{D} : S \rightarrow S$ the rough Laplacian, Sc multiplication by the scalar curvature, and $D : S \rightarrow S$ the Dirac operator. **Then $D^2 = \mathfrak{D} + Sc$.**

Proof: $D^2(s) = \sigma(\Theta, s) + \mathfrak{D}(s)$, as shown above, and $\sigma(\Theta, s) = Sc(s) + \sigma(\text{Alt}(R))$ by Lemma 1. The last term vanishes, because $\text{Alt}(R)$ (Bianchi identity). ■

REMARK: Clearly,

$$g(\mathfrak{D}(s), s) = \text{Tr}_{12}(\nabla^2(s), s) = g(\nabla(s), \nabla(s)).$$

This gives $\int_M g(\mathfrak{D}(s), s) = \int_M g(\nabla(s), \nabla(s))$. Therefore, **on a compact manifold $\mathfrak{D}(s) = 0$ is equivalent to $\nabla(s) = 0$.**

Bochner vanishing for harmonic spinors

COROLLARY: (Bochner vanishing)

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold with non-negative scalar curvature.

Then $\nabla(s) = 0$ for any harmonic spinor s . If, in addition, $Sc > 0$ somewhere on M , then $s = 0$.

Proof: Lichnerowicz-Weitzenböck formula gives

$$0 = g(D^2(s), s) = g(\mathfrak{D}(s), s) + \int_M Sc \cdot g(s, s) = \int_M g(\nabla(s), \nabla(s)) + \int_M Sc \cdot g(s, s).$$

The first term vanishes. Moreover, $s = 0$ on the set $U \subset M$ where $Sc > 0$.

Then $s = 0$ because $\nabla(s) = 0$. ■