# **Complex surfaces**

lecture 7: Elliptic operators of second order

Misha Verbitsky

IMPA, sala 236

January 22, 2024, 17:00

### **Differential operators**

**DEFINITION:** Let M be a smooth manifold **The ring of differential operators** Diff(M) is the ring of maps  $C^{\infty}M \longrightarrow C^{\infty}M$  generated by vector fields and maps  $L_f(\alpha) := f\alpha$  for all  $f \in C^{\infty}M$ .

**DEFINITION:** Clearly,  $L_f L_g = L_{fg}$ , hence such map generate a ring isomorphic to  $C^{\infty}M$ . It is called **the ring of differential operators of order 0**. **Differential operators of order** *d* are operators obtained by composition of at most

**REMARK:** Vector fields on M are the same as derivations of the ring  $C^{\infty}M$ , hence Diff(M) is an associative ring generated by  $C^{\infty}M$  and derivations.

**REMARK:** Locally, an order d differential operator can be written as a sum of **differential monomials**:

$$D = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n f_{ijk} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} + \dots$$

### Associated graded rings

**REMARK: Algebra** is an associative ring over a field. Rings in this lecture are not necessarily commutative, but always associative.

**DEFINITION:** Let *R* be an associative ring. Filtration on *R* is a collection of subspaces  $R_0 \subset R_1 \subset R_2 \subset ...$  such that  $R_i R_j \subset R_{i+j}$ .

**REMARK:** Let  $x \in R_k, y \in R_l$ . Then the product xy modulo  $R_{k+l-1}$  depends only on the class of x modulo  $R_{k-1}$ . Indeed,  $R_{k-1}R_l \subset R_{k+l-1}$ . This defines the product map  $(R_k/R_{k-1}) \otimes (R_l/R_{l-1}) \longrightarrow R_{k+l}/R_{k+l-1}$ . We obtained the associative product structure on the space  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i/R_{i-1}$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $R_0 \subset R_1 \subset R_2 \subset ...$  be a filtered ring. The ring  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i/R_{i-1}$  is called **the associated graded ring** of this filtration.

**EXAMPLE:** Consider the filtration  $\text{Diff}^0(M) \subset \text{Diff}^1(M) \subset \text{Diff}^2(M) \subset \dots$  on the ring of differential operators. The associated graded ring is called **the** ring of symbols of differential operators.

### The ring of symbols

**THEOREM:** Consider the filtration  $\text{Diff}^0(M) \subset \text{Diff}^1(M) \subset \text{Diff}^2(M) \subset \dots$ on the ring of differential operators. Then **its associated graded ring is isomorphic to the ring**  $\bigoplus_i \text{Sym}^i(TM)$ .

**Proof.** Step 1: Let f be a function with zero of order  $\geq k$  in z, and  $\mathfrak{m}$  the maximal ideal of z. Then  $\operatorname{Diff}^{k-1}(f)$  vanishes at z. This gives a bilinear pairing  $\frac{\operatorname{Diff}^k(M)}{\operatorname{Diff}^{k-1}(M)} \otimes \frac{\mathfrak{m}^k}{\mathfrak{m}^{k-1}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , mapping  $D \otimes f$  to Df(0). Since  $(\mathfrak{m}^k)/(\mathfrak{m}^{k-1}) = \operatorname{Sym}^i(T_zM)^*$ , this pairing, applied to all  $z \in M$ , gives a natural map  $\operatorname{Diff}^k(M)/\operatorname{Diff}^{k-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \operatorname{Sym}^k(TM)$ . It remains to prove that  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism. Since this pairing is local, it suffices to prove that it is an isomorphism for  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Step 2:** Let  $x_1, ..., x_n$  be coordinates in  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $D \in \text{Diff}^k(M)$ . Then  $\text{Sym}^*(TM) = C^{\infty}M[\frac{d}{dx_1}, \frac{d}{dx_2}, ..., \frac{d}{dx_n}]$ . Consider a homogeneous differential monomial  $D = f \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_{i_1} ... \partial x_{i_k}}$ . Then  $\sigma(D) = f \frac{d}{dx_{i_1}} \frac{d}{dx_{i_2}} ... \frac{d}{dx_{i_k}}$ . Therefore,  $\sigma$  is **surjective**.

**Step 3:** Let  $D \in \text{Diff}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a differential operator  $\sigma(D) = 0$ . Expressing D as a sum of differential monomials  $D = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{ijk} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} + \dots$ , we obtain that  $\sigma(D)$  is the sum of those monomials which have of degree k. Therefore,  $\sigma(D) = 0$  implies  $D \in \text{Diff}^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

### **Elliptic operators**

**COROLLARY:** Consider the filtration  $\text{Diff}^0(M) \subset \text{Diff}^1(M) \subset \text{Diff}^2(M) \subset$ .... Then **its associated graded ring is isomorphic to**  $\bigoplus_i \text{Sym}^i(TM)$ , identified with the ring if fiberwise polynomial functions on  $T^*M$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let *D* be a differential operator of order *k*. Its symbol is the image of *D* in  $\frac{\text{Diff}^k(M)}{\text{Diff}^{k-1}(M)} = \text{Sym}^k(TM)$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let D be a differential operator of order k, and  $symb(D) \in$  $Sym^k(TM)$  its symbol. Consider symb(D) as a degree k polynomial function on  $T^*M$ . We say that D is elliptic if symb(D) is positive or negative everywhere on  $T^*M\setminus 0$ .

**REMARK:** Let  $D = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$  be an elliptic operator of second order. Then **its symbol is a positive definite or negative definite scalar product on**  $T^*M$ . For second order elliptic operators, we always assume that the symbol  $\sum_{i,j} f_{ij} dx_i dx_j$  is positive definite.

**REMARK:** For any elliptic operator D, its symbol  $\sigma(D) \in \text{Sym}^2(TM)$  defines a Riemannian metric on M.

### Strong maximum principle

## THEOREM:

(strong maximum principle for second order elliptic equations; Eberhard Hopf, 1927) Let M be a manifold, not necessarily compact, and  $D : C^{\infty}M \longrightarrow C^{\infty}M$  an elliptic operator of second order, which satisfies D(const) = 0. Consider a function  $u \in C^{\infty}M$  such that  $D(u) \ge 0$ . Assume that u has a local maximum somewhere on M. Then u is a constant. ...Hopf's great paper on the maximum principle... has the beauty and elegance of a Mozart symphony, the light of a Vermeer painting. Only a fraction more than five pages in length, it contains seminal ideas which are still fresh after 75 years. – James Serrin, 2002

We start with a special case.

**Proof of maximum principle, for the case** D(u) > 0: In coordinates, D is written as  $Du = \sum_{i,j} A^{ij} u_{ij} + \sum_i B^i u_i$ , where u is the matrix of second derivatives of u,  $u_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ , and  $A^{ij}$  a function taking values in positive definite matrices. Let z be the point where u reaches a relative maximum. In this point the first derivatives of u vanish, and the matrix of second derivatives is negative semi-definite, hence  $Du|_z = \sum_{i,j} A^{ij} u_{ij}|_z \leq 0$ , contradicting Du > 0.

I will first prove the weak maximum principle, and then deduce the strong maximum principle.

M. Verbitsky

# **Eberhard Hopf (1902-1983)**



For a biography of E. Hopf, see "Eberhard Hopf between Germany and the US", https: //pure.mpg.de/rest/items/item\_3010413\_2/component/file\_3015364/content.

#### Weak maximum principle

### **THEOREM:** (The weak maximum principle)

Let  $D: C^{\infty}\mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow C^{\infty}\mathbb{R}^n$  be an elliptic operator of second order, which satisfies D(const) = 0. Consider a relatively compact open subset  $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then any solution u of the inequality  $D(u) \ge 0$  reaches its maximum  $\sup_{\Omega} u$ on the boundary  $\partial \Omega$ .

**Proof.** Step 1: Let  $z \in \overline{\Omega}$  be a point where u reaches maximum, and  $x_i$  coordinates in its neighbourhood U, with origin in z. Rescaling the coordinates if necessary, we can always assume that  $\Omega$  is relatively compact in the unit ball  $B_1$ . It would suffice to show that u(z) = u(v) for some  $z \in \partial \Omega$ .

**Step 2:** Adding to u a solution  $\varphi$  of the inequality  $D\varphi > 0$ , we obtain a function  $u + \varphi$  which reaches its maximum on  $\partial U$ , because of the strong maximum principle for solutions of Du > 0.

Step 3: The function  $\varphi := \varepsilon e^{cx_1}$  satisfies  $D\varphi > 0$  if c is chosen such that  $A^{1,1}c > |B^1|$ . Indeed,  $\varepsilon^{-1}D(\varphi) = c^2A^{1,1}e^{cx_1} + cB^1e^{cx_1} > 0$ .

**Step 4:** Since the maximum of  $u + \varepsilon e^{cx_1}$  is reached on  $\partial\Omega$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we obtain that  $\sup_{\Omega} u = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{\Omega} (u + \varepsilon e^{cx_1}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{\partial\Omega} (u + \varepsilon e^{cx_1}) = \sup_{\partial\Omega} u$ .

### Hopf lemma

### LEMMA: (Hopf lemma)

Let  $D(u) = \sum_{i,j} A^{ij} u_{ij} + \sum_i B^i u_i$  be an elliptic operator on a unit ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $u \in C^{\infty}B$  a function which satisfies  $D(u) \ge 0$ . Assume that u reaches maximum  $u(z_0) = 0$  in  $z_0 \in \partial B$ , and inside B we have u < 0. Denote by  $\vec{r}$  the radial vector field,  $\vec{r} = \sum x_i \frac{d}{dx_i}$ . Then the derivative  $\operatorname{Lie}_{\vec{r}} u|_{z_0}$  in the radial direction is positive.

**Proof.** Step 1: Consider a non-negative function  $v \in C^{\infty}B$ , defined by  $v(x) = e^{-\alpha|x|^2} - e^{-\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  is a real number. Then

$$D(v)|_{x} = \alpha^{2} e^{-\alpha r(x)^{2}} \sum A^{ij} x_{i} x_{j} + e^{-\alpha r(x)^{2}} (\alpha \zeta + \xi),$$

where  $\zeta, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}B$  are bounded functions on B, independent from  $\alpha$ . Therefore for sufficiently big  $\alpha > 0$ , we have D(v) > 0 in the set  $\Omega = B \setminus B'$ , where  $B' \subset B$  is an open ball with center in 0 and radius  $r_0 < 1$ .

**Step 2:** For a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $u + \varepsilon v < 0$  in B', because  $u < -\delta < 0$  on B' for some  $\delta > 0$ . Since v = 0 and  $u \leq 0$  on  $\partial B$ , weak maximum principle implies that  $u + \varepsilon v < 0$  in  $\Omega$ , and  $u + \varepsilon v$  reaches its maximum in  $z_0$ . **This implies that**  $\text{Lie}_{\vec{r}}(u + \varepsilon v)|_{z_0} \ge 0$ .

**Step 3:** An easy computation gives  $\operatorname{Lie}_{\vec{r}} v|_{z_0} < 0$ , hence Step 2 implies  $\operatorname{Lie}_{\vec{r}} u|_{z_0} > 0$ .

### Strong maximum principle (proof)

## THEOREM:

(strong maximum principle for second order elliptic equations; Eberhard Hopf, 1927) Let M be a manifold, not necessarily compact, and  $D : C^{\infty}M \longrightarrow C^{\infty}M$  an elliptic operator of second order, which satisfies D(const) = 0. Consider a function  $u \in C^{\infty}M$  such that  $D(u) \ge 0$ . Assume that u has a local maximum somewhere on M. Then u is a constant.

**Proof. Step 1:** Suppose that the local maximum is reached in an interior point  $z \in M$ , and  $Z := \{m \in M \mid u(m) = u(z)\}$ . If  $u \neq const$ , there exists an open ball  $B \subset M$  with interior not intersecting Z, and boundary intersecting Z. Replacing M by an open subset, we may assume that u(z) is its maximum on all M. Then B can be chosen in such a way that u < u(z) inside B, and  $\partial B \cap B$  is a local maximum of u.

**Step 2:** Since the derivative of z in  $z_0$  is non-zero (by Hopf lemma), this point cannot be a local maximum of u, giving a contradiction.