

Complex surfaces

lecture 11: Bott-Chern cohomology and the defect of a complex surface

Misha Verbitsky

IMPA, sala 236

January 31, 2024, 17:00

Bott-Chern cohomology

DEFINITION: Let M be a complex manifold, and $H_{BC}^{p,q}(M)$ the space of closed (p, q) -forms modulo $dd^c(\Lambda^{p-1, q-1}(M))$. Then $H_{BC}^{p,q}(M)$ is called **the Bott-Chern cohomology** of M .

REMARK: There are natural (and functorial) **maps from the Bott-Chern cohomology to the Dolbeault cohomology** $H^*(\Lambda^{*,*}(M), \bar{\partial})$ and to the **de Rham cohomology**, but no morphisms between de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology.

REMARK: However, **there is no multiplicative structure on the Bott-Chern cohomology**.

THEOREM: Let M be a compact complex manifold. **Then $H_{BC}^{p,q}(M)$ is finite-dimensional.**

Proof: Later today.

Elliptic complexes

DEFINITION: Let F, G, H be vector bundles, and $F \xrightarrow{D} G \xrightarrow{D} H$ a complex of differential operators (that is, $D^2 = 0$). It is called **elliptic complex** if its symbols $F \xrightarrow{\sigma(D)} G \xrightarrow{\sigma(D)} H$ give an exact sequence at each non-zero $\xi \in T^*M$.

DEFINITION: Let A, B, C be topological vector spaces and $A \xrightarrow{D} B \xrightarrow{D} C$ a complex of continuous maps. It is called **Fredholm complex** if $\text{im } D$ is closed, and $\frac{\ker D}{\text{im } D}$ is finite-dimensional.

THEOREM: Let $F \xrightarrow{D_1} G \xrightarrow{D_2} H$ be an elliptic complex of differential operators, with D_1 of order d_1 and D_2 of order d_2 . **Then the complex $L_p^2(F) \xrightarrow{D_1} L_{p-d_1}^2(G) \xrightarrow{D_2} L_{p-d_1-d_2}^2(H)$ is Fredholm.**

COROLLARY: Cohomology of any elliptic complex are finite-dimensional.

Bott-Chern cohomology groups are finite-dimensional

Now we can prove

THEOREM: Let M be a compact complex manifold. **Then $H_{BC}^{p,q}(M)$ is finite-dimensional.**

Proof: It would suffice to show that the complex

$$\Lambda^{p-1,q-1}(M) \xrightarrow{dd^c} \Lambda^{p,q}(M) \xrightarrow{\partial+\bar{\partial}} \Lambda^{p+1,q}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{p,q+1}(M)$$

is elliptic. **At $\xi \in T^*M$, symbol of dd^c is equal to the multiplication of a form by $\xi \wedge I(\xi)$, the symbol of ∂ is multiplication by $\xi^{1,0}$ and the symbol of $\bar{\partial}$ is multiplication by $\xi^{0,1}$.** Therefore, $\ker \sigma(\partial) = \text{im } \sigma(\partial)$, $\ker \sigma(\bar{\partial}) = \text{im } \sigma(\bar{\partial})$ (this proves finite-dimensionality of Dolbeault cohomology), and $\ker \sigma(\bar{\partial}) \cap \ker \sigma(\partial) = \text{im } \sigma(\partial\bar{\partial})$. ■

dd^c -lemma

THEOREM: Let η be an exact (p, q) -form on a compact Kähler manifold,
Then $\eta \in \text{im } dd^c = \text{im } \partial\bar{\partial}$.

Proof. Step 1: Notice immediately that η is closed and orthogonal to the kernel of Δ , hence its cohomology class vanishes. Indeed, $\ker \Delta$ is orthogonal to the image of d . Since η is exact, it lies in the image of Δ . Operator $G_\Delta := \Delta^{-1}$ is defined on $\text{im } \Delta = \ker \Delta^\perp$ and commutes with d, d^c .

Step 2: Then $\eta = d\alpha$, where $\alpha := G_\Delta d^*\eta$. Since G_Δ and d^* commute with d^c , the form α is d^c -closed; since it belongs to $\text{im } \Delta = \text{im } G_\Delta$, it is d^c -exact, $\alpha = d^c\beta$ which gives $\eta = dd^c\beta$. ■

REMARK: Let M be a manifold which satisfies the conclusion of dd^c -lemma.
Then the natural map $H_{BC}^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(M)$ **is injective.** The converse is also true: if $H_{BC}^{*,*}(M) \longrightarrow H^*(M)$ is injective, any d -exact (p, q) -form is dd^c -exact, hence M satisfies the dd^c -lemma.

Intersection form on $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda_{\text{prim}}^{1,1}(V)$

Lemma 1: Let (V, I, g) be a 4-dimensional space equipped with a complex structure operator $I \in \operatorname{End}(V)$, $I^2 = -\operatorname{Id}$, $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(V)$ a Hermitian form, and $\Lambda_{\text{prim}}^{1,1}(V) \subset \Lambda^{1,1}(V, I) \subset \Lambda^4(V)$ be the space of (1,1)-forms α such that $\alpha \wedge \omega = 0$. **Then for any non-zero $\alpha \in W$, one has $\frac{\alpha \wedge \alpha}{\operatorname{Vol}} < 0$.**

Proof. Step 1: Consider the Hodge star operator $*$: $\Lambda^2(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V)$. Clearly, $*^2 = \operatorname{Id}$, hence all eigenvalues of $*$ are ± 1 . If we invert the orientation, $*$ becomes $-*$; this implies that $*$ is conjugated to $-*$, hence the multiplicity of 1 and -1 is equal 3. **Denote the corresponding eigenspaces as $\Lambda^2 V = \Lambda^+ V \oplus \Lambda^- V$.** This decomposition is clearly orthogonal with respect to the pairing $\alpha, \beta \rightarrow \frac{\alpha \wedge \beta}{\operatorname{Vol}}$.

Step 2: Consider a quaternion action on V compatible with the scalar product g . Three symplectic forms $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$ are pairwise orthogonal, square to 0, hence generate $\Lambda^+ V$. However, $\Omega := \omega_J + \sqrt{-1} \omega_K$ is of type (2,0) on (V, I) . **Therefore, $\langle \operatorname{Re} \Omega, \operatorname{Im} \Omega, \omega \rangle = \Lambda^+ V$.**

Step 3: The space $\Lambda_{\text{prim}}^{1,1}(V)$ is 3-dimensional and orthogonal to the 3-dimensional space $\langle \operatorname{Re} \Omega, \operatorname{Im} \Omega, \omega \rangle$. The space $\langle \operatorname{Re} \Omega, \operatorname{Im} \Omega, \omega \rangle$ is equal to $\Lambda^+ V$, as follows from Step 2. **Then $\Lambda_{\text{prim}}^{1,1}(V) = \langle \operatorname{Re} \Omega, \operatorname{Im} \Omega, \omega \rangle^\perp = \Lambda^- V$. ■**

DEFINITION: A (1,1)-form on a complex Hermitian surface is **primitive** if it is orthogonal to ω pointwise. **Primitive forms satisfy $\|\eta\|_{L^2}^2 = -\int_M \eta \wedge \eta$.**

Bott-Chern cohomology of a surface

THEOREM: Let M be a compact surface. **Then the kernel of the natural map $P : H_{BC}^{1,1}(M) \longrightarrow H^2(M)$ is at most 1-dimensional.**

Proof. Step 1: Let ω be a Gauduchon metric on M . Consider the differential operator $D : f \mapsto dd^c(f) \wedge \omega$ mapping functions to 4-forms. Clearly, D is elliptic and its index is the same as the index of the Laplacian: $\text{ind } D = \text{ind } \Delta = 0$, hence $\dim \ker D = \dim \text{coker } D$. The Hopf maximum principle implies that $\ker D$ only contains constants, hence by index theorem $\text{coker } D$ is 1-dimensional. However, $\int_M D(f) = \int_M dd^c(f) \wedge \omega = \int_M f dd^c \omega = 0$. This implies that **a 4-form κ belongs to $\text{im } D$ if and only if $\int_M \kappa = 0$.**

Step 2: Let α be a closed $(1,1)$ -form. Define **the degree** $\deg_\omega \alpha := \int_M \omega \wedge \alpha$. Since $\int_M dd^c f \wedge \omega = 0$, this defines a map $\deg_\omega : H_{BC}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Given a closed $(1,1)$ -form α of degree 0, the form $\alpha' := \alpha - dd^c(D^{-1}(\alpha \wedge \omega))$ satisfies $\alpha' \wedge \omega = 0$, in other words, it is an ω -primitive $(1,1)$ -form. For ω -primitive forms, one has $\alpha' \wedge \alpha' = -|\alpha'|^2 \omega \wedge \omega$, giving $\int_M \alpha' \wedge \alpha' = -\|\alpha'\|_\omega^2$ which is impossible when α' is a non-zero class in $\ker P$, because then α' is exact. Therefore, **any vector of zero degree in $\ker P \subset H_{BC}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ vanishes.** This implies that any two vectors in $\ker P$ are proportional. ■

Defect of a complex surface

COROLLARY: Let M be a complex surface and η be a non-zero vector in $\ker P$, where $P : H_{BC}^{1,1}(M) \rightarrow H^2(M)$ is the natural map morphism. **Then $\int \eta \wedge \omega > 0$ for all Gauduchon forms ω , or $\int \eta \wedge \omega < 0$ for all ω .**

Proof: Consider two Gauduchon forms ω_1, ω_2 such that $\int \eta \wedge \omega_1 < 0$ and $\int \eta \wedge \omega_2 < 0$. **Then there is a Gauduchon form $\omega := a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$, $a, b > 0$ such that $\int \eta \wedge \omega = 0$, giving a contradiction. ■**

REMARK: A Bott-Chern class which satisfies $\int \eta \wedge \omega > 0$ for all Gauduchon forms **is represented by a positive current** (A. Lamari).

DEFINITION: The number $\dim \ker P$ is called **the defect** of a surface, denoted $\delta(M)$; by the previous theorem it can be 1 or 0. In the course of the proof of Lamari's theorem, we will show that **the surface is Kähler if and only if $\delta(M) = 1$.**