# **Topologia das Variedades**

Cohomology, lecture 11: fundamental class

Misha Verbitsky June 14, 2018

IMPA, Tuesdays and Thursdays, 10:30, Sala 224

# Cohomology with compact support (reminder)

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\omega$  be a differential form on M **Support** Supp $(\omega)$  of  $\omega$  is the closure of the set of all points where  $\omega \neq 0$ . We say that  $\omega$  has compact support if its support is compact.

**REMARK:** Clearly, a differential of a form with compact support has compact support.

**DEFINITION: Cohomology with compact support** of a manifold M is cohomology of the complex  $(\Lambda_c^*(M), d)$  of differential forms with compact support.

## **THEOREM:** (Poincaré duality theorem)

Let M be an n-dimensional oriented connected manifold. Then the integration map  $\alpha \longrightarrow \int_M \alpha$  gives an isomorphism  $H^n_c(M) = \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, the multiplication  $H^i_c(M) \times H^{n-i}(M) \longrightarrow H^n_c(M) = \mathbb{R}$  defines a non-degenerate pairing between  $H^i_c(M)$  and  $H^{n-i}(M)$ .

#### **Fundamental class**

**DEFINITION:** Let M be an oriented n-manifold,  $X \subset M$  a d-dimensional compact oriented submanifold. Consider a functional  $H^d(M) \xrightarrow{\int_X} \mathbb{R}$  mapping a form  $\alpha \in H^d(M)$  to  $\int_X \alpha$ . Since  $H^d(M)^* = H_c^{n-d}(M)$ , the linear functional  $\int_X$  is given by the integration with a cohomology class [X]:

$$\int_X \alpha = \int_M [X] \wedge \alpha$$

Then [X] is called **the fundamental class of** M.

**DEFINITION:** A de Rham cohomology class is called **integer** if it is an image of an integer singular class under the natural map  $H^*(M, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

#### **CLAIM:** Fundamental class [X] is always integer.

**Proof:** Partition [X] into a union of simplices  $\Delta_i$ . Then  $\int_{\alpha} X = \sum_i \int_{\Delta_i} \alpha$ , that is, it is given by a pairing with an integer homology class.

M. Verbitsky

## Intersection index

**DEFINITION:** Let  $X, Y \subset M$  be two closed submanifolds of an *n*-manifold with dim X + dim Y = n + d. We say that X and Y **intersect transversally** if for d < 0 they do not intersect and for  $d \ge 0$  and for each intersection point  $m \in X \cap Y$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $U \ni m$  and a diffeomorphism to an open ball  $U \longrightarrow B$  which maps X and Y to linear subspaces, intersecting in a d-dimensional subspace.

**DEFINITION:** Let  $X, Y \subset M$  be two closed oriented submanifolds of an oriented *n*-manifold with dim X = a, dim Y = b and a + b = n. Assume that X and Y intersect transversally. Then  $X \cap Y$  is a discrete set, and for any  $m \in X \cap Y$ , one has  $T_m M = T_m X \oplus T_m Y$ . Using orientation on X and Y, we obtain the volume forms  $\omega_X \in \Lambda^a T_m^* X$  and  $\omega_Y \in \Lambda^b T_m^* Y$ , defined up to a positive constant. Then  $\omega_X \wedge \omega_Y \in \Lambda^n T_m^* M$  defines an orientation in  $T_m M$ . We say that X and Y intersect positively in m if this form defines the standard orientation on M, and intersect negatively if it defines the opposite orientation.

**REMARK:** If X and Y are odd-dimensional,  $\omega_X \wedge \omega_Y = -\omega_Y \wedge \omega_X$ , hence for odd dimensions the intersection index depends on order, otherwise it is independent.

## **Intersection form**

The main result of today's lecture.

**THEOREM:** Let  $X, Y \subset M$  be two compact oriented submanifolds of an oriented *n*-manifold with dim X + dim Y = n, and [X], [Y] their fundamental classes. Assume that they intersect transversally in  $x_1, ..., x_n$ , with intersection indexes  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Then  $\int_M [X] \wedge [Y] = \sum_i \sigma_i$ .

In other words, Poincaré pairing is equal to the intersection pairing.

It would be proven later today.

# A tubular neighbourhood

**DEFINITION:** Let  $X \subset M$  be a smooth submanifold, and  $TM|_X$  the tangent bundle to M restricted to X. The **normal bundle** NX is defined as a quotient  $TM|_X/TX$ . **A tubular neighbourhood** of X in M is a neighbourhood  $U \supset X$ which is diffeomorphic to a neighbourhood of X in the total space of NXwhich is convex in each fiber  $N_xX$ .

**CLAIM:** Let  $X \subset M$  be a compact submanifold. Then a tubular neighbourhood always exists.

**Proof:** Introduce a Riemannian metric on M. Then NX can be identified with an orthogonal complement to TX in  $TM|_X$ . Consider the exponential map exp :  $\operatorname{Tot} NX \longrightarrow M$  mapping  $v \in NX$  to  $\gamma_v(1)$ , where  $\gamma$  :  $[0,1] \longrightarrow M$  is a geodesic tangent to v. It is well defined when v is sufficiently small, and its differential in v = 0 is bijective, because it is bijective when M is a vector space with a flat Riemannian metric and  $X \subset M$  is an affine subspace. Then exp :  $\operatorname{Tot} NX \longrightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism to its image in a neighbourhood of  $X \subset \operatorname{Tot} NX$  by the inverse function theorem.

#### Realizing the fundamental class by a form

**REMARK:** Let  $X \subset M$  be a submanifold and  $U \supset X$  a tubular neighbourhood. Realizing U as a fiberwise convex subset in Tot(NX) and using the homothety map, we obtain that U is homotopy equivalent to  $X \subset Tot(NX)$ .

Poincaré duality immediately implies the following claim.

**CLAIM:** Let  $X \subset M$  be a submanifold and  $U \supset X$  a tubular neighbourhood. **Then the space**  $H^d(X)$  is dual to  $H^{n-d}_c(U)$ .

**REMARK:** The *n*-th cohomology of a compact oriented *n*-manifold X is 1-dimensional, by Poincare duality. By definition, the group  $H^n(X)$  is generated by its own funfamental class [X].

**PROPOSITION:** Let  $X \subset M$  be a compact *d*-dimensional submanifold, with X and M oriented and X compact Consider a tubular neighbourhood of  $U \supset X$ . Then the fundamental class of X can be represented by a compactly supported closed form  $\tau_X \in \Lambda_c^{n-d}(U)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\alpha \in H^d(M)$  be any closed form. Then  $\int_X \alpha$  depends only on restriction of  $\alpha$  to U. Using Poincare duality in  $H^*(U)$ , we find a class in  $H^{n-d}_c(U)$  represented by a form  $\tau_X \in \Lambda^{n-d}_c(U)$  such that  $\int_X \alpha = \int_U \tau_X \wedge \alpha$ . Then  $\tau_X = [X]$ .

# Approximating the $\delta\text{-function}$

**DEFINITION:** Let  $x \in M$  be a point.  $\delta_x$ -function is a functional on the space  $C^0(M)$  of bounded functions such that  $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$ .

**DEFINITION:** Consider a sequence  $\alpha_i$  of top forms on M with  $\int_M \alpha_i = 1$ . We say that  $\alpha_i$  approximates the  $\delta$ -function if  $\lim_i \int \alpha_i f = f(x)$ .

**PROPOSITION:** Let  $\pi$ :  $W \longrightarrow M$  be a smooth fibration,  $\beta$  a form with compact support of dimension dim W-dim M, and  $\alpha_i$  approximates the delta-function  $\delta_x$  Then  $\lim_i \int \pi^* \alpha_i \wedge \beta = \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \beta$ .

**Proof:** Follows from the Fubini theorem.

#### Intersection form and Poincaré duality

**THEOREM:** Let  $X, Y \subset M$  be two compact oriented submanifolds of an oriented *n*-manifold with dim X + dim Y = n, and [X], [Y] their fundamental classes. Assume that they intersect transversally in  $x_1, ..., x_n$ , with intersection indexes  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Then  $\int_M [X] \wedge [Y] = \sum_i \sigma_i$ .

**Proof. Step 1:** Let U be a tubular neighbourhood of X in M, and  $\tau_X \in \Lambda_c^{n-d}(U)$  the form representing the fundamental class. We need only to show that  $\int_Y \tau_X = \sum_i \sigma_i$ .

**Step 2:** Consider the projection  $\pi : U \longrightarrow X$  obtained from the identification between U and an open subset in Tot(NX). Applying an appropriate diffeomorphism, we may assume that  $Y \cap U$  is a union of fibers of  $\pi$ . Then  $\int_M [X] \wedge [Y] = \int_M \tau_X \wedge [Y] = \int_Y \tau_X = \sum_i \int_{\pi^{-1}(x_i)} \tau_X$ . Therefore, the statement of the theorem would follow if we prove that  $\int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \tau_X = 1$  for any  $x \in X$ .

**Step 3:** Assume that X is d-dimensional. For any  $\alpha \in \Lambda^d(X)$ , one has  $\int_U \alpha \wedge \tau_X = \int_X \alpha$ . Consider a sequence of forms  $\alpha_i \in \Lambda^d(X)$  approximating the  $\delta$ -function; then  $\lim_i \int_X \alpha_i \wedge \beta = \int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \beta$ . This gives  $\int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \tau_X = \lim_i \alpha_i \wedge \tau_x = \int_X \alpha_i = 1$