# Hyperkahler manifolds,

lecture 2: Calabi-Yau theorem

NRU HSE, Moscow

Misha Verbitsky, September 21, 2019

http://bogomolov-lab.ru/KURSY/HK-2019/

#### Holomorphic vector bundles

**DEFINITION:** A  $\overline{\partial}$ -operator on a smooth bundle is a map  $V \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes V$ , satisfying  $\overline{\partial}(fb) = \overline{\partial}(f) \otimes b + f\overline{\partial}(b)$  for all  $f \in C^{\infty}M, b \in V$ .

**REMARK:** A  $\overline{\partial}$ -operator on *B* can be extended to

 $\overline{\partial}: \Lambda^{0,i}(M) \otimes V \longrightarrow \Lambda^{0,i+1}(M) \otimes V,$ 

using  $\overline{\partial}(\eta \otimes b) = \overline{\partial}(\eta) \otimes b + (-1)^{\tilde{\eta}} \eta \wedge \overline{\partial}(b)$ , where  $b \in V$  and  $\eta \in \Lambda^{0,i}(M)$ .

**DEFINITION:** A holomorphic vector bundle on a complex manifold (M, I) is a vector bundle equipped with a  $\overline{\partial}$ -operator which satisfies  $\overline{\partial}^2 = 0$ . In this case,  $\overline{\partial}$  is called a holomorphic structure operator.

**EXERCISE:** Consider the Dolbeault differential  $\overline{\partial}$  :  $\Lambda^{p,0}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p,1}(M) = \Lambda^{p,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M)$ . **Prove that it is a holomorphic structure operator on**  $\Lambda^{p,0}(M)$ .

**DEFINITION:** The corresponding holomorphic vector bundle  $(\Lambda^{p,0}(M), \overline{\partial})$  is called **the bundle of holomorphic** *p*-forms, denoted by  $\Omega^p(M)$ .

# **REMINDER: Chern connection**

**DEFINITION:** Let  $(B, \nabla)$  be a smooth bundle with connection and a holomorphic structure  $\overline{\partial} B \longrightarrow \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes B$ . Consider a Hodge decomposition of  $\nabla, \nabla = \nabla^{0,1} + \nabla^{1,0}$ ,

$$\nabla^{0,1}: V \longrightarrow \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes V, \quad \nabla^{1,0}: V \longrightarrow \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \otimes V.$$

We say that  $\nabla$  is compatible with the holomorphic structure if  $\nabla^{0,1} = \overline{\partial}$ .

**DEFINITION: An Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle** is a smooth complex vector bundle equipped with a Hermitian metric and a holomorphic structure operator  $\overline{\partial}$ .

**DEFINITION: A Chern connection** on a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle is a connection compatible with the holomorphic structure and preserving the metric.

**THEOREM:** On any holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle, **the Chern connection exists, and is unique.** 

#### **REMINDER:** Curvature of a connection

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\nabla$ :  $B \longrightarrow B \otimes \Lambda^1 M$  be a connection on a smooth budnle. Extend it to an operator on *B*-valued forms

$$B \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Lambda^{1}(M) \otimes B \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Lambda^{2}(M) \otimes B \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Lambda^{3}(M) \otimes B \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots$$

using  $\nabla(\eta \otimes b) = d\eta + (-1)^{\tilde{\eta}} \eta \wedge \nabla b$ . The operator  $\nabla^2 : B \longrightarrow B \otimes \Lambda^2(M)$  is called the curvature of  $\nabla$ . The operator  $\nabla : \Lambda^i(M) \otimes B \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Lambda^{i+1}(M) \otimes B$  is often denoted  $d_{\nabla}$ .

**REMARK:** The algebra of End(*B*)-valued forms naturally acts on  $\Lambda^* M \otimes B$ . The curvature satisfies  $\nabla^2(fb) = d^2fb + df \wedge \nabla b - df \wedge \nabla b + f\nabla^2 b = f\nabla^2 b$ , hence it is  $C^{\infty}M$ -linear. We consider it as an End(*B*)-valued 2-form on *M*.

#### **REMARK: (Bianchi identity)**

Clearly,  $[\nabla, \nabla^2] = [\nabla^2, \nabla] + [\nabla, \nabla^2] = 0$ , hence  $[\nabla, \nabla^2] = 0$ . This gives **the Bianchi identity:**  $d_{\nabla}(\Theta_B) = 0$ , where  $\Theta$  is considered as a section of  $\Lambda^2(M) \otimes \text{End}(B)$ , and  $d_{\nabla} : \Lambda^2(M) \otimes \text{End}(B) \longrightarrow \Lambda^3(M) \otimes \text{End}(B)$  the operator defined above.

# **REMINDER:** Curvature of a holomorphic line bundle

**REMARK:** If *B* is a line bundle, End *B* is trivial, and the curvature  $\Theta_B$  of *B* is a closed 2-form.

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\nabla$  be a unitary connection in a line bundle. The cohomology class  $c_1(B) := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\Theta_B] \in H^2(M)$  is called **the real first Chern class** of a line bundle *B*.

**An exercise:** Check that  $c_1(B)$  is independent from a choice of  $\nabla$ .

**REMARK:** When speaking of a "curvature of a holomorphic bundle", one usually means the curvature of a Chern connection.

**REMARK:** Let *B* be a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle, and *b* its nondegenerate holomorphic section. Denote by  $\eta$  a (1,0)-form which satisfies  $\nabla^{1,0}b = \eta \otimes b$ . Then  $d|b|^2 = \operatorname{Re} g(\nabla^{1,0}b, b) = \operatorname{Re} \eta |b|^2$ . This gives  $\nabla^{1,0}b = \frac{\partial |b|^2}{|b|^2}b = 2\partial \log |b|b$ .

**REMARK:** Then  $\Theta_B(b) = 2\overline{\partial}\partial \log |b|b$ , that is,  $\Theta_B = -2\partial\overline{\partial} \log |b|$ .

**COROLLARY:** If  $g' = e^{2f}g - two$  metrics on a holomorphic line bundle,  $\Theta, \Theta'$  their curvatures, one has  $\Theta' - \Theta = -2\partial\overline{\partial}f$ 

# $\partial \overline{\partial}$ -lemma

# **THEOREM:** (" $\partial \overline{\partial}$ -lemma")

Let M be a compact Kaehler manifold, and  $\eta \in \Lambda^{p,q}(M)$  an exact form. Then  $\eta = \partial \overline{\partial} \alpha$ , for some  $\alpha \in \Lambda^{p-1,q-1}(M)$ .

Its proof uses Hodge theory.

**COROLLARY:** Let (L, h) be a holomorphic line bundle on a compact complex manifold,  $\Theta$  its curvature, and  $\eta$  a (1,1)-form in the same cohomology class as  $[\Theta]$ . Then there exists a Hermitian metric h' on L such that its curvature is equal to  $\eta$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\Theta'$  be the curvature of the Chern connection associated with h'. Then  $\Theta' - \Theta = -2\partial \overline{\partial} f$ , wgere  $f = \log(h'h^{-1})$ . Then  $\Theta' - \Theta = \eta - \Theta = -2\partial \overline{\partial} f$ has a solution f by  $\partial \overline{\partial}$ -lemma, because  $\eta - \Theta$  is exact.

## Calabi-Yau manifolds

**REMARK:** Let *B* be a line bundle on a manifold. Using the long exact sequence of cohomology associated with the exponential sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_M \longrightarrow C^{\infty}M \longrightarrow (C^{\infty}M)^* \longrightarrow 0,$ 

we obtain  $0 \longrightarrow H^1(M, (C^{\infty}M)^*) \longrightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let *B* be a complex line bundle, and  $\xi_B$  its defining element in  $H^1(M, (C^{\infty}M)^*)$ . Its image in  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is called **the integer first Chern class** of *B*, denoted by  $c_1(B, \mathbb{Z})$  or  $c_1(B)$ .

**REMARK: A complex line bundle** *B* is (topologically) trivial if and only if  $c_1(B,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

**THEOREM:** (Gauss-Bonnet) A real Chern class of a vector bundle is an image of the integer Chern class  $c_1(B,\mathbb{Z})$  under the natural homomorphism  $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ .

**DEFINITION:** A first Chern class of a complex *n*-manifold is  $c_1(\Lambda^{n,0}(M))$ .

#### **DEFINITION:**

**A Calabi-Yau manifold** is a compact Kaehler manifold with  $c_1(M,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

# Ricci form of a Kähler manifold

**THEOREM:** (Bogomolov) Let M be a compact Kähler n-manifold with  $c_1(M,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_M := \Omega^n(M)$  is trivial.

**Proof:** Follows from the Calabi-Yau theorem (later today). ■

In other words, a manifold is Calabi-Yau if and only if its canonical bundle is trivial.

**DEFINITION:** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a Kähler manifold. The metric on  $K_M$  can be written as  $|\Omega|^2 = \frac{\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}}{\omega^n}$ . The **Ricci form** on M is the curvature of the Chern connection on  $K_M$ . The manifold M is **Ricci-flat** if its Ricci form vanishes.

**REMARK:** Since a canonical bundle  $K_M$  of a Calabi-Yau manifold is trivial, it admits a metric with trivial connection. Calabi conjectured that **this metric** on  $K_M$  is induced by a Kähler metric  $\omega$  on M and proved that such a metric is unique for any cohomology class  $[\omega] \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ . Yau proved that it always exists.

**DEFINITION:** A Ricci-flat Kähler metric is called **Calabi-Yau metric**.

# Calabi-Yau theorem and Monge-Ampère equation

**REMARK:** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a Kähler *n*-fold, and  $\Omega$  a non-degenerate section of K(M), Then  $|\Omega|^2 = \frac{\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}}{\omega^n}$ . If  $\omega_1$  is a new Kaehler metric on (M, I),  $h, h_1$  the associated metrics on K(M), then  $\frac{h}{h_1} = \frac{\omega_1^n}{\omega^n}$ .

**REMARK:** For two metrics  $\omega_1, \omega$  in the same Kähler class, one has  $\omega_1 - \omega = dd^c \varphi$ , for some function  $\varphi$  ( $dd^c$ -lemma).

**COROLLARY:** Let M be a Calabi-Yau manifold,  $\omega$  its Kähler form,  $\Omega$  a non-degenerate section of the canonical bundle. A metric  $\omega_1 = \omega + \partial \overline{\partial} \varphi$  is **Ricci-flat if and only if**  $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \omega^n e^f$ , where  $-2\partial \overline{\partial} f = \Theta_{K,\omega}$  (such f exists by  $\partial \overline{\partial}$ -lemma).

**Proof. Step 1:** For f such that  $-2\partial\overline{\partial}f = \Theta_{K,\omega}$ , the curvature of the metric  $h \longrightarrow \frac{h \wedge \overline{h}}{\omega^{n_e f}}$  on  $K_M$  is equal to  $\Theta_{K,\omega} + 2\partial\overline{\partial}f = 0$ .

**Proof. Step 2:**  $\omega_1$  is Ricci-flat if and only if the induced metric on  $K_M$  is flat, which is equivalent to  $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \omega^n e^f$ .

To find a Ricci-flat metric it remains to solve an equation  $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \omega^n e^f$  for a given f.

# The complex Monge-Ampère equation

To find a Ricci-flat metric it remains to solve an equation  $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \omega^n e^f$  for a given f.

**THEOREM:** (Calabi-Yau) Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact Kaehler *n*-manifold, and *f* any smooth function. Then there exists a unique up to a constant function  $\varphi$  such that  $(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi)^n = Ae^f\omega^n$ , where *A* is a positive constant obtained from the formula  $\int_M Ae^f\omega^n = \int_M \omega^n$ .

#### **DEFINITION:**

$$(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\,\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi)^n = Ae^f \omega^n,$$

is called the Monge-Ampere equation.

M. Verbitsky

#### Uniqueness of solutions of complex Monge-Ampere equation

**PROPOSITION:** (Calabi) **A complex Monge-Ampere equation has at most one solution,** up to a constant.

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be solutions of Monge-Ampere equation. Then  $\omega_1^n = \omega_2^n$ . By construction, one has  $\omega_2 = \omega_1 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \psi$ . We need to show  $\psi = const$ .

**Step 2:**  $\omega_2 = \omega_1 + \sqrt{-1} \, \partial \overline{\partial} \psi$  gives

$$0 = (\omega_1 + \sqrt{-1} \,\partial \overline{\partial} \psi)^n - \omega_1^n = \sqrt{-1} \,\partial \overline{\partial} \psi \wedge \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega_1^i \wedge \omega_2^{n-1-i}.$$

**Step 3:** Let  $P := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega_1^i \wedge \omega_2^{n-1-i}$ . This is a strictly positive (n-1, n-1)-form. There exists a Hermitian form  $\omega_3$  on M such that  $\omega_3^{n-1} = P$ .

**Step 4:** Since  $\sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \psi \wedge P = 0$ , this gives  $\psi \partial \overline{\partial} \psi \wedge P = 0$ . Stokes' formula implies

$$0 = \int_{M} \psi \wedge \partial \overline{\partial} \psi \wedge P = -\int_{M} \partial \psi \wedge \overline{\partial} \psi \wedge P = -\int_{M} |\partial \psi|_{3}^{2} \omega_{3}^{n}.$$

where  $|\cdot|_3$  is the metric associated to  $\omega_3$ . Therefore  $\overline{\partial}\psi = 0$ .

#### **Bochner's vanishing**

**THEOREM:** (Bochner vanishing theorem) On a compact Ricci-flat Calabi-Yau manifold, **any holomorphic** *p*-form  $\eta$  is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection:  $\nabla(\eta) = 0$ .

**REMARK:** Its proof is based on spinors:  $\eta$  gives a harmonic spinor, and on a Ricci-flat Riemannian spin manifold, any harmonic spinor is parallel.

**DEFINITION:** A holomorphic symplectic manifold is a manifold admitting a non-degenerate, holomorphic symplectic form.

**REMARK:** A holomorphic symplectic manifold is Calabi-Yau. The top exterior power of a holomorphic symplectic form **is a non-degenerate section of canonical bundle.** 

# Hyperkähler manifold

**REMARK:** Due to Bochner's vanishing, holonomy of Ricci-flat Calabi-Yau manifold lies in SU(n), and holonomy of Ricci-flat holomorphically symplectic manifold lies in Sp(n) (a group of complex unitary matrices preserving a complex-linear symplectic form).

**DEFINITION:** A holomorphically symplectic Kähler manifold with holonomy in Sp(n) is called hyperkähler.

**REMARK:** Since  $Sp(n) = SU(\mathbb{H}, n)$ , a hyperkähler manifold admits quaternionic action in its tangent bundle.

#### EXAMPLES.

**EXAMPLE:** An even-dimensional complex vector space.

**EXAMPLE:** An even-dimensional complex torus.

**EXAMPLE: A non-compact example:**  $T^*\mathbb{C}P^n$  (Calabi).

**REMARK:**  $T^* \mathbb{C}P^1$  is a resolution of a singularity  $\mathbb{C}^2/\pm 1$ .

**REMARK:** Let *M* be a 2-dimensional complex manifold with holomorphic symplectic form outside of singularities, which are all of form  $\mathbb{C}^2/\pm 1$ . Then **its resolution is also holomorphically symplectic.** 

**EXAMPLE:** Take a 2-dimensional complex torus T, then all the singularities of  $T/\pm 1$  are of this form. Its resolution  $T/\pm 1$  is called a Kummer surface. It is holomorphically symplectic.

**REMARK:** Take a symmetric square Sym<sup>2</sup> T, with a natural action of T, and let  $T^{[2]}$  be a blow-up of a singular divisor. Then  $T^{[2]}$  is naturally isomorphic to the Kummer surface  $T/\pm 1$ .

M. Verbitsky

# K3 surfaces

**DEFINITION: A K3-surface** is a deformation of a Kummer surface.

"K3: Kummer, Kähler, Kodaira" (a name is due to A. Weil).



"Faichan Kangri (K3) is the 12th highest mountain on Earth."

**THEOREM:** Any complex compact surface with  $c_1(M) = 1$  and  $H^1(M) = 0$  is isomorphic to K3. Moreover, it is hyperkähler.

#### Hilbert schemes

**REMARK: A complex surface** is a 2-dimensional complex manifold.

**DEFINITION:** A Hilbert scheme  $M^{[n]}$  of a complex surface M is a classifying space of all ideal sheaves  $I \subset \mathcal{O}_M$  for which the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_M/I$  has dimension n over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**REMARK:** A Hilbert scheme is obtained as a resolution of singularities of the symmetric power  $Sym^n M$ .

**THEOREM:** (Fujiki, Beauville) **A Hilbert scheme of a hyperkähler surface is hyperkähler.** 

**EXAMPLE: A Hilbert scheme of K3**.

**EXAMPLE:** Let T is a torus. Then it acts on its Hilbert scheme freely and properly by translations. For n = 2, the quotient  $T^{[n]}/T$  is a Kummer K3-surface. For n > 2, it is called a generalized Kummer variety.

**REMARK:** There are 2 more "sporadic" examples of compact hyperkähler manifolds, constructed by K. O'Grady. **All known compact hyperkaehler manifolds are these 2 and the three series:** tori, Hilbert schemes of K3, and generalized Kummer.