# **Hodge theory**

lecture 13: Bismut connection

NRU HSE, Moscow

Misha Verbitsky, March 7, 2018

## **Connections (reminder)**

**DEFINITION:** Recall that a connection on a bundle B is an operator  $\nabla$ :  $B \longrightarrow B \otimes \Lambda^1 M$  satisfying  $\nabla(fb) = b \otimes df + f \nabla(b)$ , where  $f \longrightarrow df$  is de Rham differential. When X is a vector field, we denote by  $\nabla_X(b) \in B$  the term  $\langle \nabla(b), X \rangle$ .

REMARK: A connection  $\nabla$  on B gives a connection  $B^* \xrightarrow{\nabla^*} \Lambda^1 M \otimes B^*$  on the dual bundle, by the formula

$$d(\langle b, \beta \rangle) = \langle \nabla b, \beta \rangle + \langle b, \nabla^* \beta \rangle$$

These connections are usually denoted by the same letter  $\nabla$ .

**REMARK:** For any tensor bundle  $\mathcal{B}_1 := B^* \otimes B^* \otimes ... \otimes B^* \otimes B \otimes B \otimes ... \otimes B$  a connection on B defines a connection on  $\mathcal{B}_1$  using the Leibniz formula:

$$\nabla(b_1\otimes b_2)=\nabla(b_1)\otimes b_2+b_1\otimes\nabla(b_2).$$

# Parallel transport along the connection (reminder)

**THEOREM:** Let B be a vector bundle with connection over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and each vector  $b_x \in B|_x$  there exists a unique section  $b \in B$  such that  $\nabla b = 0$ ,  $b|_x = b_x$ .

**Proof:** This is existence and uniqueness of solutions of an ODE  $\frac{db}{dt} + A(b) = 0$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\gamma:[0,1] \longrightarrow M$  be a smooth path in M connecting x and y, and  $(B,\nabla)$  a vector bundle with connection. Restricting  $(B,\nabla)$  to  $\gamma([0,1])$ , we obtain a bundle with connection on an interval. Solve an equation  $\nabla(b)=0$  for  $b\in B|_{\gamma([0,1])}$  and initial condition  $b|_x=b_x$ . This process is called **parallel transport** along the path via the connection. The vector  $b_y:=b|_y$  is called **vector obtained by parallel transport of**  $b_x$  along  $\gamma$ . Holonomy group of  $\gamma$  is the group of endomorphisms of the fiber  $B_x$  obtained from parallel transports along all paths starting and ending in  $x\in M$ 

## Lie algebra and tensors (reminder)

**DEFINITION:** Let V be a representation of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $V^*$  is also a representation; the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V^*$  is given by the formula  $\langle g(x),\lambda\rangle=-\langle x,g(\lambda)\rangle$ , for all  $x\in V,\lambda\in V^*$ . A tensor product of two  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representations  $V_1,V_2$  is also a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation, with the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by  $g(x\otimes y)=g(x)\otimes y+x\otimes g(y)$ . This defines the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on all tensor powers  $V^{\otimes i}\otimes (V^*)^{\otimes j}$ , which are called **the tensor representations** of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{g}$  **preserves a tensor**  $\Phi$  if  $g(\Psi)=0$  for all  $g\in \mathfrak{g}$ .

**EXAMPLE:** The algebra of all  $g \in \text{End}(V)$  preserving a non-degenerate bilinear symmetric form  $h \in \text{Sym}^2(V^*)$  is called **orthogonal algebra**, denoted  $\mathfrak{so}(V,h)$  or  $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ . Since  $g \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$  if and only if h(g(x),y) = -h(x,g(y)),  $\mathfrak{so}(V)$  is represented by antisymmetric matrices.

**CLAIM:** Let  $h \in \text{Sym}^2(V^*)$  be a non-degenerate bilinear symmetric form. Using h, we identify V and  $V^*$ . This gives an isomorphism  $V^* \otimes V^* \stackrel{\tau}{\longrightarrow} V^* \otimes V = \text{End}(V)$ . Then  $\tau(\Lambda^2 V^*) = \mathfrak{so}(V)$ .

**Proof:** For any  $f \in \text{End}(V)$ , the 2-form  $\tau^{-1}(f)$  is written as  $x, y \longrightarrow h(f(x), y)$ . By definition,  $f \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$  means that h(f(x), y) = -h(x, f(y)) and this happens if and only if  $\tau^{-1}(f)$  is antisymmetric.  $\blacksquare$ 

## The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(V)$ (reminder)

**EXAMPLE:** Let (V, I) be a real vector space with a complex structure map  $I: V \longrightarrow V$ ,  $I^2 = -\operatorname{Id}$ , and a Hermitian (that is, I-invariant) scalar product. Define the unitary Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}(V) = \{f \in \operatorname{End}(V) \mid f(I) = f(h) = 0\}$ . This is the same as the space of I-invariant orthogonal matrices.

**CLAIM:** Consider the natural map  $V^* \otimes V^* \xrightarrow{\tau} V^* \otimes V = \text{End}(V)$  associated with h. Then  $\tau(\Lambda^{1,1}(V^*)) = \mathfrak{u}(V)$ .

**Proof:** The isomorphism  $\tau$  is I-invariant, because h is I-invariant. Then  $\tau^{-1}(\mathfrak{u}(V))$  is the space of I-invariant 2-forms, which is precisely  $\Lambda^{1,1}(V^*)$ .

5

# **Affine space of orthogonal connections (reminder)**

**CLAIM:** Let B be a bundle with a scalar product. Then the space of orthogonal connections on B an affine space over  $\Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{so}(B)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $s \in B^* \otimes B^*$  be a 2-form on B. The action of  $A := \nabla - \nabla_1$  on  $B^* \otimes B^*$  is given by A(s)(x,y) = -s(A(x),y) - s(x,A(y)). Therefore, a difference A of orthogonal connections satisfies h(A(x),y) = -h(x,A(y)) for all  $x,y \in B$ . This is the same as  $A \in \Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{so}(B)$ .

Similarly one proves

**CLAIM:** Let B be a bundle with a Hermitian structure product. Then the space of orthogonal connections on B an affine space over  $\Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(B)$ .

**CLAIM:** Let B be a bundle with a Hermitian structure and a tensor  $\Phi$ , and  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \operatorname{End}(B)$  the Lie algebra of endomorphisms preserving  $\Phi$ . Then the space of connections on B preserving  $\Phi$  is an affine space over  $\Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ .

# **Torsion** (reminder)

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\nabla$  be a connection on  $\Lambda^1 M$ ,

$$\Lambda^1 \stackrel{\nabla}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda^1 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M.$$

**Torsion of**  $\nabla T_{\nabla}$ :  $\Lambda^1 M \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M$  is a map  $\nabla \circ \mathsf{Alt} - d$ , where  $\mathsf{Alt}$ :  $\Lambda^1 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M$  is exterior multiplication.

REMARK: Torsion is often defined as a map  $\Lambda^2TM \longrightarrow TM$  using the formula  $\nabla_X(Y) - \nabla_Y(X) - [X,Y]$ . This map coincides with the torsion map  $\Lambda^1M \longrightarrow \Lambda^2M$  defined above.

**DEFINITION:** Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold. A connection  $\nabla$  on TM is called **orthogonal** if  $\nabla(g) = 0$ , and **Levi-Civita connection** if it is orthogonal and has zero torsion.

THEOREM: ("the fundamental theorem of Riemannian geometry") Every Riemannian manifold admits a Levi-Civita connection, and it is unique.

# Levi-Civita connection, its existence and uniqueness (reminder)

**Proof. Step 1:** Chose an orthogonal connection  $\nabla_0$  on  $\Lambda^1 M$ . The space  $\mathcal{A}$  of orthogonal connections is affine and its linearization is  $\Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{so}(TM)$ . We shall identify  $\mathfrak{so}(TM)$  and  $\Lambda^2 M$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is an affine space over  $\Lambda^1 M \otimes \Lambda^2 M$ .

Step 2: Then the linearized torsion map is

$$T_{lin}: \Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{so}(TM) = \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^2 M \stackrel{\mathsf{Alt}_{12}}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M = \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM.$$

It is an isomorphism. Indeed, on the right and on the left there are bundles of the same rank, hence it would suffice to show that  $T_{lin}={\rm Alt}_{12}$  is injective. However, if  $\eta\in \ker T_{lin}$ , it is a form which is symmetric on first two arguments and antisymmetric on the second two, giving  $\eta(x,y,z)=\eta(y,x,z)=-\eta(y,z,x)$ . This gives  $\sigma(\eta)=-\eta$ , where  $\sigma$  is a cyclic permutation of the arguments. Since  $\sigma^3=1$ , this implies  $\eta=0$ .

**Step 3:** We have shown that **an orthogonal connection is uniquely determined by its torsion**. Indeed, torsion map is an isomorphism of affine spaces.

Step 4: Let 
$$\nabla:=\nabla_0-T_{lin}^{-1}(T_{\nabla_0})$$
. Then  $T_{\nabla}=T_{\nabla_0}-T_{lin}(T_{lin}^{-1}(T_{\nabla_0}))=0$ , hence  $\nabla$  is torsion-free.

## **Space of Cartan tensors**

**DEFINITION:** Let  $C(V) \subset V \otimes V \otimes V$  be ker Sym  $\cap$  ker Alt, where Sym is the symmetrization map Sym :  $V \otimes V \otimes V \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^3(V)$  and Alt the antisymmetrization map Alt :  $V \otimes V \otimes V \longrightarrow \Lambda^3(V)$ . Then C(V) is called **the space** of Cartan tensors on V.

**REMARK:** Clearly, there is a direct sum decomposition  $V \otimes V \otimes V = \Lambda^3(V) \oplus \text{Sym}^3(V) \oplus C(V)$ .

**Lemma 1:** Denote by  $\operatorname{Sym}_{ij}$ ,  $\operatorname{Alt}_{ij}$  the operators of symmetrization and antisymmetrization of  $\Phi \in V^{\otimes 3}$  using the indices i, j. Then

$$C(V) = \mathsf{Alt}_{12}(\mathsf{Sym}_{23}(V^{\otimes 3})) \oplus \mathsf{Sym}_{12}(\mathsf{Alt}_{23}(V^{\otimes 3})).$$

**Proof:** Since  $\operatorname{Sym}_{23}(V^{\otimes 3})$  is generated by  $x \otimes y \otimes y$ , one has  $\operatorname{im}(\operatorname{Alt}_{12}\operatorname{Sym}_{23}) \supset C(V)$ . Similarly,  $\operatorname{im}(\operatorname{Alt}_{12}\operatorname{Sym}_{23}) \supset C(V)$ .

For a converse statement, we use the decomposition  $V \otimes V = \operatorname{Sym}^2 V \oplus \Lambda^2 V$ . This gives

 $V^{\otimes 3} = \operatorname{im} \operatorname{Alt}_{12} \operatorname{Sym}_{23} \oplus \operatorname{im} \operatorname{Alt}_{12} \operatorname{Alt}_{23} \oplus \operatorname{im} \operatorname{Sym}_{12} \operatorname{Alt}_{23} \oplus \operatorname{im} \operatorname{Sym}_{12} \operatorname{Sym}_{23}.$ 

Then  $\ker \operatorname{Sym} \cap \ker \operatorname{Alt}$  is precisely  $\operatorname{im} \operatorname{Alt}_{12} \operatorname{Sym}_{23} \oplus \operatorname{im} \operatorname{Sym}_{12} \operatorname{Alt}_{23}$ .

#### Torsion and the differential forms

**DEFINITION:** When  $B = \Lambda^1 M$ , consider the exterior multiplication map  $Alt: \Lambda^i M \otimes \Lambda^1 M \longrightarrow \Lambda^{i+1} M$ . Define the torsion map  $T_{\nabla}(\eta) := Alt(\nabla(\eta)) - d\eta$ . Then  $T_{\nabla}$  is equal to torsion on  $\Lambda^1 M$  and satisfies the Leibnitz identity, which can be used to extend  $T_{\nabla}$  from  $\Lambda^1 M$  to  $\Lambda^* M$ :

$$T_{\nabla}(\lambda \wedge \mu) = T_{\nabla}(\lambda) \wedge \mu + (-1)^{\tilde{\lambda}} \lambda \wedge T_{\nabla}(\mu)$$

## **Symplectic connections**

**DEFINITION:** An almost symplectic structure on a manifold is a non-degenerate 2-form.

**EXERCISE:** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic manifold. Prove that there exists a connection  $\nabla$  on TM such that  $\nabla(\omega) = 0$ . We call such connection a symplectic connection.

**Lemma 2:** Let  $\omega \in \Lambda^2 M$  be an almost symplectic structure, and  $\nabla$  a symplectic connection. Using  $\omega$ , we will identify TM and  $\Lambda^1 M$ , and then we can consider the torsion tensor  $T_{\nabla}$  of  $\nabla$  as a section  $\tau \in \Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M$ . Let  $\rho := \operatorname{Alt}(T_{\nabla})$ . Then  $d\omega = 2\rho$ .

**Proof:**  $T_{\nabla}(\omega) = d\omega$ , because  $\nabla(\omega) = 0$ . However,  $T_{\nabla}(\omega) = \operatorname{Alt}(A_1(\omega \otimes T_{\nabla}) - A_2(\omega \otimes T_{\nabla}))$ , where  $A_i : \Lambda^2 M \otimes T M \otimes \Lambda^2 M$  is the convolution of *i*-th component of  $\omega \otimes T_{\nabla}$  and the last. Clearly,  $A_i(\omega \otimes T_{\nabla}) = \tau$ . This gives  $T_{\nabla}(\omega) = d\omega = 2\rho$ .

## Torsion of almost symplectic structures

**Theorem 1:** Let  $(M,\omega)$  be an almost symplectic manifold, and  $\nabla$  a symplectic connection. Denote its torsion by  $T_{\nabla} \in \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM$ . Using the form  $\omega$ , we identify TM and  $\Lambda^1 M$  and consider  $T_{\nabla}$  as a section  $\tau \in \Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M$ . Denote by Alt<sub>123</sub> the multiplication map  $\Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M \longrightarrow \Lambda^3 M$ . Then Alt<sub>123</sub> $(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}d\omega$ . Moreover, any tensor  $\mathfrak{T} \in \Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M$  such that Alt<sub>123</sub> $(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}d\omega$  can be realized as a torsion of a symplectic connection.

**Proof.** Step 1: Let  $\mathfrak{sp}(TM)$  be the Lie algebra of all tensors  $a \in \operatorname{End}(TM)$  such that  $\omega(a(x),y) = -\omega(x,a(y))$ . The same argument as the one used to show  $\mathfrak{so}(TM) = \Lambda^2 M$  shows that  $\mathfrak{sp}(TM) = \operatorname{Sym}^2(\Lambda^1 M)$ .

Step 2: The space of symplectic connections is an affine space with linearization  $\Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{sp}(TM) = \Lambda^1 M \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2(\Lambda^1 M)$ . The image of the linearized torsion map  $T_{lin} = \operatorname{Alt}_{12}$  belongs to C(V) (Lemma 1). Therefore, the image of  $\operatorname{Alt}_{123}(\tau)$  is independent from the choice of  $\nabla$ . Any tensor  $\mathfrak{T} \in \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM$  with  $\operatorname{Alt}_{123}(\tau) = \operatorname{Alt}_{123}(\mathfrak{T})$  can be obtained as a torsion of an appropriate connection, because the part of C(V) which is antisymmetric in the first two multipliers is precisely  $\operatorname{Alt}_{12}(\Lambda^1 M \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2(\Lambda^1 M))$ .

**Step 3:** Alt<sub>123</sub>
$$(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}d\omega$$
 (Lemma 2).

#### **Torsion of Hermitian connection**

**PROPOSITION:** Let  $(M, I, \omega)$  be an Hermitian complex manifold,  $\nabla$  a connection on TM preserving I and  $\omega$ , and  $T_{\nabla} \in \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM = \Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M$  (we identify TM and  $\Lambda^1 M$  using the Riemannian structure). Then

$$T_{\nabla} \in \left( \Lambda^{2,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \right) \oplus \left( \Lambda^{0,2} \otimes \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \right) \oplus \left( \Lambda^{1,1}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{1}M \right). \tag{**}$$

**Proof. Step 1:** Integrability of I implies that  $[T^{1,0}M,T^{1,0}M]\subset T^{1,0}M$ . Since  $\nabla(I)=0$ , one also has  $\nabla_X(T^{1,0}M)\subset T^{1,0}M$  for any vector field  $X\in TM$ . This gives  $\nabla_X(Y)-\nabla_Y(X)-[X,Y]\in T^{1,0}M$  for any  $X,Y\in T^{1,0}M$ . We have shown that

$$T_{\nabla} \in \left( \Lambda^{2,0}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M) \right) \oplus \left( \Lambda^{0,2} \otimes T^{0,1}(M) \right) \oplus \left( \Lambda^{1,1}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{1}M \right).$$

**Step 2:** Since the Riemannian form g is of type (1,1), it pairs (0,1)-vectors and (1,0)-vectors. Therefore, it identifies  $T^{1,0}M$  with  $\Lambda^{0,1}(M)$ . This proves (\*\*).



Jean-Michel Bismut (born 26 February 1948)

### **Bismut connection**

THEOREM: (Bismut) Let  $(M,I,\omega)$  be an Hermitian complex manifold. Then there exists a unique connection  $\nabla$  preserving I and  $\omega$ , such that its torsion  $T_{\nabla} \in \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM = \Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M$  (we identify TM and  $\Lambda^1 M$  using the Riemannian metric) is antisymmetric:  $T_{\nabla} \in \Lambda^3 M \subset \Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M$ . Moreover, in this case  $T_{\nabla} = -\frac{1}{2}I(d\omega)$ .

**REMARK:** This connection is called **the Bismut connection**. When  $(M, I, \omega)$  is Kähler, it is torsion-free and orthogonal, hence  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection. We obtain that on a Kähler manifold, Levi-Civita connection satisfies  $\nabla(I) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Step 1: There are two different ways to identify  $\Lambda^2 M \otimes TM$  and  $\Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M$ : using  $g: TM \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^1 M$  and using  $\omega: TM \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^1 M$ . Denote the first tensor by  $\tau_g$  and the second by  $\tau_\omega$ . It is clear that  $I_3(\tau_g) = \tau_\omega$ , where  $I_3(x \otimes y \otimes z) = x \otimes y \otimes I(z)$ . Torsion of symplectic connections was described earlier today (Theorem 1): we have shown that  $\mathrm{Alt}(\tau_\omega) = \frac{1}{2}d\omega$ . This implies that the image of the linearized torsion  $T_{lin}(\Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(TM))$  satisfies  $\mathrm{Alt}(I_3(T_{lin}(\Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(TM))) = 0$ .

# **Bismut** connection (2)

Proof. Step 1: The image of the linearized torsion  $T_{lin}(\Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(TM))$  satisfies  $Alt(I_3(T_{lin}(\Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(TM))) = 0$ .

**Step 2:** The torsion of  $\nabla$  belongs to the space

$$\mathfrak{W} := \left( \Lambda^{2,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \right) \oplus \left( \Lambda^{0,2} \otimes \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \right) \oplus \left( \Lambda^{1,1}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{1}M \right),$$

as shown above. The linearized torsion map is  $T_{lin}: \Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(TM) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{W}$ . By the same argument as in the proof of existence of Levi-Civita connection, this map is injective. **This gives an exact sequence** 

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{1}M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(TM) \stackrel{T_{lin}}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{W} \stackrel{I_{3} \circ \mathsf{Alt}}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda^{2,1}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{1,2}(M) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (***)$$

The last arrow of (\*\*\*) is surjective because any (2,1)+(1,2)-form can be obtained as anti-symmetrization of  $\alpha \in I_3(\mathfrak{W})$ . The sequence (\*\*\*) is exact in the middle term because dimension of the middle term is equal to sum of dimensions of the left and right terms.

## **Bismut** connection (3)

Step 2: Let  $\mathfrak{W} := (\Lambda^{2,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M)) \oplus (\Lambda^{0,2} \otimes \Lambda^{1,0}(M)) \oplus (\Lambda^{1,1}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{1}M)$ . Then the sequence

$$0\longrightarrow \Lambda^1 M\otimes \mathfrak{u}(TM) \stackrel{T_{lin}}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{W} \stackrel{I_3\circ \mathsf{Alt}}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda^{2,1}(M)\oplus \Lambda^{1,2}(M)\longrightarrow 0 \quad (***)$$
 is exact.

**Step 3:** Let  $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{W}$  be a subspace consisting of all antisymmetric 3-forms,  $\mathfrak{U} = \Lambda^{2,1}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{1,2}(M)$ . Clearly, for any differential form  $\eta$ , one has  $\mathrm{Alt}(I_3(\eta)) = W(\eta)$ , where W is **the Weil operator** acting as  $W(\eta)(x,y,z) = \eta(Ix,y,z) + \eta(x,Iy,z) + \eta(x,y,Iz)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{U} \xrightarrow{I_3 \circ \mathrm{Alt}} \Lambda^{2,1}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{1,2}(M)$  is bijective. Therefore, **there exists a unique form**  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{U}$  **such that**  $\mathrm{Alt}(I_3(\sigma)) = \frac{1}{2}d\omega$ .

Step 4: Let  $\nabla_0$  be a connection on TM which satisfies  $\nabla_0(g) = \nabla_0(I) = 0$  (prove that it exists), and  $\tau_g \in \mathfrak{W}$  its torsion. Then  $\mathrm{Alt}(I_3(\tau_g)) = \mathrm{Alt}(I_3(\sigma)) = \frac{1}{2}d\omega$  by Theorem 1. Therefore, there exists a unique  $A \in \Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(TM)$  such that  $T_{lin}(A) + \tau_g = \sigma$ , and the torsion of connection  $\nabla := \nabla_0 + A$  is equal to  $\sigma$ .

**Step 5:** Step 3 gives  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}W^{-1}(d\omega)$ . However,  $d\omega$  is (2,1)+(1,2)-form, and for such forms W = I, hence  $\sigma = -\frac{1}{2}I(d\omega)$ .