

Hodge theory

lecture 17: Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma

NRU HSE, Moscow

Misha Verbitsky, March 24, 2018

Inverting $\bar{\partial}$ using the Hodge theory

CLAIM: Let β be a $\bar{\partial}$ -exact form, and $\gamma := \Delta^{-1}\bar{\partial}^*\beta$. **Then $\bar{\partial}(\gamma) = \beta$.**

Proof: Indeed,

$$\bar{\partial}^*\beta = \{\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}^*\}(\Delta^{-1}\bar{\partial}^*\beta) = \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}\gamma$$

because $(\bar{\partial}^*)^2 = 0$ and Δ^{-1} commutes with $\bar{\partial}^*$. However, $\ker \bar{\partial}^*$ is orthogonal to $\text{im } \bar{\partial}$, hence $\bar{\partial}^*|_{\text{im } \bar{\partial}}$ is injective. Then $\bar{\partial}^*\beta = \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}\gamma$ implies $\beta = \bar{\partial}\gamma$. ■

REMARK: For a different proof of the following proposition, see Lecture 16.

Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck (dimension 1)

PROPOSITION: Let α be a $(p,1)$ -form on a disk $D_{r+\varepsilon} \subset \mathbb{C}$ of radius $r + \varepsilon$. Then $\alpha|_{D_r} = P_\xi(\alpha)$, where $P_\xi : \Lambda^{p,1}(D_{r+\varepsilon}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{p,0}(D_r)$ is an operator which depends only on r and ε .

Proof. Step 1: Hodge theory implies that **cohomology of $\bar{\partial}$ on any Kähler manifold are identified with cohomology of d** . Indeed, the corresponding Laplacians coincide.

Step 2: Then the cohomology of $\bar{\partial}$ on a 1-dimensional complex torus T are 1-dimensional in each bidegree (p,q) . However, averaging of a (p,q) -form on a torus gives a map from $\Lambda^{p,q}(T)$ to T -invariant forms, which are clearly parallel, hence harmonic. Since torus acts on itself by isometries, this action commutes with harmonic projection. Therefore, **a form is cohomologous to 0 if and only if its average on T vanishes**. This is true for the de Rham differential and for the Dolbeault differential.

Step 3: Fix an embedding from $D_{r+\varepsilon}$ to a torus, and let ψ be a cutoff function which is 1 on D_r and 0 outside of $D_{r+\varepsilon}$. Then $\psi\alpha$ can be extended to a smooth $(p,1)$ -form on T . Choose a $(p,1)$ -form which is supported on $T \setminus D_{r+\varepsilon}$ and has non-zero $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology class $v \in H^{p,1}(T)$ in T , and let $A(\psi\alpha) \in H^{p,1}(T)$ be the $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology class of $\psi\alpha$. Denote by $\lambda(\psi\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}$ the number such that $\psi\alpha - \lambda(\psi\alpha)v$ is cohomologous to 0. Then $P_\xi(\alpha) := \Delta^{-1}\bar{\partial}^*(\psi\alpha - \lambda(\psi\alpha)v)$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}(P_\xi(\alpha)) = \alpha + \lambda(\psi\alpha)v$, and this form is equal to α on $D_r \subset T$.

■

Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma

DEFINITION: Polydisc D^n is a product of n discs $D \subset \mathbb{C}$.

THEOREM: (Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma)

Let $\eta \in \Lambda^{0,p}(D^n)$ be a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form on a polydisc, smoothly extended to a neighbourhood of its closure $\overline{D^n} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. **Then η is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact.**

REMARK: We have proven PDG-lemma for an $(0,1)$ -form η with compact support in \mathbb{C} . In this case $\eta = \bar{\partial}\alpha$, where α is a smooth function on \mathbb{C} . This function is not necessarily compactly supported, but **is bounded by $C/|z|$ for large z .**

REMARK: Using the decomposition $\Lambda^{p,q}(D^n) \cong \Lambda^{p,0}(D^n) \otimes \Lambda^{0,q}(D^n)$, any form can be represented by a sum $\sum_i \alpha_i^{0,q} \wedge P_i^{p,0}$, where P_i are monomials on dz_i , where z_i are holomorphic coordinate functions. Since $\bar{\partial}(\alpha_i^{0,q} \wedge P_i^{p,0}) = \bar{\partial}(\alpha_i^{0,q}) \wedge P_i^{p,0}$, **it suffices to prove the Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma for $(0,q)$ -forms.**

REMARK: To prove vanishing of cohomology of $\bar{\partial} : \Lambda^{0,q}(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{0,q+1}(M)$, it suffices to construct **the homotopy operator**, that is, a map $\bar{\partial} : \Lambda^{0,q}(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{0,q-1}(M)$ satisfying $\{\bar{\partial}, \gamma\} = \text{Id}$. **This is how we prove the Poincaré lemma in Handout 8.**

Proof of Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma

Proof. Step 1: Let $\bar{\partial}_i : \Lambda^{0,q}(D^n) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{0,q+1}(D^n)$ be the operator $\alpha \longrightarrow d\bar{z}_i \wedge \frac{d}{d\bar{z}_i}\alpha$, where z_i is i -th coordinate on D^n . **Then $\bar{\partial} = \sum_i \bar{\partial}_i$.**

Step 2: By PDG-lemma in dimension 1, cohomology of $\bar{\partial}_i$ vanish. Denote by γ_i the corresponding integral operator P_ξ . If $\alpha = d\bar{z}_i \wedge \beta$, one has $\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}(\alpha) = \alpha$. If α contains no monomials divisible by $d\bar{z}_i$, one has $\bar{\partial}_i\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}(\alpha) = 0$. This implies that **$\text{im} [\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\} - \text{Id}]$ lies in the space R_i forms without $d\bar{z}_i$ in monomial decomposition and with all coefficients holomorphic as functions on z_i .**

Step 3: Properties γ_i :

(1). $\text{im} [\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\} - \text{Id}] \subset R_i$. **(2).** $\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_j\} = 0$, if $i \neq j$. **(3).** $[\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}]|_{R_i} = 0$. **(4).** $\gamma_i(R_j) \subset R_j$, $\bar{\partial}_i(R_j) \subset R_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

Property (1) is proven in Step 2, properties (2) and (4) are implied by the explicit formula for γ_i . Finally, (3) follows because for all forms α without $d\bar{z}_i$ in monomial decomposition one has $\{\gamma_i, \bar{\partial}\}(\alpha) = \gamma_i(\bar{\partial}_i(\alpha))$.

Step 4: Properties (1), (3) and (4) give $[\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\} - \text{Id}] (R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}) \subset R_i \cap R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}$ for $i \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$, and $\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}|_{R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}} = 0$ otherwise.

Proof of Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma (2)

Step 4: Properties (1), (3) and (4) give $[\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\} - \text{Id}](R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}) \subset R_i \cap R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}$ for $i \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$, and $\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_i\}|_{R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}} = 0$ otherwise.

Step 5: Let $\gamma := \sum_i \gamma_i$. Since $\{\bar{\partial}_i, \gamma_j\} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, Step 4 gives

$$[\{\bar{\partial}, \gamma\} - (n - k) \text{Id}](R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}) \subset \sum_{i \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} R_i \cap R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}$$

Step 6: Let W_0 be the space of $(0, p)$ -forms on D^n which can be smoothly extended in a certain neighbourhood of the closure $\bar{D}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, and $W_k \subset W_{k-1}$ its subspace generated by all $R_{i_1} \cap R_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap R_{i_k}$ for $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$. **Step 5 implies** $[\{\bar{\partial}, \gamma\} - (n - k) \text{Id}]|_{W_k} \subset W_{k+1}$.

Step 7: Clearly, $W_n = 0$ for any $p > 0$: elements of this space are $(0, p)$ -forms without any $d\bar{z}_i$ in its monomial decomposition. Using induction in $d = n - k$, **we can assume that any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form in W_{k+1} is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed; to prove PDG-lemma, it would suffice to prove the same for any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form $\alpha \in W_k$.** Step 6 gives $(n - k)\alpha - \{\bar{\partial}, \gamma\}(\alpha) = (n - k)\alpha - \bar{\partial}\gamma(\alpha) \in W_{k+1}$, and this for is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact by the induction assumption. **This gives $(n - k)\alpha - \bar{\partial}\gamma(\alpha) = \bar{\partial}\eta$,** hence α is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. ■

Algebra of supersymmetry of a Kähler manifold: reminder

Let (M, I, g) be a Kähler manifold, ω its Kähler form. **On $\Lambda^*(M)$, the following operators are defined.**

0. d, d^*, Δ , because it is Riemannian.
1. $L(\alpha) := \omega \wedge \alpha$
2. $\Lambda(\alpha) := *L*\alpha$. It is easily seen that $\Lambda = L^*$.
3. The Weil operator $W|_{\Lambda^{p,q}(M)} = \sqrt{-1} (p - q)$

THEOREM: These operators generate a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{a} of dimension $(5|4)$, acting on $\Lambda^*(M)$. Moreover, the Laplacian Δ is central in \mathfrak{a} , hence **\mathfrak{a} also acts on the cohomology of M .**

The odd part of this algebra generates “odd Heisenberg algebra” $\langle d, d^c, d^*, (d^c)^*, \Delta \rangle$, with the only non-zero anticommutator $\{d, d^*\} = \{d^c, (d^c)^*\} = \Delta$.

The even part of this algebra contains an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple $\langle L, \Lambda, H \rangle$ acting on $\mathfrak{a}^{\text{odd}}$ as on a direct sum of two weight 1 representations (“Kodaira relations”). The Weil element commutes with $\langle L, \Lambda, H, \Delta \rangle$ and acts on $\mathfrak{a}^{\text{odd}}$ via $[W, d] = d^c$, $[W, d^*] = (d^c)^*$.

Inverting $\bar{\partial}$ using the Hodge theory (reminder)

CLAIM: Let β be a $\bar{\partial}$ -exact form, and $\gamma := \Delta^{-1}\bar{\partial}^*\beta$. **Then** $\bar{\partial}(\gamma) = \beta$.

Proof: Indeed,

$$\bar{\partial}^*\beta = \{\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}^*\}(\Delta^{-1}\bar{\partial}^*\beta) = \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}\gamma$$

because $(\bar{\partial}^*)^2 = 0$ and Δ^{-1} commutes with $\bar{\partial}^*$. However, $\ker \bar{\partial}^*$ is orthogonal to $\text{im } \bar{\partial}$, hence $\bar{\partial}^*|_{\text{im } \bar{\partial}}$ is injective. Then $\bar{\partial}^*\beta = \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}\gamma$ implies $\beta = \bar{\partial}\gamma$. ■

REMARK: Similarly, for any d -exact form β , one has $\beta = \Delta^{-1}d^*\beta$.

dd^c -lemma

THEOREM: Let η be a form on a compact Kähler manifold, satisfying one of the following conditions.

(1). η is an exact (p, q) -form. (2). η is d -exact, d^c -closed.

(3). η is ∂ -exact, $\bar{\partial}$ -closed.

Then $\eta \in \text{im } dd^c = \text{im } \partial\bar{\partial}$.

Proof: Notice immediately that in all three cases η is closed and orthogonal to the kernel of Δ , hence its cohomology class vanishes.

Since η is exact, it lies in the image of Δ . Operator $G_\Delta := \Delta^{-1}$ is defined on $\text{im } \Delta = \ker \Delta^\perp$ and commutes with d, d^c .

In case (1), η is d -exact, and $I(\eta) = \bar{\eta}$ is d -closed, hence η is d -exact, d^c -closed like in (2).

Then $\eta = d\alpha$, where $\alpha := G_\Delta d^*\eta$. Since G_Δ and d^* commute with d^c , the form α is d^c -closed; since it belongs to $\text{im } \Delta = \text{im } G_\Delta$, it is d^c -exact, $\alpha = d^c\beta$ which gives $\eta = dd^c\beta$.

In case (3), we have $\eta = \partial\alpha$, where $\alpha := G_\Delta \partial^*\eta$. Since G_Δ and ∂^* commute with $\bar{\partial}$, the form α is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed; since it belongs to $\text{im } \Delta$, it is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact, $\alpha = \bar{\partial}\beta$ which gives $\eta = \partial\bar{\partial}\beta$. ■

Massey products

Let $a, b, c \in \Lambda^*(M)$ be closed forms on a manifold M with cohomology classes $[a], [b], [c]$ satisfying $[a][b] = [b][c] = 0$, and $\alpha, \gamma \in \Lambda^*(M)$ forms which satisfy $d(\alpha) = a \wedge b$, $d(\gamma) = b \wedge c$. Denote by $L_{[a]}, L_{[c]} : H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M)$ the operation of multiplication by the cohomology classes $[a], [c]$.

Then $\alpha \wedge c - a \wedge \gamma$ is a closed form, and its cohomology class is well-defined modulo $\text{im } L_{[a]} + \text{im } L_{[c]}$.

DEFINITION: Cohomology class $\alpha \wedge c - a \wedge \gamma$ is called **Massey product of a, b, c** .

PROPOSITION: On a Kähler manifold, Massey products vanish.

Proof: Let a, b, c be harmonic forms of pure Hodge type, that is, of type (p, q) for some p, q . Then ab and bc are exact pure forms, hence $ab, bc \in \text{im } dd^c$ by dd^c -lemma. This implies that $\alpha := d^*G_{\Delta}(ab)$ and $\gamma := d^*G_{\Delta}(bc)$ are d^c -exact. Therefore $\mu := \alpha \wedge c - a \wedge \gamma$ is a d^c -exact, d -closed form. **Applying dd^c -lemma again, we obtain that μ is dd^c -exact, hence its cohomology class vanishes.**

■

Hartogs theorem

THEOREM: Let f be a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$, where $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a compact, and $n > 1$. **Then f can be extended to a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n .**

Proof. Step 1: Replacing K by a bigger compact, we can assume that f is smoothly extended to a small neighbourhood of the closure $\overline{M \setminus K}$. Then f can be extended to a smooth function on \mathbb{C}^n , holomorphic outside of K . **Then $\alpha := \bar{\partial} \tilde{f}$ is a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ -form with compact support.**

Step 2: Using the standard open embedding of \mathbb{C}^n to $\mathbb{C}P^n$, we may consider α as a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ -form on $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Since $H^1(\mathbb{C}P^n) = 0$, this gives $\alpha = \bar{\partial} \varphi$, where φ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{C}P^n$. In particular, **φ is bounded on $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$.**

Step 3: Since $\bar{\partial} \varphi$ vanishes outside of K , the function φ is holomorphic outside of K . Since bounded holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C} are constant, **φ is constant on any affine line not intersecting K .**

Step 4: This implies that $\varphi = \text{const}$ on the union of all affine lines not intersecting K . Since $n > 1$, the complement of this set is compact. Subtracting constant if necessary, we obtain that **φ is a function with compact support.**

Step 5: $\bar{\partial}(\tilde{f} - \varphi) = \alpha - \alpha = 0$, **hence $\tilde{f} - \varphi$ is holomorphic.** However, φ has compact support, and therefore $f = \tilde{f} - \varphi$ outside of a compact. ■