

Complex geometry

lecture 14: Dolbeault cohomology of a torus

Misha Verbitsky

HSE, room 306, 16:20,

November 7, 2020

Weight decomposition for T^n -action (reminder)

EXERCISE: Consider the n -dimensional torus T^n as a Lie group, $T^n = U(1)^n$. Prove that any finite-dimensional Hermitian representation of T^n is a direct sum of 1-dimensional representations, with action of T^n given by $\rho(t_1, \dots, t_n)(x) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i)x$, for some $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, called **the weights** of the 1-dimensional representation.

DEFINITION: Let V be a Hermitian space (possibly infinitely-dimensional) equipped with an action of T^n , and $V_\alpha \subset V$ weight α representations, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The direct sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} V_\alpha$ is called **the weight decomposition** for V if it is dense in V .

THEOREM: Let W be a Hermitian vector space. Then **the Fourier series provide the weight decomposition on $L^2(T^n, W)$** . ■

THEOREM: Let W be a Hermitian representation of T^n . **Then W admits a weight decomposition $V = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} W_\alpha}$** .

Proof: We realize W as a subrepresentation in $L^2(T^n, W)$, and use the Fourier series to obtain the weight decomposition of $L^2(T^n, W)$. ■

Weight decomposition for T^n -action on differential forms (reminder)

REMARK: Let M be a manifold with the T^n -action, and

$$\Lambda^*(M) = \hat{\bigoplus}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \Lambda^*(M)_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$$

be the weight decomposition on the differential forms. Then the **de Rham differential preserves each term** $\Lambda^*(M)_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$. Indeed, d commutes with the action of the Lie algebra of T^n , and $\Lambda^*(M)_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ are its eigenspaces.

REMARK: Let $\alpha = \sum \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ be the weight decomposition. The forms α_{p_1, \dots, p_k} are obtained by averaging

$$e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i} \alpha = \text{Av}_{T^n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n -p_i t_i} \alpha$$

hence they are smooth.

De Rham cohomology and T^n -action (reminder)

THEOREM: Let M be a smooth manifold, and T^n a torus acting on M by diffeomorphisms. Denote by $\Lambda^*(M)^{T^n}$ the complex of T^n -invariant differential forms. **Then the natural embedding $\Lambda^*(M)^{T^n} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*(M)$ induces an isomorphism on de Rham cohomology.**

Proof. Step 1: Let $\alpha \in \Lambda^*(M)$ be a form and $\alpha = \sum \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_n}$ its weight decomposition, with $\alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_n} \in \Lambda_{p_1, \dots, p_n}^*(M)$ a form of weight p_1, \dots, p_n . Since T^n -action commutes with de Rham differential, these forms are closed when α is closed.

Step 2: Let r_1, \dots, r_n be the standard generators of the Lie algebra of T^n rescaled in such a way that $\text{Lie}_{r_k}(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i)) = \sqrt{-1} p_k$, and $i_{r_k} : \Lambda^i(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{i-1}(M)$ the contraction operator. Since $\text{Lie}_{r_k} = \{d, i_{r_k}\}$, we have $p_k \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_n} = d(i_{r_k} \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_n})$ whenever α_{p_1, \dots, p_n} is closed. Therefore, **all terms in the weight decomposition $\alpha = \sum \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_n}$ are exact except $\alpha_{0,0, \dots, 0}$.**

Step 3: In the direct sum decomposition of the de Rham complex

$$\Lambda^*(M) = \Lambda^*(M)^{T^n} \oplus \hat{\bigoplus}_{p_1, \dots, p_k \neq (0,0, \dots, 0)} \Lambda_{p_1, \dots, p_k}^*(M)$$

the second component has trivial cohomology, because Lie_{r_k} is invertible on $\hat{\bigoplus}_{p_k \neq 0} \Lambda_{p_1, \dots, p_n}^*(M)$ (**deduce it from $p_k \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_k} = d(i_{r_k} \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_k})$), and Lie_{r_k} (closed form) is exact. ■**

Constant forms on a torus

REMARK: In the proof above, the serie $\sum_{p_k \neq 0} \frac{1}{p_k} i r_k \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ converges, because $\sum_{p_k \neq 0} \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ converges, and satisfies $d \left(\sum_{p_k \neq 0} \frac{1}{p_k} i r_k \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_k} \right) = \sum_{p_k \neq 0} \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ as shown.

DEFINITION: Let $T^n = (S^1)^n$ be a compact torus equipped with a action on itself by shifts, and $\Lambda_{\text{const}}^*(M)$. the space of T^n -invariant forms on T^n . These forms are called **constant differential forms**. Clearly, **constant forms have constant coefficients in the usual (flat) coordinates on the torus.**

THEOREM: The natural embedding $\Lambda_{\text{const}}^*(T^n) \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*(T^n)$ **induces an isomorphism** $\Lambda_{\text{const}}^*(T^n) = H^*(T^n)$.

Proof: The embedding $\Lambda_{\text{const}}^*(T^n) = \Lambda^*(T^n)^{T^n} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*(T^n)$ induces an isomorphism on cohomology, however, all constant forms are closed, hence $H^*(\Lambda_{\text{const}}^*(T^n), d) = \Lambda_{\text{const}}^*(T^n)$. ■

Holomorphic vector fields

DEFINITION: Let (M, I) be a complex manifold, and $X \in TM$ a real vector field. It is called **holomorphic** if $\text{Lie}_X(I) = 0$, that is, if the corresponding flow of diffeomorphisms is holomorphic.

CLAIM: Let (M, I) be a complex manifold, and $X \in TM$ a holomorphic vector field. **Then $X^c := I(X)$ is also holomorphic, and commutes with X .**

Proof. Step 1: Assume that X is non-zero at a given point $m \in M$. Solving the appropriate differential equation in holomorphic coordinates, we obtain a coordinate system z_1, \dots, z_n in a neighbourhood of m such that $\text{Lie}_X z_i = 0$ for $i > 1$ and $\text{Lie}_X z_1 = 1$. Let x_i, y_i be the corresponding real coordinate system, with $x_i = \text{Re } z_i$ and $y_i = \text{Im } z_i$. Then $X = \frac{d}{dx_1}$ and $X^c = \frac{d}{dy_1}$.

Step 2: The conditions $\text{Lie}_{X^c}(I) = 0$ and $[X^c, X] = 0$ hold on a closed subset of M , that is, they are true on the closure C of the set of points where $X \neq 0$. Outside of C , the vector field X is identically zero, hence these conditions are also hold. ■

Cartan's formula for Dolbeault differential

LEMMA: Let X be a holomorphic vector field, and $X^c = I(X)$. **Then** $\{d^c, i_X\} = -\text{Lie}_{X^c}$.

Proof: Using $\{IdI^{-1}, i_X\} = I\{d, I^{-1}i_X I\}I^{-1}$, we obtain $\{d^c, i_X\} = -I\{d, i_{X^c}\}I^{-1} = I\text{Lie}_{X^c}I^{-1}$. However, X^c is holomorphic, hence $I\text{Lie}_{X^c}I^{-1} = \text{Lie}_{X^c}$. ■

PROPOSITION: Let X be a holomorphic vector field, and $X^c = I(X)$. **Then** $\{\bar{\partial}, i_X\} = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Lie}_X - \sqrt{-1}\text{Lie}_{X^c})$.

Proof: $\bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(d + \sqrt{-1}d^c)$, hence

$$\{\bar{\partial}, i_X\} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Lie}_X + \sqrt{-1}\{d^c, i_X\} = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Lie}_X - \sqrt{-1}\text{Lie}_{X^c}).$$

■

REMARK: Let M be a complex manifold equipped with a holomorphic action of the torus T^n . Then the action of T^n commutes with d and d^c . Therefore, the operators d, d^c preserve the eigenspaces of the corresponding Lie algebra. These eigenspaces are components of the weight decomposition. This implies that **the Dolbeault differential $\bar{\partial}$ preserves the weight decomposition.**

Dolbeault cohomology of an elliptic curve

DEFINITION: An elliptic curve is a 1-dimensional compact complex manifold $X := \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}^2$.

REMARK: The additive group \mathbb{C} acts on itself by parallel transforms, hence the 2-dimensional torus T^2 acts on an elliptic curve by holomorphic diffeomorphisms.

DEFINITION: The T^n -invariant forms on T^n are called constant.

DEFINITION: Dolbeault cohomology of a complex manifold is $\frac{\ker \bar{\partial}}{\text{im } \bar{\partial}}$.

COROLLARY: Dolbeault cohomology of an elliptic curve X are represented by the constant forms on X .

Proof using the Hodge theory: Choose a T^2 -invariant Kähler form on X . We have already obtained an isomorphism between de Rham cohomology and the constant forms. Since the constant forms are harmonic, there are no other harmonic forms. Now, $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_d$, hence
 constant forms = $\bar{\partial}$ -harmonic forms = Dolbeault cohomology. ■

In the next slide, we give a proof which is independent from the Hodge theory.

Dolbeault cohomology of an elliptic curve (2)

PROPOSITION: Let X be an elliptic curve, and $\Lambda^*(X) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \Lambda^*(X)_{p_1, p_2}$ its weight decomposition under the T^2 -action. Consider the space T^2 -invariant forms $\Lambda^*(X)^{T^2} = \Lambda^*(X)_{0,0}$. **Then the natural embedding $\Lambda^*(X)^{T^2} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*(X)$ induces an isomorphism of Dolbeault cohomology.**

Proof: Let $\alpha \in \Lambda^*(X)_{p_1, p_2}$ be a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form, with $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$. Suppose, for example, that $p \neq 0$, and X is the generator of the corresponding component of the Lie algebra such that $\text{Lie}_X \alpha = p\sqrt{-1} \alpha$. Since X^c belongs to the same Lie algebra, we have $\text{Lie}_{X^c}(\alpha) = v\alpha$, where $v \in \sqrt{-1} \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}p + v}{2} \alpha = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Lie}_X - \sqrt{-1} \text{Lie}_{X^c}) \alpha = \{\bar{\partial}, i_X\} \alpha = \bar{\partial} i_X \alpha, \quad (***)$$

hence α is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. This implies that $\bar{\partial}$ has no cohomology on

$$\bigoplus_{p_1, p_2 \neq (0,0)} \Lambda^*(X)_{p_1, p_2}.$$

■

$\bar{\partial}$ -exact top forms on an elliptic curve

CLAIM: Let $\eta \in \Lambda^n(T^n)$ be a top form on a torus, and $\nu = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \nu_\alpha$ its weight decomposition. **Then $\int_{T^n} \nu = \int_{T^n} \nu_0$, where ν_0 denotes the T^n -invariant component.** Moreover, **whenever $\int_{T^n} \nu = 0$, the component ν_0 also vanishes.**

Proof: Let ν be a top form on a compact manifold, equipped with an action of S^1 , and $\nu = \sum \nu_i$ its weight decomposition. **Then $\int_M \nu_i = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$.** Indeed, the S^1 -action multiplies ν_i by a non-zero number, but the integral is invariant under the action of diffeomorphisms. ■

PROPOSITION: Let $\eta \in \Lambda^2(X)$ be a form on an elliptic curve such that $\int_X \eta = 0$. **Then η is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact.**

Proof: Consider the weight decomposition $\eta = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \eta_\alpha$. Since $\int_M \eta = 0$, the $(0,0)$ -component vanishes, and by (***) the form η is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. ■

Dolbeault cohomology of a disk

COROLLARY: Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact subset, K^0 its interior, and $\eta \in \Lambda^2(K^0)$ a top form smoothly extending to a neighbourhood of K . **Then η is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact.**

Proof: Choosing an appropriate lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$, we may assume that K is a subset of an elliptic curve X . Since η extends to a neighbourhood of K , we can use partition of unity to extend it to a smooth form $\tilde{\eta}$ on X . Applying the weight decomposition $\tilde{\eta} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \eta_\alpha$, we obtain that the form $\eta - \eta_{0,0}$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. However, the constant part $\eta_{0,0} = \text{const} \cdot dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \text{const} \cdot \bar{\partial}(\bar{z}dz)$ is also $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. ■

COROLLARY: Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact subset, K^0 its interior, and $\mu \in \Lambda^2(K^0)$ a $(0,1)$ -form smoothly extending to a neighbourhood of K . **Then μ is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact.**

Proof: By the previous corollary, $\mu \wedge dz$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact: there exists a $(1,0)$ -form φ such that $\bar{\partial}\varphi = \mu \wedge dz$. However, for any $(1,0)$ -form φ there exists a function ψ such that $\psi dz = \varphi$, which gives $\bar{\partial}\psi = \mu$ because the map $\Lambda^{0,1}(X) \xrightarrow{\wedge dz} \Lambda^{1,1}(X)$ is an isomorphism which commutes with $\bar{\partial}$. ■

Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma

DEFINITION: Polydisc D^n is a product of n discs $D \subset \mathbb{C}$.

THEOREM: (Poincaré-Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma)

Let $\eta \in \Lambda^{p,q}(D^n)$, $q > 0$, be a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form on a polydisc, smoothly extended to a neighbourhood of its closure $\overline{D^n} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. **Then η is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact.**

We proved it for $n = 1$. Next lecture we prove it for all n .