

Locally conformally Kähler manifolds

lecture 14: Oeljeklaus-Toma manifolds

Misha Verbitsky

HSE and IUM, Moscow

June 2, 2014

Local systems (reminder)

DEFINITION: A **local system** is a locally constant sheaf of vector spaces.

THEOREM: A local system with fiber B at $x \in M$ gives a homomorphism $\pi_1(M, x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$. **This correspondence gives an equivalence of categories.**

DEFINITION: A bundle (B, ∇) is called **flat** if its curvature vanishes.

DEFINITION: A section b of (B, ∇) is called **parallel** if $\nabla(b) = 0$.

CLAIM: Let (B, ∇) be a flat bundle on M , and \mathcal{B} be the sheaf of parallel sections. **Then \mathcal{B} is a locally constant sheaf.**

THEOREM: This correspondence **gives an equivalence of categories** of flat bundles and local systems.

LCK manifolds (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let (M, I, ω) be a Hermitian manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M > 1$. Then M is called **locally conformally Kähler** (LCK) if $d\omega = \omega \wedge \theta$, where θ is a closed 1-form, called **the Lee form**.

DEFINITION: A manifold is **locally conformally Kähler** iff it admits a Kähler form taking values in a positive, flat vector bundle L , called **the weight bundle**.

DEFINITION: **Deck transform**, or **monodromy maps** of a covering $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ are elements of the group $\text{Aut}_M(\tilde{M})$. **When \tilde{M} is a universal cover, one has $\text{Aut}_M(\tilde{M}) = \pi_1(M)$.**

DEFINITION: **An LCK manifold** is a complex manifold such that its universal cover \tilde{M} is equipped with a Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}$, and the deck transform acts on \tilde{M} by Kähler homotheties.

THEOREM: **These three definitions are equivalent.**

Lee class of an LCK manifold (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let (M, ω, θ) be an LCK manifold. The cohomology class $[\theta] \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ of its Lee form θ is called **the Lee class** of M .

REMARK: Monodromy group of an LCK manifold (M, ω, θ) is defined as the Galois group of the smallest covering $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ such that $\pi^*\theta$ is exact.

Rank of an LCK manifold is rank of its monodromy group.

PROPOSITION: Let (M, ω, θ) be an LCK manifold and $[\theta]$ its Lee class. Consider a smallest rational subspace $V \subset H^1(M, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ contains $[\theta]$. **Then $\dim V$ is equal to the rank of M .**

Proof: The group Γ is identified with an image of $\pi_1(M)$ under the map $[\theta] : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, because it is equal to the monodromy of the weight bundle, and the monodromy along a loop γ is equal to $e^{\int_{\gamma} \theta}$. ■

Solvmanifolds

DEFINITION: Let M be a smooth manifold equipped with a transitive action of solvable Lie group G . Then M is called **a solvmanifold**. If G is nilpotent, M is called **a nilmanifold**.

REMARK: All solvmanifolds are obtained as quotient spaces, $M = G/H$ (Mostow). All nilmanifolds are obtained as quotient spaces $M = G/\Gamma$, where Γ is discrete (Maltsev).

DEFINITION: **An integrable complex structure** on a real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \subset \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$

REMARK: Right-invariant complex structures on a connected real Lie group **are in 1 to 1 correspondence with integrable complex structures** on its Lie algebra.

DEFINITION: A **complex solvmanifold** is a solvmanifold $M = G/H$ equipped with a complex structure, in such a way that G has a right-invariant complex structure, and the projection $G \rightarrow M$ is holomorphic.

REMARK: **Solvmanifolds are usually non-homogeneous** (as complex manifolds).

Normed fields

DEFINITION: An absolute value on a field k is a function $|\cdot| : k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, satisfying the following

1. **Zero:** $|x| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$.
2. **Multiplicativity:** $|xy| = |x||y|$.
3. **There exists $c > 0$ such that $|\cdot|^c$ satisfies the triangle inequality.**

EXAMPLE: The usual absolute value on $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$.

EXAMPLE: Let p be a prime number, and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime with p . Define **p -adic absolute value** on \mathbb{Q} via $|\frac{m}{n}p^k| := p^{-k}$.

REMARK: p -adic absolute value satisfies an additional “non-archimedean axiom”: $|x+y| \leq \max(|x|, |y|)$. Such absolute values are called **non-archimedean**.

REMARK: Any power of non-archimedean absolute value is again non-archimedean, and satisfies the triangle inequality.

Normed fields and topology

DEFINITION: Let $|\cdot|$ be an absolute value on a field F . Consider topology on F with open sets generated by

$$B_\varepsilon(x) := \{y \in k \mid |x - y| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Absolute values are called **equivalent** if they induce the same topology.

THEOREM: Absolute values $|\cdot|_1, |\cdot|_2$ are equivalent if and only if $|\cdot|_1 = |\cdot|_2^c$ for some $c > 0$.

THEOREM: (Ostrowski) Every absolute value on \mathbb{Q} is equivalent to the usual ("archimedean") one or to p -adic one.

DEFINITION: A **completion** of a field k under an absolute value $|\cdot|$ is a completion of k in a metric $|\cdot|^c$, where $c > 0$ is a constant such that $|\cdot|^c$ satisfies the triangle inequality.

REMARK: A completion of a field is again a field.

EXAMPLE: A completion of \mathbb{Q} under the p -adic absolute value is called a **field of p -adic numbers**, denoted \mathbb{Q}_p .

Local fields

DEFINITION: A **finite extension** $K:k$ of fields is a field $K \supset k$ which is finite-dimensional as a vector space over k . A **number field** is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} . A **functional field** is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$. A **global field** is a number or functional field. A **local field** is a completion of a global field under a non-trivial absolute value.

THEOREM: Let \bar{k} be a field which is complete and locally compact under some absolute value. **Then \bar{k} is a local field.**

DEFINITION: Let $K:k$ be a finite extension, and $x \in K$. Consider the multiplication by x as a k -linear endomorphism of K . Define **the norm** $N_{K/k}(x)$ as a determinant of this operator.

REMARK: Norm defines a homomorphism of multiplicative groups $K^* \longrightarrow k^*$.

REMARK: For Galois extensions, the norm $N_{K/k}(x)$ **is a product of all elements conjugate to x .**

THEOREM: Let $\bar{K}:\bar{k}$ be a finite extension of local fields, degree n . **Then an absolute value on \bar{k} is uniquely extended to \bar{K} .** Moreover, **this extension is expressed as** $|x| := |N_{\bar{K}/\bar{k}}(x)|^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

Absolute values and extensions of global fields

CLAIM: Let A, B be extensions of a field k , $\text{char } k = 0$, where $A:k$ is finite. Consider $A \otimes_k B$ as a k -algebra. **Then $A \otimes_k B$ is a direct sum of fields, containing A and B .**

THEOREM: Let k be a number field, $|\cdot|$ an absolute value, $K:k$ a finite extension, and \bar{k} – its completion. Consider a decomposition $K \otimes_k \bar{k}$ into a direct sum of fields $K \otimes_k \bar{k} := \bigoplus_i \bar{K}_i$. **Then each extension of an absolute value $|\cdot|$ from k to K is induced from some \bar{K}_i , and all such extensions are non-equivalent.**

REMARK: When $k = \mathbb{Q}$, and $|\cdot|$ is the usual (archimedean) absolute value, we obtain that all K_i are extensions of \mathbb{R} , that is, isomorphic to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . This gives

COROLLARY: **For each number field K of degree n over \mathbb{Q} , there exists only a finite number of different homomorphisms $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, all of them injective. Denote by s the number of embeddings whose image lies in $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ (such an embedding is called **real**), and $2t$ the number of embeddings whose image does not lie in \mathbb{R} (“**complex embeddings**”). Then $s + 2t = n$.**

Dirichlet unit theorem

DEFINITION: Let $K:\mathbb{Q}$ be a number field of degree n . **The ring of integers** $\mathcal{O}_K \subset K$ is an integral closure of \mathbb{Z} in K , that is, the set of all roots in K of monic polynomials $P(t) = t^n + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + a_{n-2}t^{n-2} + \dots + a_0$ with integer coefficients $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

CLAIM: An additive group \mathcal{O}_K^+ is a finitely generated abelian group of rank n .

DEFINITION: A **unit** of a ring \mathcal{O}_K is an element $u \in \mathcal{O}_K$, such that u^{-1} also belongs to \mathcal{O}_K .

REMARK: $u \in \mathcal{O}_K$ is a unit if and only if the norm $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is invertible, that is, $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \pm 1$.

Dirichlet's unit theorem: Let K be a number field which has s real embeddings and $2t$ complex ones. Then **the group of units \mathcal{O}_K^* is isomorphic to $G \times \mathbb{Z}^{t+s-1}$** , where G is a finite group of roots of unity contained in K . Moreover, if $s > 0$, one has $G = \pm 1$.

REMARK: For a quadratic field, the group of units is a group of solutions of Pell's equation.

Cubic fields and complex surfaces

Let $K:\mathbb{Q}$ be a cubic extension of \mathbb{Q} which has 2 complex embeddings $\tau, \bar{\tau}$ and one real one σ (such an extension is obtained by adding all roots of a cubic polynomial which has exactly one real root).

REMARK: Due to Dirichlet theorem, \mathcal{O}_K^* is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \{\pm 1\}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_K^{*,+} := \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{>0}) \cap \mathcal{O}_K^*$. Then **the group $\mathcal{O}_K^{*,+}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} .**

Consider the action of $\mathcal{O}_K^+ \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$

$$\rho^+(x)(z, t) := (z + \tau(x), t + \sigma(x)).$$

Let Γ be a semidirect product $\mathcal{O}_K^+ \rtimes \mathcal{O}_K^{*,+}$, defined from the natural action of $\mathcal{O}_K^{*,+}$ on \mathcal{O}_K^+ . **Define an action of Γ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$, where \mathbb{H} is an upper halfplane**, as follows.

The subgroup $\mathcal{O}_K^+ \subset \Gamma$ acts on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ by translations as above (trivially on the last argument), and $\mathcal{O}_K^{*,+}$ acts multiplicatively as

$$\rho^*(\xi)(z, z') := (\tau(\xi)z, \sigma(\xi)z').$$

Inoue surfaces of type S^0

DEFINITION: The **Inoue surface of type S^0** is a quotient $(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H})/\Gamma$.

Its properties: 1. It is a compact, complex solvmanifold

2. Inoue surface **admits a flat connection preserving the complex structure** (by construction).

3. Its cohomology are the same as of $S^3 \times S^1$

THEOREM: The Inoue surface $M := (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H})/\Gamma$ **is locally conformally Kähler.**

Proof: Let z, u be coordinates on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$, and $\varphi(z, u) := |z|^2 + \text{Im}(u)^{-1}$. Since $dd^c\varphi = \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} + 2\sqrt{-1} \text{Im}(u)^{-3} du \wedge du\bar{u}$, it is a Kähler form. Clearly, $dd^c\varphi$ is \mathcal{O}_K^+ -invariant. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{O}_K^{*,+}$ be a unit. Since $N(\varepsilon)$ is integer and invertible, $N(\varepsilon) = 1$. This implies that $\tau(\varepsilon)^2 = \sigma(\varepsilon)^{-1}$. However, $\varepsilon^*(\varphi) = |\tau(\varepsilon)z|^2 + \text{Im}(\sigma(\varepsilon)u)^{-1}$, hence this $\varepsilon^*(\varphi) = \tau(\varepsilon)^2\varphi = \sigma(\varepsilon)^{-1}\varphi$. We have found an Kähler form on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$. ■

Curves on Inoue surface

THEOREM: The Inoue surface $M := (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H})/\Gamma$ **has no complex curves**

Proof. Step 1: Consider on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ a function $\varphi(z, z') := \log \operatorname{Im}(z')$. Since Γ multiplies $\operatorname{Im}(z')$ by a number, **the form $d\varphi$ is Γ -invariant.** Let θ be the corresponding 1-form on M .

Step 2: The 2-form $\omega_0 := d(I\theta)$ has Hodge type (1,1) and **is positive definite on the leaves of the foliation $\{z\} \times \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$.** Indeed,

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \varphi = \sqrt{-1} \frac{dz' \wedge d\bar{z}'}{|\operatorname{Im} z'|^2},$$

where ω_0 is the Poincaré metric on \mathbb{H} .

Step 3: Let $\Sigma \subset TM$ be the null-space of the form ω_0 . **It is a holomorphic, involutive foliation,** whose leaves are obtained from $\mathbb{C} \times \{z'\} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$

Step 4: For any complex curve C on M , $\int_C \omega_0 = 0$, because ω_0 is exact. Therefore, C is tangent to a leaf of Σ . **It remains to show that Σ has no compact leaves.**

Curves on Inoue surfaces

Step 3: Let $\Sigma \subset TM$ be the null-space of the form ω_0 . **It is a holomorphic, involutive foliation**, whose leaves are obtained from $\mathbb{C} \times \{z'\} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$

Step 4: For any complex curve C on M , $\int_C \omega_0 = 0$, because ω_0 is exact. Therefore, C is tangent to a leaf of Σ . **It remains to show that Σ has no compact leaves.**

Step 5: Let Σ_0 be a leaf of Σ . Its preimage in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ contains the set

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_0 := \bigcup_{z \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+} \left(z, (z' + \sigma(\zeta)) \right)$$

where $z' \in \mathbb{H}$ is a fixed point. Since the image of σ is dense in \mathbb{R} , **the closure $\tilde{\Sigma}_0$ contains $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \text{Im}(z')$.**

Step 6: Therefore, **the closure $\Sigma_0 \subset M$ is at least 3-dimensional**, hence **Σ has no compact leaves.**

Oeljeklaus-Toma manifolds

Let K be a number field which has $2t$ complex embeddings denoted $\tau_i, \bar{\tau}_i$ and s real ones denoted σ_i , $s > 0$, $t > 0$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_K^{*,+} := \mathcal{O}_K^* \cap \bigcap_i \sigma_i^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{>0})$. Choose in $\mathcal{O}_K^{*,+} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{t+1-1}$ a free abelian subgroup $\mathcal{O}_K^{*,U} \cong \mathbb{Z}^s$ such that the quotient $\mathbb{R}^s / \mathcal{O}_K^{*,U}$ is compact, where $\mathcal{O}_K^{*,U}$ is mapped to \mathbb{R}^t as $\xi \rightarrow (\log(\sigma_1(\xi)), \dots, \log(\sigma_t(\xi)))$. Let $\Gamma := \mathcal{O}_K^+ \rtimes \mathcal{O}_K^{*,U}$.

DEFINITION: An **Oeljeklaus-Toma manifold** is a quotient $\mathbb{C}^t \times \mathbb{H}^s / \Gamma$, where \mathcal{O}_K^+ acts on $\mathbb{C}^t \times \mathbb{H}^s$ as

$$\zeta(x_1, \dots, x_t, y_1, \dots, y_s) = \left(x_1 + \tau_1(\zeta), \dots, x_t + \tau_t(\zeta), y_1 + \sigma_1(\zeta), \dots, y_s + \sigma_s(\zeta) \right),$$

and $\mathcal{O}_K^{*,U}$ as

$$\xi(x_1, \dots, x_t, y_1, \dots, y_s) = \left(\tau_1(\xi)x_1, \dots, \tau_t(\xi)x_t, \sigma_1(\xi)y_1, \dots, \sigma_t(\xi)y_t \right)$$

Oeljeklaus-Toma manifolds are LCK

THEOREM: (Oeljeklaus-Toma) The OT-manifold $M := \mathbb{C}^t \times \mathbb{H}^s / \Gamma$ **is a compact complex solvmanifold**. When $t = 1$, it is locally conformally Kähler. When $s = 1, t = 1$, it is an Inoue surface of class S^0 .

Proof: We write the automorphic Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}^s$ as $dd^c\varphi$, where $\varphi(x, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s) = |x|^2 + \prod_{i=1}^s \text{Im}(\zeta_i)^{-1}$. The function φ is clearly plurisubharmonic (it is Poincaré metric on each \mathbb{H} , and Euclidean on \mathbb{C}), and $dd^c\varphi$ is \mathcal{O}_K^+ -invariant. Any $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_K^{*,+}$ multiplies $|x|^2$ by $A := \tau(\xi)^2$ and $\prod_{i=1}^s \text{Im}(\zeta_i)^{-1}$ by B^{-1} , where $B := \prod_{i=1}^s \sigma_i(\xi)^{-1}$. However, $AB = N(\xi) = 1$, because the norm $N(\xi)$ is integer and invertible. ■

Complex geometry of Oeljeklaus-Toma manifolds

THEOREM: Let K be a number field which has s real embeddings and $2t$ complex ones, $t = 1$, $s > 0$. **Then the corresponding Oeljeklaus-Toma manifold has no non-trivial complex subvarieties.**

Proof. Step 1: Consider on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}^t$ a function $\varphi(z, z_1, \dots, z_s) := \prod_i \text{Im}(z_i)$. Since Γ multiplies $\text{Im}(z_i)$ by a number, **the form $d \log \varphi$ is Γ -invariant.** Let θ denote the corresponding 1-form on $M = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}^s / \Gamma$.

Step 2: The 2-form $\omega_0 := d(I\theta) = dd^c \log \varphi$ has Hodge type (1,1) and **positive definite on the leaves of the foliation $\{z\} \times \mathbb{H}^t \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}^t$**

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \varphi = \sqrt{-1} \sum_i \frac{dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i}{|\text{im } z_i|^2}.$$

Also, $\omega_0 \geq 0$.

Step 3: Let $\Sigma \subset TM$ be the null-foliation of ω_0 (the foliation generated by the null eigenspace). **It is a holomorphic, involutive, smooth 1-dimensional foliation,** with the leaves which are obtained from $\mathbb{C} \times \{(z_1, \dots, z_s)\} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}^s$.

Step 4: For any complex k -dimensional subvariety $C \subset M$, the integral $\int_C \omega_0^k = 0$, because ω_0 is exact. Therefore, C is at each point tangent to a leaf of Σ . **Since Σ is 1-dimensional, this means that C contains at least one leaf of Σ .**

Step 5: It remains to show that any variety which contains a leaf of Σ coincides with M .

Step 6: Let Σ_0 be a leaf of Σ . Its preimage in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}^s$ contains a set

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_0(z_1, \dots, z_s) := \bigcup_{z \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta \in \mathcal{O}_K^+} \left(z, (z_1 + \sigma_1(\zeta), \dots, z_s + \sigma_s(\zeta)) \right)$$

where $z_1, \dots, z_s \in \mathbb{H}^s$ is some fixed point.

Step 7: We reduced the theorem to the following statement

CLAIM: A closure of $\tilde{\Sigma}_0(z_1, \dots, z_s)$ contains a set

$$Z_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s} := \{(\zeta, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s) \mid \text{im } \zeta_i = \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, s\}$$

where $\alpha_i = \text{im } z_i$.

Indeed, **the smallest complex subspace containing $T_x Z_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s}$ is $T_x M$.**

The adèle ring

The previous claim is immediately implied by the following statement, applied to **the set ρ_1, \dots, ρ_m of all real embeddings**.

Theorem 1 Let $K:\mathbb{Q}$ be a number field with has $2t$ complex embeddings $\tau_1, \bar{\tau}_1, \dots$ and s real ones, $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_m$ – embeddings K to \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} , and each of τ_i and σ_i appears once, except one. Consider the map $R : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^a \times \mathbb{C}^b, R(\xi) := \rho_1(\xi), \dots, \rho_m(\xi)$. **Then the image of \mathcal{O}_K is dense in $\mathbb{R}^a \times \mathbb{C}^b$.**

The proof is based on the **strong approximation theorem** (which is a “modern version” of Chinese remainders theorem).

DEFINITION: Adelic group \mathcal{A}_K is a subset of the product $\prod_{\nu} K_{\nu}$ of all completions of K for all equivalence classes ν of absolute value functions, consisting of sequences $(x_{\nu_1}, \dots, x_{\nu_n}, \dots)$ where $|x_{\nu_i}| \leq 1$ for all i except the finite number.

REMARK: Tikhonov’s theorem **implies that \mathcal{A}_K is locally compact.**

The strong approximation theorem

Strong approximation theorem: Consider the natural embedding $K \subset \mathcal{A}_K$. Then its image is a discrete, cocompact subgroup. Moreover, the projection of $\mathcal{A}_K \xrightarrow{P_{\nu_0}} \prod_{\nu \neq \nu_0} K_\nu$ to the product of all completions except one maps K to a dense subset of $R_{\nu_0}(\mathcal{A}_K)$.

REMARK: Further on, K is considered as a subring of \mathcal{A}_K .

Proof of Theorem 1. Step 1: Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_K}$ be a ring of all integer adeles, that is, such $(x_{\nu_1}, \dots, x_{\nu_n}, \dots) \in \mathcal{A}_K$, that $|x_{\nu_i}| \leq 1$ for each non-archimedean absolute value. Then $\mathcal{O}_K = K \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_K}$.

Step 2: Let now $P : \mathcal{A}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$ be a projection of \mathcal{A}_K to the product of all completions except one archimedean. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_K}$ is open in \mathcal{A}_K , its projection to \mathcal{A}_1 is open in \mathcal{A}_1 (the projection is an open map).

Step 3: We obtain that the image $P(K) \cap P(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_K})$ is dense in $P(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_K})$. From Step 1, we obtain that $P(K) \cap P(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_K})$ coincides with $P(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Step 4: We proved that $P(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap P(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_K})$. Therefore, its projection to the product of all archimedean completions except one is also dense.