

# **Trisymplectic manifolds**

Misha Verbitsky

**Advances in hyperkähler and holomorphic symplectic geometry**

**BIRS, Canada, March 16, 2012**

## Complexification of a manifold

**DEFINITION:** Let  $M$  be a complex manifold, equipped with an anticomplex involution  $\iota$ . The fixed point set  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $\iota$  is called **a real analytic manifold**, and a germ of  $M$  in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  is called **a complexification** of  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**QUESTION:** What is a complexification of a Kähler manifold (considered as real analytic variety)?

**THEOREM:** (D. Kaledin, B. Feix) Let  $M$  be a real analytic Kähler manifold, and  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  its complexification. **Then  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  admits a hyperkähler structure**, determined uniquely and functorially by the Kähler structure on  $M$ .

**QUESTION:** What is a complexification of a hyperkähler manifold?

THIS IS THE MAIN SUBJECT OF TODAY'S TALK.

(A joint work with Marcos Jardim).

**Plan of the talk:**

1. Trisymplectic structures on a vector space (linear algebra).
2. Trisymplectic structures on a manifold (differential geometry).
3. Trisymplectic structure on the space of rational lines in the twistor space (hyperkähler geometry).
4. Applications to the instanton spaces.

## Trisymplectic structure on a vector space

**DEFINITION:** A **trisymplectic structure** on a complex vector space of dimension  $2n$  is a 3-dimensional space  $\Omega \subset \Lambda^2 V$  of complex linear 2-forms, such that any  $\eta \in \Omega$  has rank  $2n$ ,  $n$  or  $0$ .

**REMARK:** It is easy to see that  $\Omega$  contains a symplectic form.

**PROPOSITION:** Given two symplectic forms  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$ , consider the map  $\varphi_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2} := \omega_1 \circ \omega_2^{-1} \in \text{End}(V)$ . Then  $\varphi_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}$  can be expressed in an appropriate basis by the matrix

$$\varphi_{\omega_1, \omega_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \lambda' \end{pmatrix},$$

with the eigenspaces of equal dimension.

**THEOREM:** Let  $(V, \Omega)$  be a trisymplectic vector space, and  $H \subset \text{End}(V)$  the algebra generated by  $\varphi_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}$ , for all  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$ . **Then  $H$  is isomorphic to the matrix algebra  $\text{Mat}(2)$** , acting on  $V$  in a standard way.

## Trisymplectic structures as $\text{Mat}(2)$ -representations

**DEFINITION:** Let  $V$  be a complex vector space with the **standard action** of the matrix algebra  $\text{Mat}(2)$ , i.e.  $V \cong V_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $\text{Mat}(2)$  acts only through the second factor.

**CLAIM:** Consider the natural  $SL(2)$ -action on  $V$  induced by  $\text{Mat}(2)$ , and extend it multiplicatively to all tensor powers of  $V$ . Let  $g \in \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{C}}^2(V)$  be an  $SL(2)$ -invariant, non-degenerate 2-form on  $V$ , and  $\{I, J, K\}$  a quaternionic basis in  $\text{Mat}(2)$ . Then

$$g(x, Iy) = g(Ix, I^2y) = -g(Ix, y)$$

hence the form  $\Omega_I(\cdot, \cdot) := g(\cdot, I\cdot)$  is a symplectic form, obviously non-degenerate; the forms  $\Omega_J, \Omega_K$  have the same properties. Let  $\Omega := \langle \Omega_I, \Omega_J, \Omega_K \rangle$ . It turns out that **this construction gives a trisymplectic structure, and all trisymplectic structures can be obtained in this way.**

## Trisymplectic structures as $\text{Mat}(2)$ -representations II

**THEOREM:** Let  $V$  be a vector space equipped with a standard action of the matrix algebra  $H \cong \text{Mat}(2)$ , and  $\{I, J, K\}$  a quaternionic basis in  $\text{Mat}(2)$ . Consider the corresponding action of  $SL(2)$  on the tensor powers of  $V$ . Then, for any  $SL(2)$ -invariant symmetric form  $g$ , denote by  $\Omega$  the space generated by  $\Omega_I := g(\cdot, I\cdot)$ ,  $\Omega_J$ ,  $\Omega_K$ . Then  $\Omega$  is a trisymplectic structure on  $V$ , with the operators  $\Omega_K^{-1} \circ \Omega_J$ ,  $\Omega_K^{-1} \circ \Omega_I$  generating  $H$ . Moreover, for each trisymplectic structure  $\Omega$  on  $V$ , there exists a unique (up to a constant)  $SL(2)$ -invariant non-degenerate quadratic form  $g$  inducing  $\Omega$  as above.

## Trisymplectic manifold

**DEFINITION:** A **trisymplectic structure** on a complex  $2n$ -manifold  $M$  is a triple of holomorphic symplectic forms  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3$ , such that any linear combination of these forms has rank  $2n, n$  or  $0$ . We denote by  $\Omega$  the 3-dimensional space generated by  $\Omega_i$ . Obviously,  $\Omega$  defines a trisymplectic structure at each point of  $M$ .

**REMARK:** Let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \Omega$ . Consider  $P(t) := \det(\Omega_1 + t\Omega_2)$  as a polynomial of  $t$ . Since the eigenvalues of  $\Omega_1 + t\Omega_2$  occur in  $n$ -tuples,  $P(t) = Q(t)^{n/2}$ , where  $Q$  is a quadratic polynomial.

**CLAIM:** There exists a non-degenerate quadratic form  $Q$  on  $\Omega$ , unique up to a constant, such that  $\Omega \in \Omega$  is degenerate if and only if  $Q(\Omega, \Omega) = 0$ .

**COROLLARY:** For each degenerate  $\Omega \in \Omega$ , its radical  $\ker \Omega$  is a subbundle of codimension  $n$  in  $TM$ . Moreover, for all non-proportional degenerate  $\Omega, \Omega' \in \Omega$ , one has  $TM = \ker \Omega \oplus \ker \Omega'$ .

**REMARK:** Since  $\Omega$  is closed,  $\ker \Omega$  is involutive:  $[\ker \Omega, \ker \Omega] \subset \ker \Omega$ .

**REMARK:** Similar to web geometry!

## Holomorphic 3-webs.

**DEFINITION:** Let  $M$  be a complex manifold, and  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  integrable, pairwise transversal holomorphic sub-bundles in  $TM$ , of dimension  $\frac{1}{2} \dim M$ . Then  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$  is called **a holomorphic 3-web** on  $M$ .

**REMARK:** On smooth manifolds, the theory of 3-webs is due to Chern and Blaschke (1930-ies).

**THEOREM:** (Ph. D. thesis of Chern, 1936) Let  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  be a holomorphic 3-web on a complex manifold  $M$ . **Then there exists a unique holomorphic connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  which preserves the sub-bundles  $S_i$ ,** and such that its torsion  $T$  satisfies  $T(S_1, S_2) = 0$ .



## Holomorphic $SL(2)$ -webs.

**DEFINITION:** A holomorphic 3-web  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  on a complex manifold  $M$  is called **an  $SL(2)$ -web** if

- the projection operators  $P_{i,j}$  of  $TM$  to  $S_i$  along  $S_j$  **generate the standard action of  $Mat(2)$**  on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ ,
- for any nilpotent  $v \in Mat(2)$ , **the bundle  $v(TM) \subset TM$  is involutive.**

**REMARK:** The set of  $v \in Mat(2)$  with  $\text{rk } v = 1$  satisfies  $\mathbb{P}V = \mathbb{C}P^1$ , hence the sub-bundles  $v(TM) \subset TM$  are parametrized by  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . **An  $SL(2)$ -web is determined by a set of sub-bundles  $S_t \subset TM$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ , which are pairwise transversal and involutive.**

**THEOREM:** (Jardim–V.) Let  $S_t \subset TM$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{C}P^1$  be an  $SL(2)$ -web on  $M$ , and  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{C}P^1$  distinct points. Then the Chern connection of a 3-web  $S_{t_1}, S_{t_2}, S_{t_3}$  **is a torsion-free affine holomorphic connection with holonomy in  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$**  acting on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ , and **independent from the choice of  $t_i$ .**

## Trisymplectic manifolds

**THEOREM:** (Jardim–V.) For any trisymplectic structure on  $M$ , **the bundles  $\ker \Omega \subset TM$  define an  $SL(2)$ -web.** Moreover, the Chern connection of this  $SL(2)$ -web **preserves all forms in  $\Omega$ .**

**REMARK:** In this case, **the Chern connection has holonomy in  $Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ .**

**REMARK:** For a trisymplectic structure  $\Omega$ , it is just the **Levi-Civita connection of the holomorphic Riemannian form associated with  $\Omega$ .**

**THE REST OF TODAY'S TALK IS EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS OF TRISYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY**

## Hyperkähler manifolds

**DEFINITION:** A **hyperkähler structure** on a manifold  $M$  is a Riemannian structure  $g$  and a triple of complex structures  $I, J, K$ , satisfying quaternionic relations  $I \circ J = -J \circ I = K$ , such that  $g$  is Kähler for  $I, J, K$ .

**REMARK:** A hyperkähler manifold **has three symplectic forms**  
 $\omega_I := g(I\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $\omega_J := g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $\omega_K := g(K\cdot, \cdot)$ .

**REMARK:** This is equivalent to  $\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0$ : the parallel translation along the connection preserves  $I, J, K$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold,  $x \in M$  a point. The subgroup of  $GL(T_x M)$  generated by parallel translations (along all paths) is called **the holonomy group** of  $M$ .

**REMARK:** A hyperkähler manifold can be defined as a manifold **which has holonomy in  $Sp(n)$**  (the group of all endomorphisms preserving  $I, J, K$ ).

## Twistor space

**DEFINITION: Induced complex structures** on a hyperkähler manifold are complex structures of form  $S^2 \cong \{L := aI + bJ + cK, \quad a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1.\}$  **They are usually non-algebraic.** Indeed, if  $M$  is compact, for generic  $a, b, c$ ,  $(M, L)$  has no divisors (Fujiki).

**DEFINITION:** A **twistor space**  $\text{Tw}(M)$  of a hyperkähler manifold is **a complex manifold obtained by gluing these complex structures into a holomorphic family over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ .** More formally:

Let  $\text{Tw}(M) := M \times S^2$ . Consider the complex structure  $I_m : T_m M \rightarrow T_m M$  on  $M$  induced by  $J \in S^2 \subset \mathbb{H}$ . Let  $I_J$  denote the complex structure on  $S^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1$ .

The operator  $I_{\text{Tw}} = I_m \oplus I_J : T_x \text{Tw}(M) \rightarrow T_x \text{Tw}(M)$  satisfies  $I_{\text{Tw}}^2 = -\text{Id}$ . **It defines an almost complex structure on  $\text{Tw}(M)$ .** This almost complex structure is known to be integrable (Obata, Salamon)

**EXAMPLE:** If  $M = \mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $\text{Tw}(M) = \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus n}) \cong \mathbb{C}P^{2n+1} \setminus \mathbb{C}P^{2n-1}$

**REMARK:** For  $M$  compact,  $\text{Tw}(M)$  never admits a Kähler structure.

## Rational curves on $\text{Tw}(M)$ .

**REMARK:** The twistor space **has many rational curves**. In fact, it is **rationally connected** (Campana).

**DEFINITION:** Denote by  $\text{Sec}(M)$  **the space of holomorphic sections** of the twistor fibration  $\text{Tw}(M) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}P^1$ .

**DEFINITION:** For each point  $m \in M$ , one has **a horizontal section**  $C_m := \{m\} \times \mathbb{C}P^1$  of  $\pi$ . The space of horizontal sections is denoted  $\text{Sec}_{hor}(M) \subset \text{Sec}(M)$

**REMARK:** The space of horizontal sections of  $\pi$  is identified with  $M$ . The normal bundle  $NC_m = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\dim M}$ . Therefore, **some neighbourhood of  $\text{Sec}_{hor}(M) \subset \text{Sec}(M)$  is a smooth manifold of dimension  $2 \dim M$ .**

**DEFINITION:** A twistor section  $C \subset \text{Tw}(M)$  is called **regular**, if  $NC = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\dim M}$ .

**CLAIM:** For any  $I \neq J \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ , consider the evaluation map  $\text{Sec}(M) \xrightarrow{E_{I,J}} (M, I) \times (M, J)$ ,  $s \longrightarrow s(I) \times s(J)$ . Then  **$E_{I,J}$  is an isomorphism around the set  $\text{Sec}_0(M)$  of regular sections.**

## Complexification of a hyperkähler manifold.

**REMARK:** Consider an anticomplex involution  $\text{Tw}(M) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Tw}(M)$  mapping  $(m, t)$  to  $(m, i(t))$ , where  $i : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$  is a central symmetry. Then  $\text{Sec}_{hor}(M) = M$  is a component of the fixed set of  $\iota$ .

**COROLLARY:**  $\text{Sec}(M)$  is a complexification of  $M$ .

**QUESTION:** What are geometric structures on  $\text{Sec}(M)$ ?

**Answer 1:** For compact  $M$ ,  $\text{Sec}(M)$  is holomorphically convex (Stein if  $\dim M = 2$ ).

**Answer 2:** . Let  $I \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ , and  $ev_I : \text{Sec}_0(M) \rightarrow (M, I)$  be an evaluation map putting  $S \in \text{Sec}_0(M)$  to  $S(I)$ . Then the 2-forms  $ev_I^* \Omega_I$ ,  $I \in \mathbb{C}P^1$  generate a trisymplectic structure on  $\text{Sec}_0(M)$ .

**Answer 3:** The space  $\text{Sec}_0(M)$  admits a holomorphic, torsion-free connection with holonomy  $Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ .

## Holomorphic bundles on $\mathbb{C}P^3$ and twistor sections

**DEFINITION:** An instanton on  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  is a stable bundle  $B$  with  $c_1(B) = 0$ . A framed instanton is an instanton equipped with a trivialization  $B|_C$  for a line  $C \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ .

**THEOREM:** (Nahm, Atiyah, Hitchin) The space  $\mathcal{M}_{r,c}$  of framed instantons on  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  is **smooth, connected, hyperkähler**.

**THEOREM:** There is a correspondence between the holomorphic bundles on  $\text{Tw}(\mathbb{H}) = \mathbb{C}P^3 \setminus \mathbb{C}P^1$ , with appropriate stability and framing conditions, and twistor sections in  $\text{Sec}(\mathcal{M}_{r,c})$ .

It is used to prove the following longstanding conjecture.

**THEOREM:** (Jardim–V.) **The space  $\mathbb{M}_{r,c}$  of framed mathematical instantons on  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  is smooth.**

**REMARK:** To prove that  $\mathcal{M}_{r,c}$  is smooth, one could use hyperkähler reduction. To prove that  $\mathbb{M}_{r,c}$  is smooth, we develop **trihyperkähler reduction**, which is a reduction defined on trisymplectic manifolds.

## Mathematical instantons

**DEFINITION:** A **mathematical instanton bundle** on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  is a locally free coherent sheaf  $E$  on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  with  $c_1(E) = 0$  satisfying the following cohomological conditions:

1. for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $H^0(E(-1)) = H^n(E(-n)) = 0$ ;
2. for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $H^1(E(-2)) = H^{n-1}(E(1-n)) = 0$ ;
3. for  $n \geq 4$ ,  $H^p(E(k)) = 0$ ,  $2 \leq p \leq n-2$  and  $\forall k$ ;

The integer  $c = -\chi(E(-1)) = h^1(E(-1)) = c_2(E)$  is called **the charge** of  $E$ . A **framed instanton** is a mathematical instanton equipped with a trivialization of  $B|_\ell$  for some fixed line  $\ell = \mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ .

**REMARK:** Mathematical instantons of rank 2 **are always stable** (follows from the monad description below).

**REMARK:** The space  $\mathbb{M}_{r,c}$  of framed instantons with charge  $c$  and rank  $r$  **is a principal  $SL(2)$ -bundle** over the space of all mathematical instantons trivial on  $\ell$ .

**THEOREM:** (Jardim–V.) The space  $\mathbb{M}_c$  of framed rank  $r$  mathematical instantons on  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  **is naturally identified with the space of twistor sections  $\text{Sec}(\mathcal{M}_{r,c})$ .**



## Monads and mathematical instantons

**DEFINITION:** A monad is a sequence of vector bundles  $0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{j} C \longrightarrow 0$  which is exact in the first and the last term. The cohomology of a monad is  $\ker j / \operatorname{im} i$ .

**THEOREM:** Let  $B$  be a holomorphic bundle of rank 2 on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ,  $c_1(B) = 0$ ,  $c_2(B) = c$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $B$  is a mathematical instanton.
- (ii)  $B$  is a cohomology of a monad

$$0 \longrightarrow V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^k}(-1) \longrightarrow W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^k} \longrightarrow U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^k}(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

with  $\dim V = \dim U = c$  and  $\dim W = 2c + 2$ .

## ADHM construction

**DEFINITION:** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be complex vector spaces, with dimensions  $c$  and  $r$ , respectively. The **ADHM data** is maps

$$A, B \in \text{End}(V), I \in \text{Hom}(W, V), J \in \text{Hom}(V, W).$$

We say that ADHM data is

**stable**,

if there is no subspace  $S \subsetneq V$  such that  $A(S), B(S) \subset S$  and  $I(W) \subset S$ ;

**costable**,

if there is no nontrivial subspace  $S \subset V$  such that  $A(S), B(S) \subset S$  and  $S \subset \ker J$ ;

**regular**,

if it is both stable and costable.

**The ADHM equation** is  $[A, B] + IJ = 0$ .

**THEOREM:** (Atiyah, Drinfeld, Hitchin, Manin) Framed rank  $r$ , charge  $c$  instantons on  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  are in bijective correspondence with the set of equivalence classes of regular ADHM solutions. In other words, **the moduli of instantons on  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  is identified with moduli of the corresponding quiver representation.**

## The multi-dimensional ADHM construction

**DEFINITION:** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be complex vector spaces, with dimensions  $c$  and  $r$ , respectively. The  **$d$ -dimensional ADHM data** is maps

$$A_k, B_k \in \text{End}(V), I_k \in \text{Hom}(W, V), J_k \in \text{Hom}(V, W), (k = 0, \dots, d)$$

Choose homogeneous coordinates  $[z_0 : \dots : z_d]$  on  $\mathbb{C}P^d$  and define

$$\tilde{A} := A_0 \otimes z_0 + \dots + A_d \otimes z_d \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B} := B_0 \otimes z_0 + \dots + B_d \otimes z_d.$$

We say that  $d$ -dimensional ADHM data is

**globally regular**, if  $(\tilde{A}_p, \tilde{B}_p, \tilde{I}_p, \tilde{J}_p)$  is regular for every  $p \in \mathbb{C}P^d$ . The  **$d$ -dimensional ADHM equation** is  $[\tilde{A}_p, \tilde{B}_p] + \tilde{I}_p \tilde{J}_p = 0$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}P^d$

**THEOREM:** (Marcos Jardim, Igor Frenkel) Let  $C_d(r, c)$  denote the set of globally regular solutions of the  $d$ -dimensional ADHM equation. Then **there exists a 1-1 correspondence between equivalence classes of globally regular solutions of the  $d$ -dimensional ADHM equations and isomorphism classes of rank  $r$  instanton bundles** on  $\mathbb{C}P^{d+2}$  framed at a fixed line  $\ell$ , where  $\dim W = \text{rk}(E)$  and  $\dim V = c_2(E)$ .

## The multi-dimensional ADHM construction for $d = 1$

For  $d = 1$ , we obtain that the  $d$ -dimensional ADHM solutions are families of solutions of ADHM parametrized by  $\mathbb{C}P^3$ . Also, the space of 1-dimensional ADHM data is the space of sections of

$$\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \left[ \text{Hom}(W, V) \oplus \text{Hom}(V, W) \oplus \text{End}(V) \oplus \text{End}(V) \right]$$

over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , that is, the twistor space of a quaternionic vector space  $U = \text{Hom}(W, V) \oplus \text{Hom}(V, W) \oplus \text{End}(V) \oplus \text{End}(V)$ . Now, the hyperkähler structure on 0-dimensional ADHM solutions for each  $p \in \mathbb{C}P^1$  is compatible with the hyperkähler structure on  $U$ , because the space of 0-dimensional ADHM solutions is obtained from  $U$  by hyperkähler reduction. **This is used to prove the theorem about instantons on  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  and twistor sections.**