

Teichmuller spaces, ergodic theory and global Torelli theorem

Misha Verbitsky

Moscow, National Research University “Higher School of Economics”

SEOUL ICM 2014
International Congress of Mathematicians

August 18, 2014

Teichmüller spaces

DEFINITION: Let M be a smooth manifold. **A complex structure** on M is an endomorphism $I \in \text{End } TM$, $I^2 = -\text{Id}_{TM}$ such that the eigenspace bundles of I are **involutive**, that is, satisfy $[T^{1,0}M, T^{1,0}M] \subset T^{1,0}M$.

REMARK: Let Comp be the space of such tensors equipped with a topology of convergence of all derivatives. **It is a Fréchet manifold.**

REMARK: The diffeomorphism group Diff is a Fréchet Lie group acting on a Fréchet manifold Comp in a natural way.

Definition: Let M be a compact complex manifold, and $\text{Diff}_0(M)$ a connected component of its diffeomorphism group (**the group of isotopies**). Let $\text{Teich} := \text{Comp} / \text{Diff}_0(M)$. We call it **the Teichmüller space**.

REMARK: This terminology is **standard for curves**.

Moduli spaces

DEFINITION: The quotient $\text{Comp} / \text{Diff} = \text{Teich} / \Gamma$ is called **the moduli space** of complex structures. It can be **very non-Hausdorff**. $\text{Comp} / \text{Diff}$ parametrizes the set of equivalence classes of complex structures.

REMARK: The moduli space exists, and is quasiprojective, for curves and projective manifolds with canonical or anticanonical polarization. **Its topology is extremely non-Hausdorff** for complex tori of higher dimension, hyperkähler manifolds, rational surfaces with $b_2 > 10$, and other varieties without a natural polarization.

REMARK: Teich is a complex space, possibly non-Hausdorff for a wide class of manifolds, including all Calabi-Yau (F. Catanese).

Holomorphically symplectic manifolds

DEFINITION: A **hyperkähler structure** on a manifold M is a Riemannian structure g and a triple of complex structures I, J, K , satisfying quaternionic relations $I \circ J = -J \circ I = K$, such that g is Kähler for I, J, K .

REMARK: Let $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$ be the Kähler symplectic forms associated with I, J, K . **A hyperkähler manifold is holomorphically symplectic:** $\omega_J + \sqrt{-1}\omega_K$ is a holomorphic symplectic form on (M, I) . **Converse is also true:**

THEOREM: (Calabi-Yau) A compact, Kähler, holomorphically symplectic manifold **admits a unique hyperkähler metric in any Kähler class.**

DEFINITION: For the rest of this talk, **a hyperkähler manifold is a compact, Kähler, holomorphically symplectic manifold.**

DEFINITION: A compact hyperkähler manifold M is called **maximal holonomy manifold**, or **simple**, or **IHS** if $\pi_1(M) = 0$, $H^{2,0}(M) = \mathbb{C}$.

Bogomolov's decomposition: (Bogomolov, 1974) Any hyperkähler manifold admits a finite covering which is a product of a torus and several maximal holonomy (simple) hyperkähler manifolds.

Further on, all hyperkähler manifolds are assumed to be simple.

Computation of the mapping class group

THEOREM: (Fujiki). Let $\eta \in H^2(M)$, and $\dim M = 2n$, where M is hyperkähler. **Then $\int_M \eta^{2n} = cq(\eta, \eta)^n$, for some primitive integer quadratic form q on $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and $c > 0$ a rational number.**

DEFINITION: The form q is called **Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form**. It has signature $(3, b_2 - 3)$.

THEOREM: (Sullivan) Let M be a compact, simply connected Kähler manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \geq 3$. Denote by $\Gamma_0 = \text{Aut}(H^*(M, \mathbb{Z}), p_1, \dots, p_n)$ the group of automorphisms of an algebra $H^*(M, \mathbb{Z})$ preserving the Pontryagin classes $p_i(M)$. Then **the image of the natural map $\text{Diff}_+(M)/\text{Diff}_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0$ has finite index in Γ_0 .**

THEOREM: (V., 1996, 2009) Let M be a simple hyperkähler manifold, and $\Gamma_0 = \text{Aut}(H^*(M, \mathbb{Z}), p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Then

- (i) $\Gamma_0|_{H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})}$ **is a finite index subgroup of $O(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}), q)$.**
- (ii) The map $\Gamma_0 \rightarrow O(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}), q)$ **has finite kernel.**

REMARK: Sullivan's theorem implies that the mapping class group for a Kähler manifold M with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \geq 3$, $\pi_1(M) = 0$ **is an arithmetic group**. Contrast that with the mapping class group of a Riemannian surface.

The period map

REMARK: To simplify the language, we redefine Teich and Comp for hyperkähler manifolds, admitting only complex structures of Kähler type. Since the Hodge numbers are constant in families of Kähler manifolds, **for any $J \in \text{Teich}$, (M, J) is also a simple hyperkähler manifold, hence $H^{2,0}(M, J)$ is one-dimensional.**

Definition: Let $\text{Per} : \text{Teich} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$ map J to a line $H^{2,0}(M, J) \in \mathbb{P}H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$. The map $\text{Per} : \text{Teich} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$ is called **the period map**.

REMARK: Per maps Teich into an open subset of a quadric, defined by

$$\mathbb{P}\text{er} := \{l \in \mathbb{P}H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \mid q(l, l) = 0, q(l, \bar{l}) > 0\}.$$

It is called **the period space** of M .

REMARK: $\mathbb{P}\text{er} = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$. Indeed, the group $SO(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}), q) = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}\text{er}$, and $SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$ is a stabilizer of a point.

Birational Teichmüller moduli space

DEFINITION: Let M be a topological space. We say that $x, y \in M$ are **non-separable** (denoted by $x \sim y$) if for any open sets $V \ni x, U \ni y, U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

THEOREM: (Huybrechts, 2001) Two points $I, I' \in \text{Teich}$ are **non-separable** if and only if there exists a bimeromorphism $(M, I) \rightarrow (M, I')$ which is non-singular in codimension 2 and acts as identity on $H^2(M)$.

REMARK: This is possible only if (M, I) and (M, I') contain a rational curve. **General hyperkähler manifold has no curves;** ones which have belong to a countable union of divisors in Teich .

DEFINITION: The space $\text{Teich}_b := \text{Teich} / \sim$ is called **the birational Teichmüller space** of M .

THEOREM: (V., 2009) **The period map** $\text{Teich}_b \xrightarrow{\text{Per}} \mathbb{P}er$ **is an isomorphism**, for each connected component of Teich_b .

The Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem

DEFINITION: Let M be a hyperkaehler manifold. One says that **the Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem holds for M** if the map

$$\text{Teich} / \Gamma_I \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\text{er} / O^+(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}), q),$$

is bijective, where $O^+(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}), q)$ is a subgroup of $O(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}), q)$ preserving orientation on positive 3-planes. Equivalently, **it is true if M is uniquely determined by its Hodge structure.**

REMARK: “Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem” means that **the Hodge structure on $H^2(M)$ determines an isomorphism class of the manifold.**

REMARK: The Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem **is true for K3 surfaces.** **It is false** for all other known examples of hyperkaehler manifolds.

Obstructions to Hodge-theoretic Torelli:

1. There exist bimeromorphic hyperkähler manifolds which are non-isomorphic, but have the same Hodge structures (Debarre, 1984).
2. **The covering $\text{Teich}_b / \Gamma_I \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\text{er} / O^+(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}), q)$ is non-trivial**, because $\Gamma_I \subsetneq O^+(H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}), q)$ (Namikawa, 2002).

Ergodic complex structures

DEFINITION: Let (M, μ) be a space with measure, and G a group acting on M preserving measure. This action is **ergodic** if all G -invariant measurable subsets $M' \subset M$ satisfy $\mu(M') = 0$ or $\mu(M \setminus M') = 0$.

CLAIM: Let M be a manifold, μ a Lebesgue measure, and G a group acting on M ergodically. **Then the set of non-dense orbits has measure 0.**

Proof. Step 1: Consider a non-empty open subset $U \subset M$. Then $\mu(U) > 0$, hence $M' := G \cdot U$ satisfies $\mu(M \setminus M') = 0$. For any orbit $G \cdot x$ not intersecting U , $x \in M \setminus M'$. Therefore the set Z_U of such orbits has measure 0.

Proof. Step 2: Choose a countable base $\{U_i\}$ of topology on M . Then the set of points in dense orbits is $M \setminus \bigcup_i Z_{U_i}$. ■

DEFINITION: Let M be a complex manifold, Teich its Teichmüller space, and Γ the mapping group acting on Teich. **An ergodic complex structure** is a complex structure with dense Γ -orbit.

CLAIM: Let (M, I) be a manifold with ergodic complex structure, and I' another complex structure. **Then there exists a sequence of diffeomorphisms ν_i such that $\nu_i^*(I)$ converges to I' .**

Ergodicity of the monodromy group action

DEFINITION: A **lattice** in a Lie group is a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$ such that G/Γ has finite volume with respect to Haar measure.

THEOREM: (Calvin C. Moore, 1966) Let Γ be a lattice in a non-compact simple Lie group G with finite center, and $H \subset G$ a non-compact subgroup. **Then the left action of Γ on G/H is ergodic.**

THEOREM: Let $\mathbb{P}er$ be a component of a birational Teichmüller space, and Γ its monodromy group. Let $\mathbb{P}er_e$ be a set of all ergodic $L \subset \mathbb{P}er$. **Then $Z := \mathbb{P}er \setminus \mathbb{P}er_e$ has measure 0.**

Proof. Step 1: Let $G = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)$, $H = SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$. **Then Γ -action on G/H is ergodic,** by Moore's theorem.

Step 2: Ergodic orbits are dense, non-ergodic orbits have measure 0. ■

REMARK: Generic deformation of M has no rational curves, and no non-trivial birational models. Therefore, **outside of a measure zero subset,** $\text{Teich} = \text{Teich}_b$. Then, Moore's theorem implies that **almost all complex structures on M are ergodic.**

Teichmüller space for a compact torus

DEFINITION: Let $\mathbb{Z}^{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a cocompact lattice. Then $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ is a complex manifold, called **a (compact) complex torus**.

REMARK: The space of complex structures on \mathbb{R}^{2n} is naturally identified with $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})/GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

CLAIM: Any connected component of the Teichmüller space for a compact torus is identified with $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})/GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

CLAIM: The action of $GL(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ on $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})/GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is ergodic.

Proof: Indeed, $SL(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $SL(2n, \mathbb{R})/SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ ergodically by Moore's theorem. ■

THEOREM: (V., 2013) Let $M = \mathbb{C}^n/\Lambda$ be a compact torus. **Then M is ergodic if and only if the lattice $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ is rational.**

Its proof uses Ratner theory.

Ratner's theorem

DEFINITION: Let G be a connected Lie group equipped with a Haar measure. **A lattice** $\Gamma \subset G$ is a discrete subgroup of finite covolume (that is, G/Γ has finite volume).

THEOREM: Let $H \subset G$ be a Lie subgroup generated by unipotents, and $\Gamma \subset G$ an arithmetic lattice. Then **the closure of any Γ -orbit $\Gamma \cdot x$ in G/H is an orbit of a Lie subgroup $S \subset G$, such that $S \cap \Gamma^{x^{-1}}$ is a lattice in S .**

EXAMPLE: Let V be a real vector space with a non-degenerate bilinear symmetric form of signature $(3, k)$, $k > 0$, $G := SO^+(V)$ a connected component of the isometry group, $H \subset G$ a subgroup fixing a given positive 2-dimensional plane, $H \cong SO^+(1, k) \times SO(2)$, and $\Gamma \subset G$ an arithmetic lattice. Consider the quotient $\mathbb{P}_{\text{er}} := G/H$. **Then a closure of $\Gamma \cdot J$ in G/H is an orbit of a closed connected Lie group $S \supset H$.**

Characterization of ergodic complex structures

CLAIM: Let $G = SO^+(3, k)$, and $H \cong SO^+(1, k) \times SO(2) \subset G$. Then **any closed connected Lie subgroup $S \subset G$ containing H coincides with G or with H .**

COROLLARY: Let $J \in \mathbb{P}er = G/H$. Then **either J is ergodic, or its Γ -orbit is closed in $\mathbb{P}er$.**

REMARK: By Ratner's theorem, in the latter case the H -orbit of J has finite volume in G/Γ . Therefore, **its intersection with Γ is a lattice in H .** This brings

COROLLARY: Let $J \in \mathbb{P}er$ be a point, such that its Γ -orbit is closed in $\mathbb{P}er$. Consider its stabilizer $St(J) \cong H \subset G$. **Then $St(J) \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in $St(J)$.**

COROLLARY: Let J be a non-ergodic complex structure on a hyperkähler manifold, and $W \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ be a plane generated by $\operatorname{Re} \Omega, \operatorname{Im} \Omega$. **Then W is rational.**

THEOREM: (V., 2013) Let M be a compact torus, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \geq 2$, or a simple hyperkähler manifold. **A complex structure on M is ergodic if and only if $\operatorname{Pic}(M)$ is not of maximal rank.**

Kobayashi hyperbolic manifolds

DEFINITION: An entire curve is a non-constant holomorphic map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$.

DEFINITION: A compact complex manifold M is called **Kobayashi hyperbolic**, if there exist no entire curves $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$.

THEOREM: (Brody, 1975)

Let I_i be a sequence of complex structures on M which are not hyperbolic, and I its limit. Then (M, I) is also not hyperbolic.

THEOREM: (V., 2013) All hyperkähler manifolds are non-hyperbolic.

REMARK: This result would follow if we produce an ergodic complex structure which is non-hyperbolic. Indeed, a closure of its orbit is the whole Teich, and a limit of non-hyperbolic complex structures is non-hyperbolic.

REMARK: For all **known** examples of hyperkähler manifolds, this result was already proven, due to L. Kamenova and M. V.

Twistor spaces and hyperkähler geometry

DEFINITION: A **hyperkähler structure** on a manifold M is a Riemannian structure g and a triple of complex structures I, J, K , satisfying quaternionic relations $I \circ J = -J \circ I = K$, such that g is Kähler for I, J, K .

DEFINITION: Induced complex structures on a hyperkähler manifold are complex structures of form $S^2 \cong \{L := aI + bJ + cK, \quad a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1.\}$

DEFINITION: A **twistor space** $\text{Tw}(M)$ of a hyperkähler manifold is a **complex manifold obtained by gluing these complex structures into a holomorphic family over $\mathbb{C}P^1$** . More formally:

Let $\text{Tw}(M) := M \times S^2$. Consider the complex structure $I_m : T_m M \rightarrow T_m M$ on M induced by $J \in S^2 \subset \mathbb{H}$. Let I_J denote the complex structure on $S^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1$.

The operator $I_{\text{Tw}} = I_m \oplus I_J : T_x \text{Tw}(M) \rightarrow T_x \text{Tw}(M)$ satisfies $I_{\text{Tw}}^2 = -\text{Id}$. **It defines an almost complex structure on $\text{Tw}(M)$** . This almost complex structure is known to be integrable (Obata).

Entire curves in twistor fibers

THEOREM: (F. Campana, 1992)

Let M be a hyperkähler manifold, and $\text{Tw}(M) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}P^1$ its twistor projection.

Then there exists an entire curve in some fiber of π .

CLAIM: There exists a twistor family which has only ergodic fibers.

Proof: There are only countably many complex structures which are not ergodic. ■

THEOREM: All hyperkähler manifolds are non-hyperbolic.

Proof: Let $\text{Tw}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ be a twistor family with all fibers ergodic. **By Campana's theorem, one of these fibers, denoted (M, I) , is non-hyperbolic.** Since any complex structure $I' \in \text{Teich}$ lies in the closure of $\text{Diff}(M) \cdot I$, all complex structures $I' \in \text{Teich}$ are non-hyperbolic. ■