Teichmüller space and Ratner theory, lecture 3: Ergodic complex structures and hyperbolicity

Misha Verbitsky

Hyperbolicity 2015 Holomorphic dynamics school Hyperbolicity in algebraic geometry conference

Ilhabela, 08.01.2015

The lectures are available at:

http://verbit.ru/MATH/TALKS/Ergo/Ilhabela/ (with corrections)

Teichmüller spaces (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let M be a smooth manifold. A complex structure on M is an endomorphism $I \in \text{End } TM$, $I^2 = - \text{Id}_{TM}$ such that the eigenspace bundles of I are **involutive**, that is, satisfy satisfy $[T^{1,0}M, T^{1,0}M] \subset T^{1,0}M$.

REMARK: Let Comp be the space of such tensors equipped with a topology of convergence of all derivatives. **It is a Fréchet manifold**.

REMARK: The diffeomorphism group Diff is a Fréchet Lie group acting on a Fréchet manifold Comp in a natural way.

Definition: Let M be a compact complex manifold, and $\text{Diff}_0(M)$ a connected component of its diffeomorphism group (the group of isotopies). Let Teich := Comp / Diff_0(M). We call it the Teichmüller space.

REMARK: This terminology is **standard for curves**.

Teichmüller spaces (reminder)

DEFINITION: The quotient Comp/Diff = Teich/ Γ is called **the moduli space** of complex structures. It can be **very non-Hausdorff**. Comp/Diff parametrizes the set of equivalence classes of complex structures.

REMARK: The moduli space exists, and is quasiprojective, for curves and projective manifolds with canonical or anticanonical polarization. **Its topology is extremely non-Hausdorff** for complex tori of higher dimension, hyperkähler manifolds, rational surfaces with $b_2 > 10$, and other varieties without a natural polarization.

REMARK: Teich **is a complex space, possibly non-Hausdorff** for a wide class of manifolds, including all Calabi-Yau (F. Catanese).

Holomorphically symplectic manifolds

DEFINITION: A hyperkähler structure on a manifold M is a Riemannian structure g and a triple of complex structures I, J, K, satisfying quaternionic relations $I \circ J = -J \circ I = K$, such that g is Kähler for I, J, K.

REMARK: Let $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$ be the Kähler symplectic forms associated with I, J, K. A hyperkähler manifold is holomorphically symplectic: $\omega_J + \sqrt{-1} \omega_K$ is a holomorphic symplectic form on (M, I). Converse is also true:

THEOREM: (Calabi-Yau) A compact, Kähler, holomorphically symplectic manifold admits a unique hyperkähler metric in any Kähler class.

DEFINITION: A compact hyperkähler manifold M is called **maximal holonomy manifold**, or **simple**, or **IHS** if $\pi_1(M) = 0$, $H^{2,0}(M) = \mathbb{C}$.

Bogomolov's decomposition: (Bogomolov, 1974) Any hyperkähler manifold admits a finite covering which is a product of a torus and several maximal holonomy (simple) hyperkähler manifolds.

Further on, all hyperkähler manifolds are assumed to be of maximal holonomy.

Computation of the mapping class group (reminder)

THEOREM: (Fujiki). Let $\eta \in H^2(M)$, and dim M = 2n, where M is hyperkähler. Then $\int_M \eta^{2n} = cq(\eta, \eta)^n$, for some primitive integer quadratic form q on $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and c > 0 a rational number.

DEFINITION: The form q is called **Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form**. It has signature $(3, b_2 - 3)$.

THEOREM: (Sullivan) Let M be a compact, simply connected Kähler manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \ge 3$. Denote by $\Gamma_0 = \operatorname{Aut}(H^*(M,\mathbb{Z}), p_1, ..., p_n)$ the group of automorphisms of an algebra $H^*(M,\mathbb{Z})$ preserving the Pontryagin classes $p_i(M)$. Then the image of the natural map $\operatorname{Diff}_+(M)/\operatorname{Diff}_0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_0$ has finite index in Γ_0 .

THEOREM: (V., 1996, 2009) Let M be a maximal holonomy hyperkähler manifold, and $\Gamma_0 = \operatorname{Aut}(H^*(M,\mathbb{Z}), p_1, ..., p_n)$. Then (i) $\Gamma_0|_{H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})}$ is a finite index subgroup of $O(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}), q)$. (ii) The map $\Gamma_0 \longrightarrow O(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}), q)$ has finite kernel.

REMARK: Sullivan's theorem implies that the mapping class group for a Kähler manifold M with dim_{$\mathbb{C}} <math>M \ge 3$, $\pi_1(M) = 0$ is an arithmetic group. Contrast that with the mapping class group of a Riemannian surface.</sub>

The period map (reminder)

REMARK: To simplify the language, we redefine Teich and Comp for hyperkähler manifolds, admitting only complex structures of Kähler type. Since the Hodge numbers are constant in families of Kähler manifolds, for any $J \in \text{Teich}$, (M, J) is also a maximal holonomy hyperkähler manifold, hence $H^{2,0}(M, J)$ is one-dimensional.

Definition: Let Per : Teich $\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^2(M,\mathbb{C})$ map J to a line $H^{2,0}(M,J) \in \mathbb{P}H^2(M,\mathbb{C})$. The map Per : Teich $\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^2(M,\mathbb{C})$ is called **the period map**.

REMARK: Per maps Teich into an open subset of a quadric, defined by

$$\mathbb{P}er := \{ l \in \mathbb{P}H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \mid q(l, l) = 0, q(l, \bar{l}) > 0 \}.$$

It is called **the period space** of M.

REMARK: $\mathbb{P}er = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$. Indeed, the group $SO(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}), q) = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}er$, and $SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$ is a stabilizer of a point.

Birational Teichmüller moduli space (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let *M* be a topological space. We say that $x, y \in M$ are **non-separable** (denoted by $x \sim y$) if for any open sets $V \ni x, U \ni y, U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

THEOREM: (Huybrechts, 2001) Two points $I, I' \in$ Teich are non-separable if and only if there exists a bimeromorphism $(M, I) \longrightarrow (M, I')$ which is non-singular in codimension 2 and acts as identity on $H^2(M)$.

REMARK: This is possible only if (M, I) and (M, I') contain a rational curve. **General hyperkähler manifold has no curves;** ones which have belong to a countable union of divisors in Teich.

DEFINITION: The space Teich_b := Teich / \sim is called **the birational Te**ichmüller space of M.

THEOREM: (V., 2009) **The period map** Teich_b $\xrightarrow{\text{Per}}$ Per is a diffeomorphism, for each connected component of Teich_b.

The Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem

DEFINITION: Let M be a hyperkaehler manifold. One says that the Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem holds for M if the map

Teich $/\Gamma_I \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}er / O^+(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q),$

is bijective, where $O^+(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$ is a subgroup of $O(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$ preserving orientation on positive 3-planes. Equivalently, it is true if M is uniquely determined by its Hodge structure.

REMARK: "Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem" means that the Hodge structure on $H^2(M)$ determines an isomorphism class of the manifold.

REMARK: The Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem is true for K3 surfaces. It is false for all other known examples of hyperkaehler manifolds.

Obstructions to Hodge-theoretic Torelli:

1. There exist bimeromorphic hyperkähler manifolds which are non-isomorphic, but have the same Hodge structures (Debarre, 1984).

2. The covering Teich_b/ $\Gamma_I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}er/O^+(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$ is non-trivial, because $\Gamma_I \subsetneq O^+(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$ (Namikawa, 2002).

Ergodic complex structures (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let (M, μ) be a space with measure, and G a group acting on M preserving measure. This action is **ergodic** if all G-invariant measurable subsets $M' \subset M$ satisfy $\mu(M') = 0$ or $\mu(M \setminus M') = 0$.

CLAIM: Let M be a manifold, μ a Lebesgue measure, and G a group acting on M ergodically. Then the set of non-dense orbits has measure 0.

DEFINITION: Let M be a complex manifold, Teich its Techmüller space, and Γ the mapping group acting on Teich. **An ergodic complex structure** is a complex structure with dense Γ -orbit.

CLAIM: Let (M, I) be a manifold with ergodic complex structure, and I' another complex structure. Then there exists a sequence of diffeomorphisms ν_i such that $\nu_i^*(I)$ converges to I'.

Ergodicity of the monodromy group action (reminder)

DEFINITION: A lattice in a Lie group is a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$ such that G/Γ has finite volume with respect to Haar measure.

THEOREM: (Calvin C. Moore, 1966) Let Γ be a lattice in a non-compact simple Lie group G with finite center, and $H \subset G$ a non-compact subgroup. **Then the left action of** Γ **on** G/H **is ergodic.**

THEOREM: Let \mathbb{P} er be a component of a birational Teichmüller space, Γ its monodromy group, and \mathbb{P} er_e be a set of all ergodic points $L \subset \mathbb{P}$ er. Then $Z := \mathbb{P}$ er \ \mathbb{P} er_e has measure 0.

Proof. Step 1: Let $G = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)$, $H = SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$. Then **Γ-action on** G/H is ergodic, by Moore's theorem.

Step 2: Ergodic orbits are dense, non-ergodic orbits have measure 0. ■

REMARK: Generic deformation of M has no rational curves, and no nontrivial birational models. Therefore, **outside of a measure zero subset**, Teich = Teich_b. Then, Moore's theorem implies that **almost all complex structures on** M **are ergodic**.

Ratner's theorem (reminder)

DEFINITION: Let G be a connected Lie group equipped with a Haar measure. A lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ is a discrete subgroup of finite covolume (that is, G/Γ has finite volume).

THEOREM: Let $H \subset G$ be a Lie subroup generated by unipotents, and $\Gamma \subset G$ an arithmetic lattice. Then the closure of any Γ -orbit $\Gamma \cdot x$ in G/H is an orbit of a Lie subgroup $S \subset G$, such that $S \cap \Gamma^{x^{-1}}$ is a lattice in S.

EXAMPLE: Let *V* be a real vector space with a non-degenerate bilinear symmetric form of signature (3, k), k > 0, $G := SO^+(V)$ a connected component of the isometry group, $H \subset G$ a subgroup fixing a given positive 2-dimensional plane, $H \cong SO^+(1, k) \times SO(2)$, and $\Gamma \subset G$ an arithmetic lattice. Consider the quotient \mathbb{P} er := G/H. Then a closure of $\Gamma \cdot J$ in G/H is an orbit of a closed connected Lie group $S \supset H$.

Characterization of ergodic complex structures

CLAIM: Let $G = SO^+(3,k)$, and $H \cong SO^+(1,k) \times SO(2) \subset G$. Then any closed connected Lie subgroup $S \subset G$ containing H coincides with G or with H.

COROLLARY: Let $J \in \mathbb{P}$ er = G/H. Then either J is ergodic, or its Γ -orbit is closed in \mathbb{P} er.

REMARK: By Ratner's theorem, in the latter case the *H*-orbit of *J* has finite volume in G/Γ . Therefore, **its intersection with** Γ **is a lattice in** *H*. This brings

COROLLARY: Let $J \in \mathbb{P}$ er be a point, such that its Γ -orbit is closed in \mathbb{P} er. Consider its stabilizer $St(J) \cong H \subset G$. Then $St(J) \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in St(J).

COROLLARY: Let *J* be a non-ergodic complex structure on a hyperkähler manifold, and $W \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ be a plane generated by $\operatorname{Re}\Omega, \operatorname{Im}\Omega$. Then *W* is rational.

THEOREM: (V., 2013) Let M be a compact torus, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \ge 2$, or a maximal holonomy hyperkähler manifold. A complex structure on M is ergodic if and only if Pic(M) is not of maximal rank.

Kobayashi hyperbolic manifolds

DEFINITION: An entire curve is a non-constant holomorphic map $\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow M$.

DEFINITION: A compact complex manifold M is called **Kobayashi hyper-bolic**, if there exist no entire curves $\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow M$.

THEOREM: (Brody, 1975)

Let I_i be a sequence of complex structures on M which are not hyperbolic, and I its limit. Then (M, I) is also not hyperbolic.

THEOREM: (V., 2013) **All hyperkähler manifolds are non-hyperbolic.**

REMARK: This result would follow if we produce an ergodic complex structure which is non-hyperbolic. Indeed, a closure of its orbit is the whole Teich, and a limit of non-hyperbolic complex structures is non-hyperbolic.

REMARK: For all **known** examples of hyperkähler manifolds, this result was already proven, due to L. Kamenova and M. V.

Twistor spaces and hyperkähler geometry

DEFINITION: A hyperkähler structure on a manifold M is a Riemannian structure g and a triple of complex structures I, J, K, satisfying quaternionic relations $I \circ J = -J \circ I = K$, such that g is Kähler for I, J, K.

DEFINITION: Induced complex structures on a hyperkähler manifold are complex structures of form $S^2 \cong \{L := aI + bJ + cK, a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1.\}$

DEFINITION: A twistor space Tw(M) of a hyperkähler manifold is a complex manifold obtained by gluing these complex structures into a holomorphic family over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. More formally:

Let $\mathsf{Tw}(M) := M \times S^2$. Consider the complex structure $I_m : T_m M \to T_m M$ on M induced by $J \in S^2 \subset \mathbb{H}$. Let I_J denote the complex structure on $S^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1$.

The operator $I_{\mathsf{TW}} = I_m \oplus I_J : T_x \mathsf{Tw}(M) \to T_x \mathsf{Tw}(M)$ satisfies $I^2_{\mathsf{TW}} = -\operatorname{Id}$. **It defines an almost complex structure on** $\mathsf{Tw}(M)$. This almost complex structure is known to be integrable (Obata).

Entire curves in twistor fibers

THEOREM: (F. Campana, 1992)

Let *M* be a hyperkähler manifold, and $Tw(M) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}P^1$ its twistor projection. Then there exists an entire curve in some fiber of π .

CLAIM: There exists a twistor family which has only ergodic fibers.

Proof: There are only countably many complex structures which are not ergodic, and **twistor lines cover whole of** Teich **freely**, that is, avoiding any given point of Teich. ■

THEOREM: All hyperkähler manifolds are non-hyperbolic.

Proof: Let $Tw(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ be a twistor family with all fibers ergodic. By Campana's theorem, one of these fibers, denoted (M, I), is non-hyperbolic. Since any complex structure $I' \in T$ eich lies in the closure of $Diff(M) \cdot I$, all complex structures $I' \in T$ eich are non-hyperbolic.

Exercises for Lecture 2

EXERCISE: Let Symp be the set of all symplectic structures on a symplectic manifold, and Teich_s = Symp / Diff₀. Consider the period map Per_s : Teich_s $\longrightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. **Prove that** Per_s **is locally a diffeomorphism.**

EXERCISE: Let Hyp be the set of all hyperkäher structures (I, J, K, g) on a symplectic manifold, and Teich_h = Hyp/Diff₀. Consider the period map Per_h : Teich_h $\longrightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{R})^3$ mapping (I, J, K, g) to the cohomology classes of $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$. Prove that Per_h is locally a diffeomorphism from Teich_h to the set of pairwise orthogonal triples $x, y, z \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying q(x, x) = q(y, y) = q(z, z) > 0.

EXERCISE: Show that Teich_h / Γ is Hausdorff.

Exercises for Lecture 3

EXERCISE: Let R be a group of all complex automorphisms of a hyperkähler manifold acting trivially on $H^2(M)$. Prove that R is compact.

EXERCISE: Let (M, I) be a complex manifold of hyperkähler type, and $P \in \Gamma$ stabilizer of a point $Per(I) \in Teich$ in Γ . Construct a homomorphism $Aut(M, I) \longrightarrow P$ and prove that it has finite kernel.

EXERCISE: Let (M, I) be a hyperkähler manifold with $H^{1,1}(M, Z) = 0$. **Prove that** Aut(M, I) **contains an abelian group** T **of finite index.**

EXERCISE: Let (M, I_t) be a continuous family of compact complex manifolds, and D_t diameter of Kobayashi metric on (M, I_t) . **Prove that** D_t is semicontinuous as a function of t.