# **Octonions and** *G*<sub>2</sub>**-manifolds**

Misha Verbitsky

IMPA, Estruturas geométricas em variedades, March 21, 2024

## Holonomy group

**DEFINITION:** (Cartan, 1923) Let  $(B, \nabla)$  be a vector bundle with connection over M. For each loop  $\gamma$  based in  $x \in M$ , let  $V_{\gamma,\nabla}$ :  $B|_x \rightarrow B|_x$  be the corresponding parallel transport along the connection. The holonomy group of  $(B, \nabla)$  is a group generated by  $V_{\gamma,\nabla}$ , for all loops  $\gamma$ . If one takes all contractible loops instead,  $V_{\gamma,\nabla}$  generates the local holonomy, or the restricted holonomy group.

**REMARK:** A bundle is flat (has vanishing curvature) if and only if its restricted holonomy vanishes. Indeed, the connected component of the holonomy group of a bundle  $(B, \nabla)$  is a Lie group, with the Lie algebra generated by all curvature elements of M transported to a given point by the connection (Ambrose, Singer).

**REMARK:** If  $\nabla(\varphi) = 0$  for some tensor  $\varphi \in B^{\otimes i} \otimes (B^*)^{\otimes j}$ , the holonomy group preserves  $\varphi$ .

**DEFINITION: Holonomy of a Riemannian manifold** is holonomy of its Levi-Civita connection.

**EXAMPLE:** Holonomy of a Riemannian manifold lies in  $O(T_x M, g|_x) = O(n)$ .

**EXAMPLE:** Holonomy of a Kähler manifold lies in  $U(T_xM, g|_x, I|_x) = U(n)$ .

# The Berger's list

# **THEOREM:** (Berger's theorem, 1955)

Let G be an irreducible holonomy group of a Riemannian manifold which is not locally symmetric. Then G belongs to the Berger's list:

Berger's list	
Holonomy	Geometry
$SO(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^n$	Riemannian manifolds
$U(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$	Kähler manifolds
$SU(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , $n>2$	Calabi-Yau manifolds
$Sp(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$	hyperkähler manifolds
$Sp(n)  imes Sp(1)/\{\pm 1\}$	quaternionic-Kähler
acting on $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ , $n>1$	manifolds
$G_2$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^7$	G <sub>2</sub> -manifolds
$Spin(7)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^8$	Spin(7)-manifolds

**REMARK:** If the holonomy group is not irreducible, the manifold *M* locally splits onto a product of Riemannian manifolds with irreducible holonomy (de Rham). This splitting is global, if *M* is complete and simply connected.

# $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ acting on $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with an open orbit

**THEOREM:** Consider the action of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  on  $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This action has open orbit in the following cases: when k = 0, 1, 2, n-2, n-1, n and when k = 3, n-3 and n = 6, 7, 8.

**Proof for some special cases:** It is clear that  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -action has an open orbit when k = 0, 1, 2, n-2, n-1, n: non-degenerate 2-forms are all conjugate, and non-degeneracy is an open condition. In the case k = 3, n-3 and n > 8 and  $k > 3, n \ge 8$ , the  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -action cannot have an open orbit, which follows from a dimension count.

Existence of an open orbit for n = 6,7,8 and k = 3 is a non-trivial exercise, especially when n = 8 (G. B. Gurevich, "Classification of trivectors of rank eight," Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 2 (1935), 353-355.) Today we focus on n = 7, k = 3, and prove the existence of an open orbit.

The Fano projective plane

CLAIM:  $PGL(\mathbb{F}_2, 2) = \mathbb{Z}/3$ .

**Proof:** Indeed,  $GL(\mathbb{F}_2, 2)$  has cardinality 6 (there are 3 choices for an image of the first vector of a basis, 2 for the second), hence  $PGL(\mathbb{F}_2, 2) = GL(\mathbb{F}_2, 2)/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$  has cardinality 3.

**REMARK:** This implies that all lines on  $\mathbb{F}_2\mathbb{P}^n$  have circle ordering.

**DEFINITION:** The Fano projective plane is  $\mathbb{F}_2\mathbb{P}^2$ , equipped with the  $PGL(\mathbb{F}_2, 3)$ -action. The lines (each of which has 3 points) are circle oriented, and the  $PGL(\mathbb{F}_2, 3)$  preserves the orientation:



**PROPOSITION:** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$ . Choose the basis  $v_1, ..., v_7 \in V$ , and let  $v_{ijk} := v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_k \in \Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$ . Consider the form

 $\eta := v_{125} + v_{345} - v_{136} + v_{246} + v_{147} + v_{237} + v_{567},$ 

and let  $PGL(\mathbb{F}_2,3)$  act on  $v_i$  as on the vertices of the Fano plane. Then  $PGL(\mathbb{F}_2,3)$  preserves  $\eta$ .

**Proof:** Indeed, the lines of the Fano plane correspond to the monomials terms in  $\eta$ , and their sign to the circle orientation.

# 3-forms on $\mathbb{R}^7$ and scalar product

**THEOREM:** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$ . The form  $\eta \in \Lambda^3(V)$  defines the following scalar  $\Lambda^7(V)$ -valued product on  $V^*$ :  $g_\eta(x, y) = i_x \eta \wedge i_y \eta \wedge \eta$ . Consider the form

 $\eta := v_{125} + v_{345} - v_{136} + v_{246} + v_{147} + v_{237} + v_{567}$ 

defined as above. Then the corresponding scalar product  $g_{\eta}$  is can be written as  $g_{\eta}(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij} \operatorname{Vol}_V$ , where  $e_i$  is the dual basis in  $V^*$ . **Proof:** The group of  $PGL(\mathbb{F}_2, 3)$  automorphisms of the Fano projective plane  $\mathbb{F}_2\mathbb{P}^2$  acts transitively on  $\{v_1, ..., v_7\}$ , preserving this form, and acts transitively on the set of pairs  $v_i \neq v_j$ , hence it suffices to check that  $g_{\eta}(v_1, v_1) = 1$  and  $g_{\eta}(v_1, v_2) = 0$ . This is a trivial calculation.

**REMARK:** Since  $\eta \in \text{Sym}^2(V) \otimes \Lambda^7(V)$ , its determinant  $\det(g_\eta)$  is an element in  $\Lambda^7(V)^{\otimes 2} \otimes (\Lambda^7(V)^{\otimes 7} = (\Lambda^7(V)^{\otimes 9})$ . Then  $\sqrt[9]{\det(g_\eta)}$  is a volume form on V. Denote this volume form by  $\text{Vol}_{\eta}$ . Then  $\frac{g_{\eta}}{\text{Vol}_{\eta}}$  is non-degenerate scalar product on  $V^*$ . Denote by g the dual scalar product on V; **this scalar product is positive definite and unambiguously determined by**  $\eta$ , hence preserved by its stabilizer  $G_{\eta} \subset GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ .

**COROLLARY:** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$ ,  $\eta$  the 3-form defined above, and  $G_\eta \subset GL(7, \mathbb{R})$  its stabilizer. Then  $G_\eta$  is compact, and preserves a Euclidean form g unambiguously determined by  $\rho$ .

#### **Vector product**

**DEFINITION:** Let *V* be a vector space equipped with a non-degenerate scalar product *g* and a 3-form  $\eta \in \Lambda^3(V^*)$ . Define **the vector product**  $V \times V \rightarrow V$  as  $x, y \mapsto g^{-1}(i_y i_x(\eta))$ , where  $g^{-1} : V^* \rightarrow V$  is the natural isomorphism induced by *g*.

**CLAIM:** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$  and  $\eta \in \Lambda^3(V^*)$  the 3-form defined above. Then the vector product  $v_i \times v_j$  is  $\pm v_k$ , where  $v_k$  is the third node on the line connecting  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  on the Fano plane, and the sign is determined by the orientation.

**Proof:**  $i_{v_i}i_{v_j}\eta = v_k^*$ , where  $\{v_i^*\}$  is the dual basis.

#### The octonions

**REMARK:** From now on, we use g to identify V and V\*, and consider  $\eta$  as an element of  $\Lambda^3(V) = \Lambda^3(V^*)$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$  and  $\eta \in \Lambda^3(V)$  the 3-form defined above. In these assumptions, we define **the octonion algebra** as  $\mathbb{O} := \mathbb{R} \cdot 1 \oplus V$ , with  $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} = V$  ("the imaginary octonions") and  $\operatorname{Re} \mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}$  ("the real octonions"), and the octonion product defined as follows: for any real octonion  $\alpha$  and any octonion  $\beta$ , we have  $\alpha \cdot \beta = \alpha \beta$ , and for two imaginary octonions u, v, the product  $u \cdot v := -g(u, v) \cdot 1 + u \times v$ , where  $\times$  is the vector product on V.

**REMARK:** For three basis vectors  $v_i, v_j, v_k$  such that  $v_i \times v_j = v_k$ , the algebra  $\mathbb{R}1 \oplus \langle v_i, v_j, v_k \rangle$  is isomorphic to quaternions.

**REMARK: The octonion algebra is non-associative!** However, it is a division algebra, as we shall see today.

**REMARK:** Frobenius (1877) proved that any division algebra over reals is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$  or  $\mathbb{O}$ .

# The group $G_2$ and octonions

**DEFINITION:** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$  and  $\eta \in \Lambda^3(V)$  the 3-form defined above. **Define**  $G_2 \subset GL(7,\mathbb{R})$  as the group of automorphisms of V preserving  $\eta$ ; in other words,  $G_2 = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$ .

# **Proposition 1:** dim<sub> $\mathbb{R}$ </sub> $G_2 \leq 14$ .

**Proof:** Take the standard basis  $\{v_i\} \subset V$ , and let  $\varphi \in G_2$ . By definition, the action of  $\varphi$  on V is compatible with the vector product. The space  $\langle v_1, v_2, v_5 \rangle$  is isomorphic to imaginary quaternions with the standard vector product. Then  $\varphi(v_1)$  belongs to a unit sphere  $S(V) \cong S^6$ , and  $\varphi(v_2)$  in  $\varphi(v_1)^{\perp} \cap S(V) = S^5$ . The image of  $v_5 = v_1 \times v_2$  is determined uniquely via  $\varphi(v_5) = \varphi(v_1) \times \varphi(v_2)$ . The image of  $v_3$  belongs to  $\langle \varphi(v_1), \varphi(v_2), \varphi(v_5) \rangle^{\perp} \cap S(V) \cong S^3$ , which is 3-dimensional, and the vector products of  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  generate the rest of  $v_i$ , hence  $\varphi$  is uniquely determined by  $(\varphi(v_1), \varphi(v_2), \varphi(v_3)) \in S^6 \times S^5 \times S^3$ , which is 14-dimensional.

**REMARK:** In the next slide, we prove that  $\dim G_2 = 14$ .

The orbit of  $\eta$  in  $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$  is open

# **THEOREM:** $GL(7,\mathbb{R})$ acts on $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$ with an open orbit.

**Proof.** Step 1: Let  $\eta \in \Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$  be the 3-form defined above, and  $G_\eta \subset GL(7,\mathbb{R})$  its stabilizer. Sinc dim  $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7) = 35$ , dim  $GL(7,\mathbb{R}) = 49$ , the dimension of an orbit  $GL(7,\mathbb{R})\cdot\eta$  is  $49-\dim G \leq 35$ , which gives dim  $G \geq 49-35 = 14$ , with equality occuring when the orbit is open.

**Step 2:** dim  $G \leq 49 - 35 = 14$  (Proposition 1).

**COROLLARY:** dim  $G_2 = 14$ .

**COROLLARY:** The group  $G_2$  acts transitively on the unit sphere  $S^6 \subset V$ .

**Proof.** Step 1: We start by proving that the orbit  $G_2 \cdot v_1 \subset S^6$  is 6-dimensional. Consider the standard 3-form  $\eta \in \Lambda^3(V)$ , where  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$ . An element  $\varphi \in G_2$  is determined by the image of  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ ,  $v_3$ , with  $\varphi(v_2) \in S^6 \cap \varphi(v_1)^{\perp} = S^5$  and  $\varphi(v_2) \in S^6 \cap \langle \varphi(v_1), \varphi(v_2), \varphi(v_5) \rangle^{\perp} = S^3$ . Therefore,  $14 = \dim G_2 \leq \dim G_2 \cdot v_1 + 5 + 3$ , which implies that  $6 = 14 - 8 \leq \dim G_2 \cdot v_1$ .

**Step 2:** Since the orbit is a homogeneous space, it is actually a 6-dimensional submanifold in  $S^6$ . However,  $G_2$  is compact, hence any its orbit is also compact. A compact 6-dimensional submanifold of  $S^6$  is  $S^6$  itself.

#### **Octonions are left alternative algebra**

**DEFINITION:** We say that a non-associative algebra is left alternative if it satisfies x(xy) = (xx)y for all x, y. This property is a weakened form of associativity.

**CLAIM:** The octonion algebra is left alternative. In particular, for any  $x \in \text{Im } \mathbb{O}$  and any  $y \in \mathbb{O}$ , we have  $x^2 = -|x|^2$ , and  $(x(xy)) = -|x|^2y$ .

**Proof. Step 1: Left alternativity is implied by the second assertion.** Indeed, if  $\lambda \in \text{Re}\mathbb{O}$ , we have  $(\lambda + x)(\lambda + x)y = (xx)y + \lambda xy + \lambda^2 y$  and  $(\lambda + x)((\lambda + x)y) = x(xy) + \lambda xy + \lambda^2 y$ .

**Step 2:** Since  $G_2$  acts transitively on the sphere and commutes with octonion product, it suffices to show this statement when  $x = v_1$ . In this case,  $v_1(v_1v_i) = (v_1^2)v_i = -v_i$ , as follows from the multiplication table on the basis vectors encoded by the Fano plane.

# Octonions are division algebra

**DEFINITION:** Given  $x \in \mathbb{O}$ , define  $\overline{x} := \operatorname{Re} x - \operatorname{Im} x$ . This operation is called **conjugation**, or **octonion conjugation**.

**CLAIM:** Similarly to quaternions, the octonion conjugation has the following properties:  $\overline{x \cdot y} = \overline{y} \cdot \overline{x}$  and  $x\overline{x} = |x|^2$ .

**Proof:** It suffices to check  $\overline{(xy)} = \overline{y} \cdot \overline{x}$  when  $x, y \in \text{Im } \mathbb{O}$ , where it follows because  $a \times b = -b \times a$ . The second assertion is implied by  $v_i \times v_j = -v_j \times v_i$ , hence

$$\left(\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i v_i\right) \left(\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i v_i\right) = \lambda^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{7} |a_i|^2 v_i^2 = \lambda^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{7} |a_i|^2$$

**THEOREM: The octonion algebra**  $\bigcirc$  **is a division algebra** (that is,  $\bigcirc$  has no zero divisors).

**Proof. Step 1:** From left alternativity **it follows immediately that**  $\overline{x}(xy) = (\overline{x}x)y) = |x|^2 y$ . Indeed, let x = a + b, while  $a = \operatorname{Re} x$  and  $b = \operatorname{Im} x$ , Then  $\overline{x}(xy) = (a-b)((a+b)y) = aby - bay + a^2y - b^2y = (|a|^2 + |b|^2)y$ .

**Step 2:** if xy = 0, then  $\overline{x}(xy) = (\overline{x}x)y = |x|^2y = 0$ , hence either x = 0 or y = 0.

# $G_2$ acts transitively on pairs of vectors

**PROPOSITION:** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^7$  be the space with standard action of  $G_2$ . Then  $G_2$  acts transitively on the set X pairs (x, y) of orthogonal vectors with |x| = |y| = 1.

**Proof:** Clearly, X is 11-dimensional. Since  $G_2$  is compact, it suffices to show that the orbits  $G_2 \cdot (v_1, v_2)$  of  $G_2$ -action on X are also 11-dimensional.

**Step 2:** Any element  $\varphi \in G_2$  is determined by  $\varphi(v_1), \varphi(v_2), \varphi(v_3)$ ; if  $\varphi(v_1) = v_1, \varphi(v_2) = v_2$ , then  $\varphi(v_3)$  is a point on the 3-sphere  $S^6 \cap \langle \varphi(v_1), \varphi(v_2), \varphi(v_5) \rangle^{\perp}$ , hence the dimension of the stabilizer of the pair  $(v_1, v_2) \in X$  is at most 3-dimensional, and the orbit is at least 11-dimensional.

**COROLLARY:** Any two non-collinear imaginary octonions generate a quaternion subalgebra  $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{O}$ .

#### **Stable 3-forms on 7-manifolds**

**DEFINITION:** A 3-form on  $\mathbb{R}^7$  is **stable** if its stabilizer in  $GL(7,\mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to  $G_2$ , Let  $\eta \in \Lambda^3(M)$  be a 3-form on a 7-manifold M. The form  $\eta$  is called **stable** if the stabilizer of  $\eta|_{T_xM}$  is isomorphic to  $G_2$  for each  $x \in M$ .

**REMARK:** This is an open property: a small deformation of a stable form  $\rho$  is stable. Indeed, the  $GL(7,\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of  $\rho$  is open, and all forms in this orbit are also stable.

**REMARK:** Any stable 3-form  $\rho$  on M defines a  $\Lambda^7(M)$ -valued metric  $g_\rho(x,y) := i_x \rho \wedge i_y \rho \wedge \rho$  on TM, and after the natural trivialization of the line bundle  $\Lambda^7(M)$ , it defines the Riemannianmetric g on M.

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\rho \in \Lambda^3(M)$  be a stable 3-form. The pair  $(M, \rho)$  is called a holonomy  $G_2$ -manifold if  $\nabla(\rho) = 0$  where  $\nabla$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection.

**EXAMPLE: A 7-torus with the standard 3-form** is a holonomy  $G_2$ -manifold, because  $\rho$  is invariant under the parallel transport, hence the connection form is constant, which implies that  $\nabla(\rho) = 0$ .

M. Verbitsky

# $G_2$ and SU(3)

**PROPOSITION:** Let  $(V, \eta)$  be a 7-dimensional vector space with the standard 3-form, and  $x \in V$  a non-zero vector. Then the stabilizer of x in  $G_2$  is isomorphic to SU(3).

**Proof.** Step 1: Let  $G_x$  be the stabilizer of x in  $G_2$ . Then  $G_x \,\subset O(x^{\perp})$ . Also,  $G_x$  preserves the complex structure  $I(v) := x \times v$ , where  $\times$  is the vector product on V, hence  $G_x \subset U(3)$ . Since dim  $G_x = \dim G_2 - \dim S^6 = 8$ , and dim U(3) = 9, it is a codimension 1 subgroup. It is not hard to prove that U(3) contains only one connected codimension 1 subgroup, using the Lie algebras, but we will use a more explicit argument.

Step 2: Consider the 3-form  $\eta|_{x^{\perp}}$ . If we prove that this form is non-zero and of Hodge type (3,0)+(0,3), we will obtain that  $G_x \subset SU(3)$ , and the equality  $G_x = SU(3)$  follows because dim  $G_x = \dim SU(3)$ .

Step 3:  $\rho|_{x^{\perp}} \neq 0$  because for  $x = v_1$  it is equal to  $v_{345} + v_{246} + v_{237} + v_{567}$ .

**Step 4:** The following 3 vectors in  $v_1^{\perp}$  have type (1,0):  $z_1 = v_2 + \sqrt{-1}v_5, z_2 = v_4 + \sqrt{-1}v_7, z_3 = v_6 + \sqrt{-1}v_3$ , hence the real part of the (3,0)-vector is  $v_{246} - v_{273} - v_{543} - v_{657} = v_{246} + v_{237} + v_{345} + v_{567}$ .

# G<sub>2</sub>-manifolds and Calabi-Yau manifolds

**COROLLARY:** Let (W, I) be a 6-dimensional vector space, I a complex structure operator,  $z_1 = v_2 + \sqrt{-1} v_5$ ,  $z_2 = v_4 + \sqrt{-1} v_7$ ,  $z_3 = v_6 + \sqrt{-1} v_3$  the basis in  $W^{1,0}$ , and  $\Omega := z_1 \wedge z_2 \wedge z_3$ . Denote by  $\omega$  the standard Hermitian form on W,  $\omega = v_2 \wedge v_5 + v_4 \wedge v_7 + v_6 \wedge v_3$ . Consider the space  $V := \mathbb{R} \cdot t \oplus W$ , and let  $\rho := \operatorname{Re} \Omega + t \wedge \omega$ . Then  $\rho$  is a stable 3-form.

**DEFINITION: A Calabi-Yau manifold** is a Riemannian 6-manifold with holonomy group in SU(3). In other words, a manifold  $(X, I, g, \Omega)$  is Calabi-Yau if *I* is a parallel almost complex structure, and  $\Omega$  a parallel, non-zero 3-form.

**COROLLARY:** Let  $(X, I, g, \Omega)$  be an almost complex Hermitian 6-manifold equipped with a (3, 0)-form  $\Omega$  which satisfies  $|\operatorname{Re} \Omega| = 4$ . Consider the manifold  $M = X \times I$ , where I is a 1-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold with dt unit 1-form, and let  $\rho := \omega \wedge dt + \operatorname{Re} \Omega \in \Lambda^3 M$ . Then  $\rho$  is stable, and the corresponding Riemannian form  $g \in \operatorname{Sym}^2 T^*M$  is the product form. Moreover,  $(M, \rho)$  is a holonomy  $G_2$ -manifold if and only if  $(X, I, g, \Omega)$  is Calabi-Yau. **Proof:** By Corollary 2,  $\rho$  is a stable 3-form, which is parallel with respect to Levi-Civita, because the forms  $\omega$  and Re $\Omega$  are parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita.

For further reading, see "Stable forms and special metrics" (Nigel Hitchin, https: //arxiv.org/abs/math/0107101), "The Octonions" (John C. Baez, https:// math.ucr.edu/home/baez/octonions/octonions.html), and "Riemannian Holonomy Groups and Calibrated Geometry" by Dominic Joyce (Oxford Graduate Texts in Math).