

Kuga-Satake map for arbitrary dimension

Misha Verbitsky

Orcay, December 4, 2018

Séminaire Arithmétique et Géométrie Algébrique

Joint work with Nikon Kurnosov and Andrei Soldatenkov

Plan:

1. Hodge structures. Kuga-Satake construction for Hodge structures of K3 type.
2. Hyperkähler manifolds. Multi-dimensional Kuga-Satake construction: the main result.
3. Motivation: generalized BBF pairing.
4. Supersymmetry in hyperkähler geometry. Lefschetz triples in Frobenius algebras. Explicit computation of the algebra \mathfrak{g} generated by Lefschetz triples for a hyperkahler manifold.

Hodge structures

DEFINITION: Let $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real vector space. **A (real) Hodge structure of weight w** on a vector space $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a decomposition $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=w} V^{p,q}$, satisfying $\overline{V^{p,q}} = V^{q,p}$. It is called **integer Hodge structure** if one fixes an integer lattice $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ or $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $V_{\mathbb{R}} = V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ or $V_{\mathbb{R}} = V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. A Hodge structure is equipped with $U(1)$ -action, with $u \in U(1)$ acting as u^{p-q} on $V^{p,q}$. **Morphism** of integer Hodge structures is a map which is $U(1)$ -invariant and preserves the lattice.

DEFINITION: Polarization on a Hodge structure of weight w is a $U(1)$ -invariant non-degenerate 2-form $h \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}^* \otimes V_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ (symmetric or antisymmetric depending on parity of w) satisfying $-(\sqrt{-1})^{p-q} h(x, \bar{x}) > 0$ for each non-zero $x \in V^{p,q}$.

DEFINITION: Period space of (polarized or not) Hodge structures with the space of all decompositions $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=w} V^{p,q}$ such that the above conditions are satisfied.

REMARK: The period space for (polarized) Hodge structures is again a complex manifold.

Hodge structures and homogeneous spaces

EXAMPLE: The **Hodge structure of K3 type** is a Hodge structure $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=2 \\ p,q \geq 0}} V^{p,q}$ of weight 2 with $\dim V^{2,0} = 1$.

REMARK: The period space of polarized Hodge structures of K3 type is identified with the quadric of lines $Q := \{l \in \mathbb{P}V_{\mathbb{C}} \mid h(l, l) = 0, h(l, \bar{l}) \geq 0\}$.

THEOREM: (Kuga-Satake)

Let Q be the space of polarized Hodge structures of K3 type on (W, h) . Then **there exists a vector space V equipped with $SO(W)$ -action and an $SO(W)$ -equivariant embedding from Q to the space of polarized Hodge structures of weight 1 on V .**

Kuga-Satake embedding and Clifford modules

THEOREM: (Kuga-Satake) Let Q be the space of polarized Hodge structures of K3 type on (W, h) . Then **there exists a vector space V equipped with $SO(W)$ -action and an $SO(W)$ -equivariant embedding from Q to the space of polarized Hodge structures of weight 1 on V .**

Proof. Step 1: For any Hodge structure of K3 type, the corresponding action of $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ is generated by a skew-symmetric matrix μ of rank 2, acting trivially on the orthogonal complement to a 2-dimensional plane $l = \langle \operatorname{Re} \Theta, \operatorname{Im} \Theta \rangle$, where Θ is a generator of $V^{2,0}$, and acting as $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on l .

Step 2: Let $\mathcal{C}\ell(W)$ be the Clifford algebra of W , and V a space with $\mathcal{C}\ell(W)$ -action (such a space is called **a Clifford module**). Using the standard embedding $\mathfrak{so}(W) \subset \mathcal{C}\ell(W)$, we can consider μ as an element of $\mathcal{C}\ell(W)$. Then $\mu^2 = -1$ in the Clifford algebra, and this gives a complex structure on V . ■

REMARK: Kuga and Satake were interested in **constructing an embedding of the symmetric spaces** associated with polarized Hodge structures of weight 1 and of K3 type.

Hyperkähler manifolds

DEFINITION: (E. Calabi, 1978)

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold equipped with three complex structure operators $I, J, K : TM \rightarrow TM$, satisfying the quaternionic relation

$$I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -\text{Id}.$$

Suppose that I, J, K are Kähler. Then (M, I, J, K, g) is called **hyperkähler**.

REMARK: A hyperkähler manifold M is equipped with 3 symplectic forms $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$. The form $\Omega := \omega_J + \sqrt{-1} \omega_K$ **is a holomorphic symplectic 2-form on (M, I)** . ■

THEOREM: (Calabi-Yau) Let M be a compact, holomorphically symplectic Kähler manifold. Then M **admits a hyperkähler metric**, which is uniquely determined by the cohomology class of its Kähler form ω_I .

Hyperkähler geometry is essentially the same as holomorphic symplectic geometry

Kuga-Satake construction in arbitrary dimension

REMARK: Let M be a hyperkahler manifold Kuga-Satake construction **gives an embedding from $H^2(M)$ to the second cohomology of a torus, compatible with the Hodge structure.** Indeed, W is embedded to $\Lambda^2(V)$, where V is a $\mathcal{C}l(W)$ -module.

THEOREM: For any hyperkahler manifold M of complex dimension n , **there exists a compact, complex torus T of dimension $n+l$ and an embedding of cohomology space $H^*(M) \mapsto H^{*+l}(T)$ which is compatible with the Hodge structures and the Poincare pairing.** Moreover, this embedding is compatible with an action of the Lie algebra generated by all Lefschetz $sl(2)$ -triples on M .

REMARK: The corresponding map from the period space of M to the period space of T **coincides with the Kuga-Satake map.**

Holomorphically symplectic manifolds

DEFINITION: A **holomorphically symplectic manifold** is a complex manifold equipped with non-degenerate, holomorphic $(2,0)$ -form.

REMARK: Hyperkähler manifolds are holomorphically symplectic. Indeed, $\Omega := \omega_J + \sqrt{-1} \omega_K$ is a holomorphic symplectic form on (M, I) .

THEOREM: (Calabi-Yau) A compact, Kähler, holomorphically symplectic manifold **admits a unique hyperkähler metric in any Kähler class.**

DEFINITION: For the rest of this talk, **a hyperkähler manifold is a compact, Kähler, holomorphically symplectic manifold.**

DEFINITION: A hyperkähler manifold M is called **of maximal holonomy**, or **simple**, or **IHS**, if $\pi_1(M) = 0$, $H^{2,0}(M) = \mathbb{C}$.

Bogomolov's decomposition: Any hyperkähler manifold admits a finite covering which is a product of a torus and several maximal holonomy hyperkähler manifolds.

Further on, all hyperkähler manifolds are assumed to be of maximal holonomy.

The Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form

THEOREM: (Fujiki). Let $\eta \in H^2(M)$, and $\dim M = 2n$, where M is hyperkähler. **Then** $\int_M \eta^{2n} = cq(\eta, \eta)^n$, for some primitive integer quadratic form q on $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and $c > 0$ an integer number.

Definition: This form is called **Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form**. **It is defined by the Fujiki's relation uniquely, up to a sign.** The sign is determined from the following formula (Bogomolov, Beauville)

$$\lambda q(\eta, \eta) = \int_X \eta \wedge \eta \wedge \Omega^{n-1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-1} - \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\int_X \eta \wedge \Omega^{n-1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^n \right) \left(\int_X \eta \wedge \Omega^n \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-1} \right)$$

where Ω is the holomorphic symplectic form, and $\lambda > 0$.

Remark: q has signature $(b_2 - 3, 3)$. It is negative definite on primitive forms, and positive definite on $\langle \Omega, \overline{\Omega}, \omega \rangle$, where ω is a Kähler form.

Multi-dimensional BBF form

This is the original motivation for the present work.

DEFINITION: Let $a, b \in H^{2k}(M)$, M Kähler of complex dimension $2n$, and $q \in \text{Sym}^2(H^2(M)) \subset H^4(M)$ be the element corresponding to the BBF form. Then **the multi-dimensional BBF form** is $a, b \longrightarrow \int_M a \wedge b \wedge q^{n-k}$.

CONJECTURE: It is non-degenerate.

PROPOSITION: This form is non-degenerate on the subalgebra in cohomology generated by $H^2(M)$.

Supersymmetry in hyperkähler geometry

Let (M, I, J, K, g) be a hyperkaehler manifold, $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$ its Kaehler forms. **On $\Lambda^*(M)$, the following operators are defined.**

0. d, d^*, Δ , because it is Riemannian.
1. $L_I(\alpha) := \omega_I \wedge \alpha$
2. $\Lambda_I(\alpha) := *L_I * \alpha$. It is easily seen that $\Lambda_I = L_J^*$.
3. Three Weil operators $W_I|_{\Lambda^{p,q}(M,I)} = \sqrt{-1}(p-q)$, $W_J|_{\Lambda^{p,q}(M,J)} = \sqrt{-1}(p-q)$, $W_K|_{\Lambda^{p,q}(M,K)} = \sqrt{-1}(p-q)$

THEOREM: **These operators generate a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{a}** of dimension $(11|8)$, acting on $\Lambda^*(M)$. Moreover, the Laplacian Δ is central in \mathfrak{a} , hence **\mathfrak{a} also acts on the cohomology of M .**

REMARK: The Weil operators form the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ of unitary quaternions. This means that **the quaternionic action belongs to \mathfrak{a}** . In particular, L_J, L_K, Λ_J and Λ_K .

REMARK: The twisted de Rham differentials d_I, d_J, d_K , associated to I, J, K also belong to \mathfrak{a} : $d_I = [W_I, d]$, $d_J = [W_J, d]$, $d_K = [W_K, d]$

$\mathfrak{so}(4, 1)$ -action and the Hodge decomposition

REMARK: 1. $[L_I, \Lambda_J] = W_K$, $[L_J, \Lambda_K] = W_I$, $[L_I, \Lambda_K] = -W_J$.

2. The even part of \mathfrak{a} **is isomorphic to** $\mathfrak{sp}(1, 1, \mathbb{H}) \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot \Delta$.

3. The odd part $\langle d, d_I, d_J, d_K, d, {}^*d_I^*, d_J^*, d_K^* \rangle$ **generates the 9-dimensional odd Heisenberg algebra**, with the only non-trivial supercommutators being $\{d, d^*\} = \{d_I, d_I^*\} = \{d_J, d_J^*\} = \{d_K, d_K^*\} = \Delta$

4. The action of $\mathfrak{a}_{\text{even}}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\text{odd}}$ **is the fundamental representation of** $\mathfrak{sp}(1, 1, \mathbb{H})$ **in** \mathbb{H}^2 , with the quaternionic Hermitian metric on $\mathfrak{a}_{\text{odd}}$ provided by the anticommutator.

COROLLARY: The weight decomposition of the $\mathfrak{sp}(1, 1, \mathbb{H}) = \mathfrak{so}(4, 1)$ -action on $H^*(M)$ **coincides with the Hodge decomposition.**

Lefschetz-Frobenius algebras

DEFINITION: A Frobenius algebra is a graded commutative algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^d A^i$ equipped with the Poincare-type non-degenerate product.

DEFINITION: A **Lefschetz triple** in a Frobenius algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2n} A^i$ is a triple of operators L_η, H, Λ_η where $\eta \in A^2$ is a fixed element, $L_\eta(x) := \eta \wedge x$, $H|_{A^i} = i - n$ and Λ_η is an element such that L_η, H, Λ_η is an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple. A Frobenius algebra admitting a Lefschetz triple is called **a Lefschetz-Frobenius algebra** (Looijenga, Lunts).

REMARK: Such Λ_η **is uniquely determined by H and η** (this statement is sometimes called “Morozov’s lemma”, and sometimes included in the statement of Jacobson-Morozov theorem).

REMARK: Existence of Λ_η for given $\eta \in A^2$ is an open property in A^2 , hence **a Lefschetz-Frobenius algebra admits many $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triples.**

Lia algebra \mathfrak{g} generated by $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triples

THEOREM: Let M be a hyperkähler manifold of maximal holonomy, A^* its cohomology algebra and $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}(A)$ the Lie algebra generated by all Lefschetz $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triples. **Then \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(b_2 - 2, 4)$.**

Sketch of the proof. Step 1: Consider the action of \mathfrak{g} on the **Mukai extension** $\hat{H}^2(M) := \mathbb{R} \cdot x \oplus H^2(M) \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot y$, where x has grading 0, y has grading 4, $H^2(M)$ has grading 2. We equip $\hat{H}^2(M)$ with **the Mukai form** which is equal to BBF on $H^2(M)$, preserves grading, and satisfies $q_M(x, y) = 1$, $x^2 = y^2 = 0$, $x, y \perp H^2(M)$ and $(x, y) = 1$. The action of \mathfrak{g} on $\hat{H}^2(M)$ is determined by the following properties: **1. It is compatible with the grading. 2. For all $\alpha, \beta \in H^2(M)$, one has $L_\alpha x = \alpha$, $L_\alpha \beta = q(\alpha, \beta)y$, where q is the BBF form. 3. $\Lambda_\alpha y = \alpha$, $\Lambda_\alpha \beta = q(\alpha, \beta)x$.**

To see that this action is well-defined, we need to check that commutator relations hold. This follows from commutator relations in $\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$ and Zariski density of pairs $\alpha, \beta \in \langle \omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K \rangle$ in the set of all pairs $\alpha, \beta \in H^2(M)$.

Step 2: The map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\hat{H}^2(M))$ is surjective, which follows from the dimension argument (dimensions are computed using the local Torelli theorem). Injectivity of $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\hat{H}^2(M))$ is clear, because $\mathfrak{so}(\hat{H}^2(M))$ is given by generators and relations which hold true in \mathfrak{g} . ■

Hodge structures and \mathfrak{g} -action

REMARK: The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(b_1 - 2, 4)$ is equipped with a grading $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$, induced by the grading on the Mukai space: $\hat{H}^2(M) := H_0 \oplus H^2(M) \oplus H_4$, with H_0 and H_4 1-dimensional. Then $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}'_0 \oplus H$, where $H = [L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega]$ is the operator inducing the grading and commuting with the rest of \mathfrak{g}_0 , denoted by $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_0$.

REMARK: The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'_0 := \mathfrak{so}(b_1 - 1, 3)$ is generated by the Weil maps W_I for all complex structures I of hyperkähler type obtained by deformations. The corresponding Lie group G_0 acts as $\text{Spin}(b_1 - 1, 3)$ in odd-dimensional cohomology and $\text{SO}(b_1 - 1, 3)$ on even-dimensional ones. It is generated by the complex structure action on $H^2(M)$ for all deformations of I .

COROLLARY: Let M be a hyperkähler manifold, and $H^*(M) \hookrightarrow H^{*+l}(T)$ an embedding to the cohomology of a torus. Suppose that this embedding is compatible with an action of the Lie algebra generated by all Lefschetz $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triples on M . **Then it is compatible with the Hodge structures**, in the same sense as the usual Kuga-Satake map.

Proof of the main result

THEOREM: For any hyperkahler manifold M of complex dimension n , **there exists a torus T of dimension $n + k$ and an embedding of cohomology space $H^*(M) \mapsto H^{*+l}(T)$ which is compatible with the Hodge structures and the Poincare pairing.** Moreover, this embedding is compatible with an action of the Lie algebra generated by all Lefschetz $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triples on M .

Proof: Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra generated by all $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triples, and $W := H^2(M)$. For any Clifford module V over $\mathcal{Cl}(W)$, V admits a b_2 -symplectic structure which gives \mathfrak{g} -action in $\Lambda^*(V)$. **If we manage to produce an embedding of \mathfrak{g} -modules $H^*(M) \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*(V)$, we are done.**

However, $\Lambda^*(V)$ is an exact representation of $\text{Spin}(\widehat{W})$, hence its tensor powers contain any representation of $\text{Spin}(\widehat{W})$. These tensor powers correspond to $\Lambda^*(V^n)$, which is also a Grassmann algebra for a Clifford module over $\mathcal{Cl}(W)$.

■