

# **Sasakian manifolds**

Misha Verbitsky

The “Geometric structures on manifolds” seminar,

IMPA, May 26, 2022

## Contact manifolds.

In this lecture, **all manifolds are assumed to be oriented.**

**Definition:** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold,  $\dim M = 2n - 1$ , and  $\omega$  a symplectic form on  $M \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ . Suppose that  $\omega$  is **homogeneous**:  $\Psi_q^* \omega = q^2 \omega$ , where  $\Psi_q(m, t) = (m, qt)$ . Then  $M$  is called **a contact manifold**.

**Remark: The contact form** on  $M$  is defined as  $\theta = \omega \lrcorner \vec{T}$ , where  $\vec{T} = t \frac{d}{dt}$ . Then  $d\theta = [d, \cdot \lrcorner \vec{T}] \omega = \text{Lie}_{\vec{T}} \omega = 2\omega$ . Therefore, **the form  $d\theta^{n-1} \wedge \theta = \frac{1}{n} \omega^n \lrcorner \vec{T}$  is non-degenerate on  $M \times \{t_0\} \subset M \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ .**

**Remark:** Usually, a contact manifold is defined as a  **$(2n - 1)$ -manifold with 1-form  $\theta$  such that  $d\theta^{n-1} \wedge \theta$  is nowhere degenerate.**

**Example: An odd-dimensional sphere  $S^{2n-1}$  is contact.** Indeed,  $C(S^{2n-1}) = S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{>0} = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus 0$ , and symplectic form  $\sum_{i=1}^n dx_{2i-1} \wedge dx_{2i}$  is homogeneous.

**Contact geometry is an odd-dimensional counterpart to symplectic geometry**

## Contact manifolds: three equivalent definitions

**Definition 1:** Let  $C(S) = (S \times \mathbb{R}^{>0})$  be a cone, equipped with the standard action  $h_\lambda(x, t) = (x, \lambda t)$ . Assume that  $C(S)$  is equipped with a symplectic form  $\omega$  such that  $h_\lambda^* \omega = \lambda^2 \omega$ . Then  $S$  is called **contact manifold**.

**Definition 2:** Let  $S$  be an odd-dimensional manifold, and  $B \subset TS$  an oriented sub-bundle of codimension 1, with Frobenius form  $\Lambda^2 B \xrightarrow{\Phi} TS/B$  non-degenerate. Then  $S$  is called **contact manifold**,  $B \subset TS$  **the contact bundle**.

**Definition 3:** Let  $S$  be manifold of dimension  $2k + 1$ ,  $B \subset TS$  an oriented sub-bundle of codimension 1. Assume that for a nowhere vanishing 1-form  $\theta \in \Lambda^1 M$  vanishing on  $B$ , the form  $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^k$  is a non-degenerate volume form. Then  $(S, B)$  is called **a contact manifold**, and  $\theta$  **a contact form**.

**THEOREM: These three definitions are all equivalent.**

The proof is given later today.

## Basic forms and Frobenius theorem

**DEFINITION:** Let  $M$  be a manifold,  $B \subset TM$  a sub-bundle,  $\theta \in \Lambda^i M$  a differential form. It is called **basic** with respect to  $B$  if for each  $b \in B$ , one has  $\theta \lrcorner b = 0$  and  $\text{Lie}_b \theta = 0$ .

**DEFINITION:** A sub-bundle  $B \subset TM$  is called **involutive** if  $[B, B] \subset B$ .

### **THEOREM: (“Frobenius theorem”)**

Let  $B \subset TM$  be an involutive sub-bundle. **Then for each point  $x \in M$  there exists a neighbourhood  $U \ni x$  and a smooth projection  $\pi : U \rightarrow N$  such that  $B = \ker \pi$ . ■**

**THEOREM:** Let  $M$  be a manifold,  $B \subset TM$  an involutive sub-bundle,  $\theta \in \Lambda^i M$  a differential form. **Then the following are equivalent.**

- (i)  $\eta$  is basic.**
- (ii) for any open subset  $U \subset M$  and a projection  $\pi : U \rightarrow N$  such that  $B = \ker d\pi$ , one has  $\eta = \pi^* \eta'$  for some  $\eta' \in \Lambda^i N$ .**

## Contact manifolds: three equivalent definitions (proofs)

**Definition 2:** Let  $S$  be an odd-dimensional manifold, and  $B \subset TS$  an oriented sub-bundle of codimension 1, with Frobenius form  $\Lambda^2 B \xrightarrow{\Phi} TS/B$  non-degenerate. Then  $S$  is called **contact manifold**,  $B \subset TS$  **the contact bundle**.

**Definition 3:** Let  $S$  be manifold of dimension  $2k + 1$ ,  $B \subset TS$  an oriented sub-bundle of codimension 1. Assume that for any nowhere vanishing 1-form  $\theta \in \Lambda^1 S$ , the form  $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^k$  is a non-degenerate volume form. Then  $(S, B)$  is called **a contact manifold**, and  $\theta$  **a contact form**.

**Proof. Step 1: (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3):**

for each  $x, y \in B$ ,  $d\theta(x, y) = \theta([x, y]) = \Phi(x, y)$ . Therefore, the Frobenius form  $\Lambda^2 B \xrightarrow{\Phi} TS/B$  can be expressed as  $\langle \Phi(x, y), \theta \rangle = d\theta(x, y)$ . **Non-degeneracy of  $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^k$  on  $TM$  is equivalent to non-degeneracy of  $d\theta = \Phi$  on  $B = \ker \theta$ .** Therefore,  $\Phi(x, y) = d\theta(x, y)$  is of maximal rank on  $B$  if and only if  $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^k$  is non-degenerate.

## Contact manifolds: three equivalent definitions (proofs, part two)

**Definition 1:** Let  $C(S) = (S \times \mathbb{R}^{>0})$  be a cone, equipped with the standard action  $h_\lambda(x, t) = (x, \lambda t)$ . Assume that  $C(S)$  is equipped with a symplectic form  $\omega$  such that  $h_\lambda^* \omega = \lambda^2 \omega$ . Then  $S$  is called **contact manifold**.

### Step 2: (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1):

Let  $M \xrightarrow{\pi} S$  be the space of positive vectors in the oriented 1-dimensional bundle  $L := TS/B$ , which is trivialized by the form  $\theta$ ,  $V \in TM$  the unit vertical vector field, and  $t : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a map which associates  $\theta(v)$  to a point  $(s, v) \in M$ ,  $s \in S, v \in L|_x$ . Let  $T := t\pi^*\theta \in \Lambda^1 M$ , and let  $\omega := dT$ . Consider the vector field  $r = tV \in TM$ . Clearly,  $\text{Lie}_r T = 2T$ , giving  $\text{Lie}_r dT = 2dT$ . **To prove that  $M$  is a symplectic cone of  $S$ , it remains to show that  $dT$  is symplectic.**

### Step 3: (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1), second part:

Since  $\ker dt = \pi^*S$ , any vector field  $X \in TS$  can be naturally lifted to a vector field  $\pi^{-1}(X) \in \ker dt \subset TM$ . For each  $Y := \pi^{-1}(y), x, y \in B$ , one has  $dT(X, Y) = T([X, Y]) = T(\pi^{-1}([x, y]))$ , hence  **$dT$  is non-degenerate on  $\pi^{-1}(B)$** . Also,  $dT \lrcorner V = T$ , and  $\ker T = \langle \pi^{-1}B, V \rangle$ , hence  **$dT$  is non-degenerate on the symplectic orthogonal complement to  $\pi^{-1}B$** .

## Contact manifolds: three equivalent definitions (proofs, part three)

**Definition 1:** Let  $C(S) = (S \times \mathbb{R}^{>0})$  be a cone, equipped with the standard action  $h_\lambda(x, t) = (x, \lambda t)$ . Assume that  $C(S)$  is equipped with a symplectic form  $\omega$  such that  $h_\lambda^* \omega = \lambda^2 \omega$ . Then  $S$  is called **contact manifold**, and  $C(S)$  **the symplectic cone**.

**Definition 3:** Let  $S$  be manifold of dimension  $2k + 1$ ,  $B \subset TS$  an oriented sub-bundle of codimension 1. Assume that for any nowhere vanishing 1-form  $\theta \in \Lambda^1 S$ , the form  $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^k$  is a non-degenerate volume form. Then  $(S, B)$  is called **a contact manifold**, and  $\theta$  **a contact form**.

### Step 4: (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3):

Let  $M = C(S) = S \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ , and  $t \in C^\infty M$  the standard coordinate along  $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$ . Consider the vector field  $r := t \frac{d}{dt}$ , and the form  $\theta := \omega \lrcorner r$ . Since  $\theta \lrcorner r = 0$  and

$$\text{Lie}_r t^{-1} \theta = d(t^{-1} \theta) \lrcorner r + d(\theta \lrcorner r) = t^{-1} \theta - t^{-1} \theta + d(1) = 0,$$

**the form  $t^{-1} \theta$  is basic with respect to the projection  $C(S) \rightarrow S$ .** This gives a form  $\theta$  on  $S$ . Finally,  $(d\theta)^{k+1}$  is non-degenerate because  $d\theta$  is symplectic. **Therefore,  $(d\theta)^{k+1} \lrcorner r = (k+1)(d\theta)^k \wedge \theta$  is non-degenerate on  $S$ .**

■

## Kähler manifolds.

**Definition:** Let  $(M, I)$  be a complex manifold,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$ , and  $g$  is Riemannian form. Then  $g$  is called **Hermitian** if  $g(Ix, Iy) = g(x, y)$ .

**Remark:** Since  $I^2 = -\text{Id}$ , it is equivalent to  $g(Ix, y) = -g(x, Iy)$ . The form  $\omega(x, y) := g(x, Iy)$  is skew-symmetric.

**Definition:** The differential form  $\omega$  is called **the Hermitian form of  $(M, I, g)$** .

**Definition:** A complex Hermitian manifold is called **Kähler** if  $d\omega = 0$ .

**Remark:** **Kähler manifolds are the main object of complex algebraic geometry** (algebraic geometry over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). See e.g. Griffiths, Harris, *“Principles of Algebraic Geometry”*.



## Examples of Kähler manifolds.

**Definition:** Let  $M = \mathbb{C}P^n$  be a complex projective space, and  $g$  a  $U(n+1)$ -invariant Riemannian form. It is called **Fubini-Study form on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$** . The Fubini-Study form is obtained by taking arbitrary Riemannian form and averaging with  $U(n+1)$ .

**Remark:** For any  $x \in \mathbb{C}P^n$ , the stabilizer  $St(x)$  is isomorphic to  $U(n)$ . Fubini-Study form on  $T_x\mathbb{C}P^n$  is  $U(n)$ -invariant, hence unique up to a constant.

**Claim: Fubini-Study form is Kähler.** Indeed,  $d\omega|_x$  is a  $U(n)$ -invariant 3-form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , but such a form must vanish (invariants of  $U(n)$  are known since XIX century).

**Corollary:** Every projective manifold (complex submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ) is Kähler.

## Almost complex manifolds.

*A differential-geometric way of looking at Kähler manifolds.*

**Definition:** An almost complex structure on a manifold  $M$  is an operator  $I : TM \rightarrow TM$  such that  $I^2 = -\text{Id}$ . It is called **integrable** if  $I$  is induced by a complex structure.

**Theorem:** A Riemannian almost complex Hermitian manifold  $(M, I, g)$  is **Kähler if and only if  $\nabla\omega = 0$** , where  $\nabla$  is a Levi-Civita connection.

**Remark:** This theorem is difficult (both ways). Integrability of almost complex structures takes some intensive work on PDEs. The implication  $d\omega = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla\omega = 0$  is also non-trivial, but essentially linear-algebraic.

**Remark:** One may think of Kähler manifolds as of symplectic manifolds with a Riemannian structure compatible with a symplectic form. Locally, every symplectic manifold admits a Kähler structure (Darboux).

## Sasakian manifolds.

**Definition:** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold,  $\dim M = 2n - 1$ , and  $(\omega, I)$  a Kähler structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ . Suppose that  $\omega$  is **homogeneous**:  $\Psi_q^* \omega = q^2 \omega$ , where  $\Psi_q(m, t) = (m, qt)$ , and  $I$  is  $\Psi_q$ -invariant. Then  $M$  is called **Sasakian**, and  $M \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  its **Kähler cone**.

**Sasakian geometry is an odd-dimensional counterpart to Kähler geometry**

**Remark:** A Sasakian manifold is obviously contact. Indeed, **a Sasakian manifold is a contact manifold equipped with a compatible Riemannian metric.**

**Example:** **An odd-dimensional sphere  $S^{2n-1}$  is Sasakian.** Indeed, its cone  $S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{>0} = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus 0$  has the standard Kähler form  $\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i$  which is obviously homogeneous.

*S. Sasaki, "On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure", Tohoku Math. J. 2 (1960), 459-476.*

**Shigeo Sasaki (1912-1987).**



*Kenmotsu Katsuei, Sato Hajime, Sasaki Shigeo, 1980*

## Reeb field

**DEFINITION:** Let  $S$  be a Sasakian manifold,  $\omega$  the Kähler form on  $C(S)$ , and  $r = t \frac{d}{dt}$  the homothety vector field. Then  $\text{Lie}_{I_r} t = \langle dt, I_r \rangle = 0$ , hence  $I(r)$  is tangent to  $S \subset C(S)$ . This vector field is called **the Reeb field** of a Sasakian manifold.

**REMARK:** The Reeb field is dual to the contact form  $\theta = \omega \lrcorner r$ .

**THEOREM:** The Reeb field acts on a Sasakian manifold by contact isometries.

(see the next slide)

**DEFINITION:** A Sasakian manifold is called **regular** if the Reeb field generates a free action of  $S^1$ , **quasiregular** if all orbits of Reeb are closed, and **irregular** otherwise.

## Reeb field acts by contact isometries

**THEOREM:** The Reeb field acts on a Sasakian manifold by contact isometries.

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $(C(S), \omega)$  be the cone of a Sasakian manifold with its Kähler form, and  $t$  the standard coordinate function. A **holomorphic vector field** is a vector field  $v$  such that its diffeomorphism flow  $e^{tv}$  is holomorphic. The homothety vector field  $r = d\frac{d}{dt}$  is holomorphic, because  $\text{Lie}_r \tilde{\omega} = 2\tilde{\omega}$ ,  $\text{Lie}_r g = 2g$ , giving  $\text{Lie}_r I = \text{Lie}_r g\omega^{-1} = 0$ .

**Step 2:** If  $Y$  is a holomorphic vector field, then  $IY$  is also holomorphic. To see this, chose (locally) a Kähler metric. Then  $\nabla_{IZ}(Y) = I(\nabla_Y(Z)) + [Y, IZ]$  and  $\nabla_Y(Z) + [Y, Z] = \nabla_Z Y$ , showing that  $\nabla_{IZ}(Y) = I(\nabla_Z(Y)) \Leftrightarrow Y$  is holomorphic. Then **the Reeb field acts on  $C(X)$  holomorphically.**

**Step 3:**  $\text{Lie}_{\text{Reeb}} \omega = d(\tilde{\omega} \lrcorner Ir) = d(tdt) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\text{Lie}_{\text{Reeb}} \omega = 0$ . Since  $\text{Lie}_{\text{Reeb}} I = 0$  as well, this implies that **Reeb is Killing.**

**Step 4:** Contact sub-bundle  $B \subset TS$  is defined as  $\ker \omega \lrcorner \frac{d}{dt}$ ; since **the Reeb field preserves  $t$  and  $\omega$ , it preserves the contact sub-bundle.** ■

## Quasiregular Sasakian manifolds.

**Definition:** Given a contact manifold  $(M, \theta)$  with a Riemannian structure  $g$ , the dual vector field  $\theta^\sharp$  is called **the Reeb field** of  $(M, \theta, g)$ .

**Remark:** For any Sasakian manifold, **the Reeb field generates a flow of diffeomorphisms acting on  $M$  by contact isometries.** This is obvious from the definition, because the Reeb field  $\theta^\sharp = It \frac{d}{dt}$  acts by holomorphic isometries on the Kähler cone.

**Definition:** A Sasakian manifold  $M$  is called **quasiregular** if all orbits of the Reeb flow are compact. The space of orbits of the Reeb flow is a complex orbifold. **Every quasiregular Sasakian manifold is a total space of  $S^1$ -bundle over a complex orbifold.**

This is easy to see, because the quotient of  $M$  over the Reeb flow is the same as the quotient of  $CM$  over its complexification, generated by  $\theta^\sharp$  and  $I\theta^\sharp$ .

## Examples of Sasakian manifolds.

**Example:** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  be a complex submanifold, and  $CX \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus 0$  the corresponding cone. The cone  $CX$  is obviously Kähler and homogeneous, hence **the intersection  $CX \cap S^{2n-1}$  is Sasakian.** This intersection is an  $S^1$ -bundle over  $X$ . This construction gives many interesting contact manifolds, including Milnor's exotic 7-spheres, which happen to be Sasakian.

**Remark:** A link of a homogeneous singularity is always Sasakian.

**Remark:** Every quasiregular Sasakian manifold is obtained this way, for some Kähler metric on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ .

**Remark:** Every Sasakian manifold can be deformed to a quasiregular one.



## CR-manifolds.

**Definition:** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold,  $B \subset TM$  a sub-bundle in a tangent bundle, and  $I : B \rightarrow B$  an endomorphism satisfying  $I^2 = -1$ . Consider its  $\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenspace  $B^{1,0}(M) \subset B \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}M = TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that  $[B^{1,0}, B^{1,0}] \subset B^{1,0}$ . Then  $(B, I)$  is called **a CR-structure on  $M$** .

**Example:** A complex manifold is CR, with  $B = TM$ . Indeed,  $[T^{1,0}M, T^{1,0}M] \subset T^{1,0}M$  is equivalent to integrability of the complex structure (Newlander-Nirenberg).

**Example:** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold, and  $M \subset X$  a hypersurface. Then  $B := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} TM \cap I(TM) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - 1$ , hence  $\text{rk } B = n - 1$ . Since  $[T^{1,0}X, T^{1,0}X] \subset T^{1,0}X$ ,  **$M$  is a CR-manifold.**

**Definition:** **A Frobenius form of a CR-manifold** is the tensor  $B \otimes B \rightarrow TM/B$  mapping  $X, Y$  to the  $\Pi_{TM/B}([X, Y])$ . It is an obstruction to integrability of the foliation given by  $B$ .

## Contact CR-manifolds.

Complex algebraic geometry is a rich source of contact structures.

**Definition:** Let  $(M, B, I)$  be a CR-manifold, with  $\text{codim } B = 1$ . Then  $M$  is called **a contact CR-manifold** if its Frobenius form is non-degenerate.

**Remark:** Since  $[B^{1,0}, B^{1,0}] \subset B^{1,0}$  and  $[B^{0,1}, B^{0,1}] \subset B^{0,1}$ , the Frobenius form is a pairing between  $B^{0,1}$  and  $B^{1,0}$ . This means that it is Hermitian.

**Definition:** Let  $(M, B, I)$  be a CR-manifold, with  $\text{codim } B = 1$ . Then  $M$  is called **a strictly pseudoconvex CR-manifold** if its Frobenius form is positive definite everywhere.

**Example:** Let  $h$  be a function on a complex manifold such that  $\partial\bar{\partial}h = \omega$  is a positive definite Hermitian form, and  $X = h^{-1}(c)$  its level set. Then the Frobenius form of  $X$  is equal to  $\omega|_X$ . In particular,  **$X$  is a strictly pseudoconvex CR-manifold.**

## CR-geometry of Sasakian manifolds.

**Claim:** Let  $M$  be a Sasakian manifold,  $CM = M \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  its Kähler cone, and  $\varphi(m, t) = t$  the projection of  $CM$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$ . Then  $\sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi = \omega$  is its Kähler form.

**Proof:**

$\sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi = \frac{1}{2} dd^c\varphi = \frac{1}{2} dId\varphi = dId(t^2) = d(\omega \lrcorner t \frac{d}{dt}) = \omega$  as we have already seen.

■

**Corollary:**

**A Sasakian manifold is strictly pseudoconvex as a CR-manifold.**

**Question:**

Which strictly pseudoconvex CR-manifolds admit Sasakian structures?

**Answer:** Let  $M$  be a compact, strictly pseudoconvex CR-manifold. Then  $M$  admits a Sasakian structure **if and only if  $M$  admits a CR-holomorphic vector field, which is everywhere transversal to  $B$ .** Moreover, this vector field becomes the Reeb field for this Sasakian structure and the Sasakian structure on  $M$  **is uniquely determined by the CR-structure and the Reeb field.**