# Global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler manifolds

Misha Verbitsky

University of Hanover Oberseminar

April 14, 2011.

### Hyperkähler manifolds

**DEFINITION:** A hyperkähler structure on a manifold M is a Riemannian structure g and a triple of complex structures I, J, K, satisfying quaternionic relations  $I \circ J = -J \circ I = K$ , such that g is Kähler for I, J, K.

**REMARK:** A hyperkähler manifold has three symplectic forms  $\omega_I := g(I, \cdot), \ \omega_J := g(J, \cdot), \ \omega_K := g(K, \cdot).$ 

**REMARK:** This is equivalent to  $\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0$ : the parallel translation along the connection preserves I, J, K.

**DEFINITION:** Let M be a Riemannian manifold,  $x \in M$  a point. The subgroup of  $GL(T_xM)$  generated by parallel translations (along all paths) is called **the holonomy group** of M.

**REMARK:** A hyperkähler manifold can be defined as a manifold which has holonomy in Sp(n) (the group of all endomorphisms preserving I, J, K).

# Holomorphically symplectic manifolds

**DEFINITION:** A holomorphically symplectic manifold is a complex manifold equipped with non-degenerate, holomorphic (2,0)-form.

**REMARK:** Hyperkähler manifolds are holomorphically symplectic. Indeed,  $\Omega := \omega_J + \sqrt{-1} \omega_K$  is a holomorphic symplectic form on (M, I).

**THEOREM:** (Calabi-Yau) A compact, Kähler, holomorphically symplectic manifold admits a unique hyperkähler metric in any Kähler class.

**DEFINITION:** For the rest of this talk, a hyperkähler manifold is a compact, Kähler, holomorphically symplectic manifold.

**DEFINITION:** A hyperkähler manifold M is called simple if  $H^1(M) = 0$ ,  $H^{2,0}(M) = \mathbb{C}$ .

**Bogomolov's decomposition:** Any hyperkähler manifold admits a finite covering which is a product of a torus and several simple hyperkähler manifolds.

**Remark:** A simple hyperkähler manifold is always simply connected (Cheeger-Gromoll theorem).

Further on, all hyperkähler manifolds are assumed to be simple.

# **Deformations of holomorphically symplectic manifolds.**

THEOREM: (Kodaira) A small deformation of a compact Kähler manifold is again Kähler.

**COROLLARY:** A small deformation of a holomorphically symplectic Kähler manifold *M* is again holomorphically symplectic.

**Proof:** A small deformation M' of M would satisfy  $H^{2,0}(M') = H^{2,0}(M)$ , however, a small deformation of a non-degenerate (2,0)-form remains non-degenerate.

# COROLLARY: Small deformations of hyperkähler manifolds are hyperkähler.

**REMARK:** By **the moduli** of hyperkähler manifolds we shall understand the deformation space of complex manifolds admitting holomorphically symplectic and Kähler structure.

#### The Teichmüller space and the mapping class group

**Definition:** Let M be a compact complex manifold, and  $\text{Diff}_0(M)$  a connected component of its diffeomorphism group (the group of isotopies). Denote by Teich the space of complex structures on M, and let Teich := Teich/Diff\_0(M). We call it the Teichmüller space.

**Remark:** Teich is a finite-dimensional complex space (Kodaira), but often non-Hausdorff.

**Definition:** Let  $\text{Diff}_+(M)$  be the group of oriented diffeomorphisms of M. We call  $\Gamma := \text{Diff}_+(M)/\text{Diff}_0(M)$  the mapping class group. The coarse moduli space of complex structures on M is a connected component of Teich  $/\Gamma$ .

**Remark:** This terminology is **standard for curves.** 

**REMARK:** For hyperkähler manifolds, it is convenient to take for Teich **the space of all complex structures of hyperkähler type**, that is, **holomorphically symplectic and Kähler**. It is open in the usual Teichmüller space.

**REMARK:** To describe the moduli space, we shall compute Teich and  $\Gamma$ .

# The Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form

**THEOREM:** (Fujiki). Let  $\eta \in H^2(M)$ , and dim M = 2n, where M is hyperkähler. Then  $\int_M \eta^{2n} = cq(\eta, \eta)^n$ , for some primitive integer quadratic form q on  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , and c > 0 an integer number.

**Definition:** This form is called **Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form**. **It is defined by the Fujiki's relation uniquely, up to a sign**. The sign is determined from the following formula (Bogomolov, Beauville)

$$\lambda q(\eta, \eta) = \int_X \eta \wedge \eta \wedge \Omega^{n-1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-1} - \frac{n-1}{n} \left( \int_X \eta \wedge \Omega^{n-1} \wedge \overline{\Omega}^n \right) \left( \int_X \eta \wedge \Omega^n \wedge \overline{\Omega}^{n-1} \right)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the holomorphic symplectic form, and  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Remark:** *q* has signature  $(b_2 - 3, 3)$ . It is negative definite on primitive forms, and positive definite on  $\langle \Omega, \overline{\Omega}, \omega \rangle$ , where  $\omega$  is a Kähler form.

#### Computation of the mapping class group

**Theorem:** (Sullivan) Let M be a compact, simply connected Kähler manifold,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \ge 3$ . Denote by  $\Gamma_0$  the group of automorphisms of an algebra  $H^*(M,\mathbb{Z})$  preserving the Pontryagin classes  $p_i(M)$ . Then **the natural map**  $\operatorname{Diff}_+(M)/\operatorname{Diff}_0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_0$  has finite kernel, and its image has finite index in  $\Gamma_0$ .

**Theorem:** Let M be a simple hyperkähler manifold, and  $\Gamma_0$  as above. Then (i)  $\Gamma_0|_{H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})}$  is a finite index subgroup of  $O(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$ . (ii) The map  $\Gamma_0 \longrightarrow O(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$  has finite kernel.

**Proof. Step 1:** Fujiki formula  $v^{2n} = q(v, v)^n$  implies that  $\Gamma_0$  preserves the **Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki up to a sign.** The sign is fixed, if *n* is odd.

**Step 2:** For even *n*, the sign is also fixed. Indeed,  $\Gamma_0$  preserves  $p_1(M)$ , and (as Fujiki has shown)  $v^{2n-2} \wedge p_1(M) = q(v,v)^{n-1}c$ , for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . The constant *c* is positive, **because the degree of**  $c_2(B)$  **is positive** for any Yang-Mills bundle with  $c_1(B) = 0$ .

# Computation of the mapping class group (cont.)

**Step 3:**  $\mathfrak{o}(H^2(M,\mathbb{Q}),q)$  acts on  $H^*(M,\mathbb{Q})$  by automorphisms preserving Pontryagin classes (V., 1995). Therefore  $\Gamma_0|_{H^2(M,\mathbb{Q})}$  is an arithmetic subgroup of  $O(H^2(M,\mathbb{R}),q)$ .

**Step 4: The kernel** *K* **of the map**  $\Gamma_0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_0 |_{H^2(M,\mathbb{Q})}$  **is finite,** because it commutes with the Hodge decomposition and Lefschetz  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -action, hence preserves the Riemann-Hodge form, which is positive definite.

**REMARK:** The same argument as in Step 4 also proves that the group of automorphisms of  $H^*(M,\mathbb{R})$  preserving  $p_1$  is projected to  $O(H^2(M,\mathbb{R}),q)$  with compact kernel.

**REMARK:** (Kollar-Matsusaka, Huybrechts) **There are only finitely many connected components** of Teich.

**REMARK:** The mapping class group acts on the set of connected components of Teich.

**COROLLARY:** Let  $\Gamma_I$  be the group of elements of mapping class group preserving a connected component of Teichmüller space containing  $I \in$  Teich. **Then**  $\Gamma_I$  **is also arithmetic.** Indeed, **it has finite index in**  $\Gamma$ .

8

#### The period map

**Remark:** For any  $J \in \text{Teich}$ , (M, J) is also a simple hyperkähler manifold, hence  $H^{2,0}(M, J)$  is one-dimensional.

**Definition:** Let P: Teich  $\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^2(M,\mathbb{C})$  map J to a line  $H^{2,0}(M,J) \in \mathbb{P}H^2(M,\mathbb{C})$ . The map P: Teich  $\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^2(M,\mathbb{C})$  is called **the period map**.

**REMARK:** *P* maps Teich into an open subset of a quadric, defined by

$$\mathbb{P}er := \{l \in \mathbb{P}H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \mid q(l, l) = 0, q(l, \bar{l}) > 0.$$

It is called **the period space** of M.

**REMARK:** 
$$\mathbb{P}er = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$$

**THEOREM:** Let M be a simple hyperkähler manifold, and Teich its Teichmüller space. Then (i) (Bogomolov) **The period map** P: Teich  $\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}er$  is etale.

(ii) (Huybrechts) It is **surjective**.

**REMARK:** Bogomolov's theorem implies that Teich is smooth. It is non-Hausdorff even in the simplest examples.

#### Hausdorff reduction

**REMARK: A non-Hausdorff manifold** is a topological space locally diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let *M* be a topological space. We say that  $x, y \in M$  are **non-separable** (denoted by  $x \sim y$ ) if for any open sets  $V \ni x, U \ni y, U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .

**THEOREM:** (D. Huybrechts) If  $I_1$ ,  $I_2 \in$  Teich are non-separablee points, then  $P(I_1) = P(I_2)$ , and  $(M, I_1)$  is birationally equivalent to  $(M, I_2)$ 

**DEFINITION:** Let *M* be a topological space for which  $M/ \sim$  is Hausdorff. Then  $M/ \sim$  is called a Hausdorff reduction of *M*.

#### **Problems:**

- 1.  $\sim$  is not always an equivalence relation.
- 2. Even if  $\sim$  is equivalence, the  $M/\sim$  is not always Hausdorff.

**REMARK:** A quotient  $M/ \sim$  is Hausdorff, if  $M \longrightarrow M/ \sim$  is open, and the graph  $\Gamma_{\sim} \in M \times M$  is closed.

## Weakly Hausdorff manifolds

**DEFINITION:** A point  $x \in X$  is called **Hausdorff** if  $x \not\sim y$  for any  $y \neq x$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let *M* be an *n*-dimensional real analytic manifold, not necessarily Hausdoff. Suppose that the set  $Z \subset M$  of non-Hausdorff points is contained in a countable union of real analytic subvarieties of codim  $\ge 2$ . Suppose, moreover, that

(S) For every  $x \in M$ , there is a closed neighbourhood  $B \subset M$  of x and a continuous surjective map  $\Psi : B \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  to a closed ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , inducing a homeomorphism on an open neighbourhood of x.

Then M is called a weakly Hausdorff manifold.

**REMARK: The period map satisfies (S)**. Also, the non-Hausdorff points of Teich are contained in a countable union of divisors.

**THEOREM:** A weakly Hausdorff manifold X admits a Hausdorff reduction. In other words, the quotient  $X/\sim$  is a Hausdorff. Moreover,  $X \longrightarrow X/\sim$  is locally a homeomorphism.

This theorem is proven using 1920-ies style point-set topology.

Global Torelli Theorem

M. Verbitsky

#### Kähler cone of a generic hyperkähler manifold

**REMARK:** Let  $M_1, M_2$  be holomorphic symplectic manifolds, bimeromorphically equivalent. Then  $H^2(M_1)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $H^2(M_2)$ , and this isomorphism is compatible with Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki form.

**DEFINITION:** A modified nef cone (also "birational nef cone" and "movable nef cone") is a closure of a union of all Kähler cones for all bimeromorphic models of a holomorphically symplectic manifold M.

**THEOREM:** (D. Huybrechts, S. Boucksom) **The modified nef cone is dual to the pseudoeffective cone** under the Bogomolov-Beauville-Fujiki pairing.

**Corollary:** Let M be a simple hyperkähler manifold such that all integer (1,1)-classes satisfy  $q(\nu,\nu) \ge 0$ . Then its Kähler cone is one of two components  $K_+$  of a set  $K := \{\nu \in H^{1,1}(M,\mathbb{R}) \mid q(\nu,\nu) > 0\}$ .

**Proof:** The pseudoeffective cone of M is contained in  $K_+$  by divisorial Zariski decomposition. Therefore, the modified nef cone  $K_{MN}$  contains  $K_+$ . This means that  $K_{MN} = K_+$ .

#### **Birational Teichmüller moduli space**

**DEFINITION:** The space Teich<sub>b</sub> := Teich /  $\sim$  is called **the birational Te**ichmüller space of M.

**THEOREM:** The period map  $\text{Teich}_b \xrightarrow{\text{Per}} \mathbb{P}$ er is an isomorphism, for each connected component of  $\text{Teich}_b$ .

Sketch of a proof: 0. Since  $\mathbb{P}er = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$  is simply connected, it will suffice to show that Per a covering.

1. It is etale (Bogomolov).

2. For each hyperkähler structure (I, J, K) on M, there is a whole  $S^2$  of complex structures L = aI + bJ + cK on M, for  $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$ .

3. For any point  $x \in \mathbb{P}$ er, let NS(x) be the corresponding lattice of integer (1,1)-classes in  $H^2(M)$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{K} \subset H^{1,1}(M,\mathbb{R})$  of Kaehler classes can be determined explicitly when  $\operatorname{rk} NS(x) = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{K} := \{ \nu \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid q(\nu, \nu) > 0 \}$$

4. Every Kaehler class gives a hyperkaehler structure, hence a line in Teich<sub>b</sub>. Such a line is called **generic hyperkähler curve** (GHK curve) if it passes through a point  $x \in \mathbb{P}$ er with rk NS(x) = 0.

# Birational Teichmüller moduli space (cont'd)

5. For every such line C,  $P^{-1}(C)$  is a disconnected union of rational curves bijectively mapped to C. Indeed, for each  $\tilde{x} \in$  Teich mapping to x, the set of GHK lines passing through  $\tilde{x}$  is identified with  $\mathcal{K}$ , which is independent from the choice of  $\tilde{x} \in \text{Per}^{-1}(x)$ .

#### 6. The whole Teichmüller space is covered by GHK curves.

7. Surjectivity on GHK curves leads to the following condition (see the next slide).

(\*) Let Teich<sub>b</sub>  $\xrightarrow{P}$   $\mathbb{P}$ er be the period map. Then for each open subset  $V \subset \mathbb{P}$ er with smooth boundary, and each connected component  $W \subset P^{-1}(V)$ , the restriction  $W \xrightarrow{P} V$  is surjective.

8. The condition (\*) always implies that P is a covering. It is, again, a (non-trivial) exercise in point-set topology.

9. The period space is simply connected, hence *P* is an isomorphism on each connected component.

#### Subtwistor metric on the Teichmüller space

**DEFINITION:** Let g be a Riemannian metric on Teich<sub>b</sub>. Define the subtwistor metric d as the distance function d(x, y) given by infimum of the length (in g) for all paths from x to y going through GHK curves.

**CLAIM: The subtwistor metric induces the standard topology** on any open subset  $W \subset \text{Teich}_b$ .

**Proof:** The proof follows from Gleason-Palais-Montgomery classification of continuous groups.

**THEOREM:** Let  $W \in \mathbb{P}$ er be an open, connected subset, and  $W_1 \subset \text{Teich}_b$ a connected component of  $\text{Per}^{-1}(W)$ . Then  $\text{Per} : W_1 \longrightarrow W$  is surjective homeomorphism.

**Proof. Step 1:** Whenever  $x, y \in W$  are connected by segments of GHK curves which lie in W, and  $x \in W_1$ , one has  $y \in W_1$ , by lifting property of GHK curves. Therefore, it would suffice to prove that all W is connected by segments of GHK curves which lie in W.

**Proof.** Step 2: This is the same as to say that W is connected in the topology induced by subtwistor metric. By the previous claim, this is equivalent to connectedness of W.

#### **Global Torelli theorem**

**DEFINITION:** Let M be a hyperkaehler manifold, Teich<sub>b</sub> its birational Teichmüller space, and  $\Gamma$  the mapping class group. The quotient Teich<sub>b</sub>/ $\Gamma$  is called **the birational moduli space** of M.

**REMARK:** The birational moduli space is obtained from the usual moduli space by gluing some (but not all) non-separable points. It is still non-Hausdorff.

**THEOREM:** Let (M, I) be a hyperkähler manifold, and W a connected component of its birational moduli space. Then W is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}er/\Gamma_I$ , where  $\mathbb{P}er = SO(b_2 - 3, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(b_2 - 3, 1)$  and  $\Gamma_I$  is an arithmetic group in  $O(H^2(M, \mathbb{R}), q)$ .

**A CAUTION:** Usually "the global Torelli theorem" is understood as a theorem about Hodge structures. For K3 surfaces, the Hodge structure on  $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$  determines the complex structure. For dim<sub>C</sub> M > 2, it is false.

#### The marked moduli space

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\Gamma$  be the mapping class group, and  $K \subset \Gamma$  the kernel of the natural map  $\Gamma \longrightarrow GL(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}))$ . It is finite, as we have shown. The quotient Teich /K is called the marked moduli space.

**THEOREM:** The natural map Teich  $\rightarrow$  Teich /K is a homeomorphism on each connected component.

#### Proof. Step 1:

Let  $I \in$  Teich be a fixed point of a subgroup  $K_I \subset K$ . By Bogomolov's theorem,  $T_I$  Teich is naturally identified with  $H_I^{1,1}(M)$ . Since the action of K on  $H^2(M)$  is trivial, any  $\alpha \in K_I$  acts trivially on  $T_I$  Teich. Therefore,  $K_I$  acts as identity on a connected component of Teich containing I.

**Step 2:** From Step 1, obtain that **the quotient map** Teich  $\xrightarrow{\Psi}$  Teich /K is a finite covering, hence it induces a finite covering of the corresponding Hausdorff reductions. However,  $\Psi$  induces an isomorphism on each connected component of Teich<sub>b</sub>, because each component of Teich<sub>b</sub> =  $(\text{Teich}_b)/K$  is isomorphic to Per.

# The Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem

**REMARK:** The group O(p,q) (p,q > 0) has 4 connected components, corresponding to the orientations of positive *p*-dimensional and negative *q*-dimensional planes.

**DEFINITION:** Let M be a hyperkaehler manifold. One says that the Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem holds for M if

Teich  $/\Gamma_I \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}er/O^+(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q),$ 

where  $O^+(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$  is a subgroup of  $O(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$  preserving orientation on positive 3-planes. Equivalently, it is true if M is uniquely determined by its Hodge structure.

**REMARK:** The Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem is true for K3 surfaces. It is false for all other known examples of hyperkaehler manifolds.

#### **Problems:**

1. The moduli space Teich / $\Gamma$  is not Hausdorff (Debarre, 1984). Indeed, bimeromorphically equivalent hyperkähler manifolds have isomorphic Hodge structures.

2. The covering  $\operatorname{Teich}_b/\Gamma_I \longrightarrow \operatorname{Per}/O^+(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$  is non-trivial, because the map  $\Gamma_I \longrightarrow O^+(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$  is not surjective (Namikawa, 2002).

# The birational Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem

**DEFINITION:** The birational Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem is true for M if  $\Gamma_I$  (the stabilizer of a Torelli component in the mapping class group) is isomorphic to  $O^+(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$ .

**REMARK:** If a birational Hodge-theoretic Torelli theorem holds for M, then any deformation of M is up to a bimeromorphic equivalence **determined by the Hodge structure on**  $H^2(M)$ .

**THEOREM:** (Markman) The for  $M = K3^{[n]}$ , the group  $\Gamma_I$  is a subgroup of  $O^+(H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}),q)$  generated by oriented reflections.

**THEOREM:** Let  $M = K3^{[n+1]}$  with n a prime power. Then the (usual) global Torelli theorem holds birationally: two deformations of a Hilbert scheme with isomorphic Hodge structures are bimeromorphic. For other n, it is false (Markman).