

# **Kähler manifolds,**

## **lecture 1**

Misha Verbitsky

**UNICAMP, Brasil**

**Wednesday 17,  
November 2009,**

**Campinas.**

## Connection and torsion

**Notation:** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold,  $TM$  its tangent bundle,  $\Lambda^i M$  the bundle of differential  $i$ -forms,  $C^\infty M$  the smooth functions. **The space of sections of a bundle  $B$  is denoted by  $B$ .**

**DEFINITION:** A **connection** on a vector bundle  $B$  is a map  $B \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Lambda^1 M \otimes B$  which satisfies

$$\nabla(fb) = df \otimes b + f\nabla b$$

for all  $b \in B$ ,  $f \in C^\infty M$ .

**REMARK:** For any tensor bundle  $\mathcal{B}_1 := B^* \otimes B^* \otimes \dots \otimes B^* \otimes B \otimes B \otimes \dots \otimes B$  a **connection on  $B$  defines a connection on  $\mathcal{B}_1$**  using the Leibniz formula:

$$\nabla(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \nabla(b_1) \otimes b_2 + b_1 \otimes \nabla(b_2).$$

**DEFINITION:** A **torsion** of a connection  $\Lambda^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Lambda^1 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M$  is a map  $\text{Alt} \circ \nabla - d$ , where  $\text{Alt} : \Lambda^1 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M$  is exterior multiplication. It is a map  $T_\nabla : \Lambda^1 M \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M$ .

**An exercise: Prove that torsion is a  $C^\infty M$ -linear.**

## Linearized torsion map

**DEFINITION:** A **torsor** over a group  $G$  is a space  $X$  with a free, transitive action of  $G$ .

**EXAMPLE:** An affine space is a torsor over a linear space.

**REMARK:** If  $\nabla_1$  and  $\nabla_2$  are connections on  $B$ , the difference  $\nabla_1 - \nabla_2$  is  $C^\infty M$ -linear. This makes the space  $\mathcal{A}(B)$  of connections on  $B$  into an affine space, that is, a torsor over a linear space  $\Lambda^1(M) \otimes \text{End}(B)$ .

**REMARK:** Torsion is an affine map

$$\mathcal{A}(\Lambda^1 M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda^1 M, \Lambda^2 M) = TM \otimes \Lambda^2 M.$$

**DEFINITION:** An **linearized torsion map** is a map

$$T_{\nabla, \text{lin}} : \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M) \otimes TM \longrightarrow TM \otimes \Lambda^2 M$$

obtained as a linearization of a torsion map  $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda^1 M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda^1 M, \Lambda^2 M)$ .

**REMARK:** It is equal to

$$\text{Alt} \otimes \text{Id}_{TM} : \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M) \otimes TM \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM.$$

## Levi-Civita connection

**DEFINITION:** Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold. A connection  $\nabla$  is called **orthogonal** if  $\nabla(g) = 0$ . It is called **Levi-Civita** if it is torsion-free.

**CLAIM:** **Orthogonal connection always exists**, on any vector bundle  $B$ .

**Proof:** Take a covering  $\{U_i\}$  such that  $B|_{U_i}$  are trivial and admit an orthonormal frame. Choose connections  $\nabla_i$  locally on  $U_i$  fixing these frames. Then patch the local pieces together, using a splitting  $\psi_i$  of unit:

$$\nabla(b) = \sum \nabla_i(\psi_i b)$$

**Exercise:** **Show that this defines a connection.**

**THEOREM:** (“the main theorem of differential geometry”)

**For any Riemannian manifold, the Levi-Civita connection exists, and it is unique.**

## Levi-Civita connection (proof of existence and uniqueness)

**Proof:** Choose any orthogonal connection  $\nabla_0$ . The space of all orthogonal connections is affine space modeled on  $\Lambda^1 M \otimes \mathfrak{so}(TM)$ .

**Step 1:** Identifying  $TM$  and  $\Lambda^1 M$ , obtain  $\mathfrak{so}(TM) = \Lambda^2 M$ .

**Step 2:** The linearized torsion map is

$$\text{Alt} \otimes \text{Id}_{TM} : \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^2 M \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM.$$

**This is an isomorphism** (dimension count, representation theory). Denote it by  $\psi$ .

**Step 3:** Take  $\nabla := \nabla_0 - \psi^{-1}(T_{\nabla_0})$ . Then  $T_{\nabla} = T_{\nabla_0} - \psi(\psi^{-1}(T_{\nabla_0})) = 0$ , hence  $\nabla$  is torsion-free. ■

## Principal $G$ -bundles

**DEFINITION:** A **principal  $G$ -fibration** over  $M$  is a fibration  $X \rightarrow M$  with free action of  $G$ , transitively acting on fibers.

**EXAMPLE:** A **bundle of all frames** in an  $n$ -dimensional vector bundle  $B$  is principal a  $GL(n)$ -fibration. A **bundle of orthonormal frames** in an orthogonal  $n$ -dimensional vector bundle  $B$  is a principal  $O(n)$ -fibration.

**REMARK:** If  $B$  is a principal  $G_0$ -bundle, and  $G \supset G_0$  is a Lie group containing  $G_0$ , **we can pass to a principal  $G$ -bundle** by taking  $B := B_0 \times_{G_0} G$ , where  $B_0 \times_{G_0} G$  denotes a quotient of  $B_0 \times G$  by the diagonal action of  $G_0$ .

**DEFINITION:** A **reduction** of a principal  $G$ -fibration  $B$  to  $G_0 \subset G$  is a sub-bundle  $B_0 \subset B$  which is a principal  $B_0$  fibration, such that  $B_0 \times_{G_0} G = B$ .

**EXAMPLE:** The bundle of orthonormal frames gives a reduction of a principal  $GL(n)$ -bundle of all frames to  $O(n)$ .

**DEFINITION:** A **connection** on a principal  $G$ -bundle  $B$  is a **choice of a splitting**  $TB = T_{vert}B \oplus T_{hor}B$ , where  $T_{vert}B$  is a bundle of **vertical tangent vectors** (vectors tangent to fibers of  $B$ ).

## $G$ -structures

**DEFINITION:** A  $G$ -structure on a manifold is a reduction of a principal  $GL(n)$ -bundle of all frames to its subgroup  $G \subset GL(n)$ .

**EXAMPLE: Riemannian structure:** reduction of  $GL(n)$  to  $O(n)$ .

**EXAMPLE: An almost complex structure.** Let  $I : TM \rightarrow TM$  be an operator satisfying  $I^2 = -\text{Id}$ . Such an operator is called **an almost complex structure** on  $M$ . A bundle of all frames in  $T^{1,0}(M) = \{x \in TM \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid I(x) = \sqrt{-1}x\}$  is a principal  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle, **giving a reduction of a structure group to  $GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \subset GL(2n)$ .**

**EXAMPLE: An almost complex Hermitian structure.** Let  $g$  be a Riemannian metric on an almost complex manifold satisfying  $g(Ix, Iy) = g(x, y)$ . Such a metric is called **Hermitian**. **A bundle of orthonormal frames in  $T^{1,0}(M)$  gives a reduction of a structure group to  $U(n) \subset GL(2n)$ .**

## $G$ -connections

**DEFINITION:** A **holonomy**  $\mathcal{H}ol(\nabla)$  of a connection  $\nabla$  is a group acting on frames by parallel transport via  $\nabla$  along all loops in  $M$ .

**DEFINITION:** Fix a  $G$ -structure on an  $n$ -manifold  $M$ , with  $G \subset GL(n)$ . A connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  is called a  **$G$ -connection**, or **compatible with a  $G$ -structure** if its holonomy preserves the bundle of frames compatible with  $G$ .

**REMARK:** When a  $G$ -structure is given by a set of tensors  $\{\xi_i\}$  (such as in the case of almost complex, orthogonal, Hermitian), **this is equivalent to  $\mathcal{H}ol(\nabla)$  preserving these tensors, that is,  $\nabla(\xi_i) = 0$ .**

**REMARK:** Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \text{End}(TM)$  be the Lie algebra of infinitesimal transformations of a  $G$ -structure  $W$ , and  $\nabla_1, \nabla_2$  be  $G$ -connections. Then  $\nabla_1 - \nabla_2 \in \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . Converse is also true: **adding a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form to a  $G$ -connection, we obtain another  $G$ -connection.**

**CLAIM:** The space  $\mathcal{A}(W)$  of all  $G$ -connections is an affine space modeled on  $\Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Exercise:** Prove that a  $G$ -connection always exists.



## Intrinsic torsion

Let  $M$  be a manifold with a  $G$ -structure  $W$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(W)$  the space of all  $G$ -connections,  $\Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  its linearization,  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \text{End}(TM)$  the Lie algebra of infinitesimal transforms of  $W$ , and

$$T_{\nabla, \text{lin}} : \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM$$

the linearized torsion map.

**DEFINITION:** (Élie Cartan) **the space of intrinsic torsion**  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a quotient of  $\Lambda^2 M \otimes TM$  by the image of  $\Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ , and **an intrinsic torsion** of a  $G$ -structure is an image of  $Tor_{\nabla}$  in  $\mathfrak{T}$ , for some  $G$ -connection.

**REMARK:** **An intrinsic torsion of a  $G$ -structure vanishes if and only if there exists a torsion-free  $G$ -connection.**

**CLAIM:** (Élie Cartan) **An intrinsic torsion is the only first order invariant of a  $G$ -structure.** It vanishes if and only if a  $G$ -structure is flat up to second order terms.

## Intrinsic torsion (examples)

**EXAMPLE:** For  $G = O(n)$ , the space of intrinsic torsion vanishes, because

$$T_{\nabla, lin} : \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{o}(n) \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM$$

is an isomorphism.

**EXAMPLE:** When  $G = Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ , one has  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \text{Sym}^2(\Lambda^1(M))$ , and **the intrinsic torsion space is a cokernel of a map**

$$T_{\nabla, lin} : \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \text{Sym}^2(\Lambda^1(M)) \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1(M)$$

**isomorphic to  $\Lambda^3(M)$ .**

**Exercise:** Let  $G$  be  $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ -structure defined by a non-degenerate skew-symmetric form  $\omega$ . **Show that the corresponding intrinsic torsion term is  $d\omega \in \Lambda^3(M)$ .**

**COROLLARY:** An  $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ -structure has no intrinsic torsion if and only if it is symplectic.

## Complex manifolds

**DEFINITION:** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. An **almost complex structure** is an operator  $I : TM \longrightarrow TM$  which satisfies  $I^2 = -\text{Id}_{TM}$ .

**The eigenvalues of this operator are  $\pm\sqrt{-1}$ .** The corresponding eigenvalue decomposition is denoted  $TM = T^{0,1}M \oplus T^{1,0}(M)$ .

**DEFINITION:** An almost complex structure is **integrable** if  $\forall X, Y \in T^{1,0}M$ , one has  $[X, Y] \in T^{1,0}M$ . In this case  $I$  is called **a complex structure operator**. A manifold with an integrable almost complex structure is called **a complex manifold**.

**THEOREM:** (Newlander-Nirenberg)

**This definition is equivalent to the usual one.**

**REMARK:** The commutator defines a  $\mathbb{C}^\infty M$ -linear map  $N := \Lambda^2(T^{1,0}) \longrightarrow T^{0,1}M$ , called **the Nijenhuis tensor** of  $I$ . **One can represent  $N$  as a section of  $\Lambda^{2,0}(M) \otimes T^{0,1}M$ .**

## Kähler manifolds

**DEFINITION:** An Riemannian metric  $g$  on an almost complex manifold  $M$  is called **Hermitian** if  $g(Ix, Iy) = g(x, y)$ . In this case,  $g(x, Iy) = g(Ix, I^2y) = -g(y, Ix)$ , hence  $\omega(x, y) := g(x, Iy)$  is skew-symmetric.

**DEFINITION:** The differential form  $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(M)$  is called **the Hermitian form** of  $(M, I, g)$ .

**THEOREM:** Let  $(M, I, g)$  be an almost complex Hermitian manifold. **Then the following conditions are equivalent.**

- (i) The complex structure  $I$  is integrable, and the Hermitian form  $\omega$  is closed.
- (ii) One has  $\nabla(I) = 0$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection

$$\nabla : \text{End}(TM) \longrightarrow \text{End}(TM) \otimes \Lambda^1(M).$$

**DEFINITION:** A complex Hermitian manifold  $M$  is called **Kähler** if either of these conditions hold. The cohomology class  $[\omega] \in H^2(M)$  of a form  $\omega$  is called **the Kähler class** of  $M$ .

## Examples of Kähler manifolds.

**Definition:** Let  $M = \mathbb{C}P^n$  be a complex projective space, and  $g$  a  $U(n+1)$ -invariant Riemannian form. It is called **Fubini-Study form on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$** . The Fubini-Study form is obtained by taking arbitrary Riemannian form and averaging with  $U(n+1)$ .

**Remark:** For any  $x \in \mathbb{C}P^n$ , the stabilizer  $St(x)$  is isomorphic to  $U(n)$ . Fubini-Study form on  $T_x\mathbb{C}P^n = \mathbb{C}^n$  is  $U(n)$ -invariant, hence unique up to a constant.

**Claim: Fubini-Study form is Kähler.** Indeed,  $d\omega|_x$  is a  $U(n)$ -invariant 3-form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , but such a form must vanish.

**Corollary:** Every projective manifold (complex submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ) is Kähler.

**REMARK:** A manifold  $(M, I, g)$  is Kähler **if and only if the intrinsic torsion of its  $U(n)$ -structure vanishes.**

**REMARK: A Kähler manifold is flat, up to second order terms:** There is a map from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with a flat metric and a complex structure to  $M$ , compatible with  $g$  and  $I$  up to a second order. This is clear from Cartans' characterization of intrinsic torsion.

## Intrinsic torsion of a Hermitian complex structure

For an almost complex Hermitian structure,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(n)$  is identified with  $\Lambda^{1,1}(M)$ . This gives a linearized torsion map

$$T_{\nabla, lin} : \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^{1,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1(M).$$

**REMARK:** The space of intrinsic torsion of an almost complex Hermitian structure is

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{2,0} \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} \otimes \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{2,0} \otimes \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M) = \\ = \Lambda^{2,1}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{1,2}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{2,0}(M) \otimes T^{0,1} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2}(M) \otimes T^{1,0} \end{aligned}$$

**CLAIM:** Let  $(M, I, g)$  be a Hermitian structure, and  $\mathfrak{T}$  its intrinsic torsion, decomposed as above, Then **the first two terms of  $\mathfrak{T}$  are equal to  $d\omega$** , where  $\omega$  is a Hermitian form, and **the last two terms of  $\mathfrak{T}$  are  $N$  and  $\bar{N}$** , where  $N$  is a Nijenhuis tensor.

**COROLLARY:** Intrinsic torsion of a complex Hermitian structure  $(I, g)$  vanishes if and only if  $I$  is integrable and  $\omega$  is closed.