# Rational curves on non-Kähler manifolds

Misha Verbitsky

September 7, 2012, Komplexe Analysis,

Oberwolfach

## Plan

- 1. Non-Kähler manifolds. Twistor spaces.
- 2. Different Hermitian structures and conditions.
- 3. Rational curves on twistor spaces and special metrics.
- 4. Applications.

## Non-Kähler manifolds

Constructions of compact, non-Kähler manifolds.

 Locally conformally Kähler manifolds. A quotient of a Kähler manifold by a discrete group acting by homotheties. Never admits a Kähler metric. (Vaisman).

**Example:** Hopf manifold  $(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus 0)/\mathbb{Z}$ .

2. Left-invariant complex structures on Lie groups or their quotients by discrete groups. Almost never Kähler (exception: a torus).

3. Twistor spaces. Huge. Any finite-generated group can be a fundamental of a twistor space (Taubes; Panov-Petrunin). Never Kähler (Hitchin), except  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  and flag spaces.

4. Moishezon (and Fujiki class C) manifolds.

### Twistor spaces (hyperkähler geometry)

**DEFINITION:** A hyperkähler structure on a manifold M is a Riemannian structure g and a triple of complex structures I, J, K, satisfying quaternionic relations  $I \circ J = -J \circ I = K$ , such that g is Kähler for I, J, K.

**DEFINITION:** Induced complex structures on a hyperkähler manifold are complex structures of form  $S^2 \cong \{L := aI + bJ + cK, a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1.\}$ 

**DEFINITION:** A twistor space Tw(M) of a hyperkähler manifold is a complex manifold obtained by gluing these complex structures into a holomorphic family over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . More formally:

Let  $\mathsf{Tw}(M) := M \times S^2$ . Consider the complex structure  $I_m : T_m M \to T_m M$  on M induced by  $J \in S^2 \subset \mathbb{H}$ . Let  $I_J$  denote the complex structure on  $S^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1$ .

The operator  $I_{\mathsf{Tw}} = I_m \oplus I_J : T_x \mathsf{Tw}(M) \to T_x \mathsf{Tw}(M)$  satisfies  $I^2_{\mathsf{Tw}} = -\operatorname{Id}$ . **It defines an almost complex structure on**  $\mathsf{Tw}(M)$ . This almost complex structure is known to be integrable (Obata)

**EXAMPLE:** If  $M = \mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $\mathsf{Tw}(M) = \mathsf{Tot}(\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus n}) \cong \mathbb{C}P^{2n+1} \setminus \mathbb{C}P^{2n-1}$  (total space of a vector bundle  $(\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus n})$ .

**REMARK:** For *M* compact, Tw(M) never admits a Kähler structure.

### **Twistor spaces (4-manifolds)**

**DEFINITION:** Let M be a Riemannian 4-manifold. Consider the action of the Hodge \*-operator:  $* : \Lambda^2 M \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 M$ . Since  $*^2 = 1$ , the eigenvalues are  $\pm 1$ , and one has a decomposition  $\Lambda^2 M = \Lambda^+ M \oplus \Lambda^- M$  onto **autodual**  $(*\eta = \eta)$  and **anti-autodual**  $(*\eta = -\eta)$  forms.

**REMARK:** If one changes the orientation of M, leaving metric the same,  $\Lambda^+ M$  and  $\Lambda^- M$  are exchanged. Therefore,  $\dim \Lambda^2 M = 6$  implies  $\dim \Lambda^{\pm}(M) = 3$ .

**REMARK:** Using the isomorphism  $\Lambda^2 M = \mathfrak{so}(TM)$ , we interpret  $\eta \in \Lambda_m^2 M$  as an endomorphisms of  $T_m M$ . Then the unit vectors  $\eta \in \Lambda_m^+ M$  correspond to oriented, orthogonal complex structures on  $T_m M$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $\mathsf{Tw}(M) := S\Lambda^+ M$  be the set of unit vectors in  $\Lambda^+ M$ . At each point  $(m,s) \in \mathsf{Tw}(M)$ , consider the decoposition  $T_{m,s} \mathsf{Tw}(M) = T_m M \oplus T_s S\Lambda_m^+ M$ , induced by the Levi-Civita connection. Let  $I_s$  be the complex structure on  $T_m M$  induced by s,  $I_{S\Lambda_m^+ M}$  the complex structure on  $S\Lambda_m^+ M = S^2$  induced by the metrics and orientation, and  $\mathcal{I} : T_{m,s} \mathsf{Tw}(M) \longrightarrow T_{m,s} \mathsf{Tw}(M)$  be equal to  $\mathcal{I}_s \oplus I_{S\Lambda_m^+ M}$ . An almost complex manifold  $(\mathsf{Tw}(M), \mathcal{I})$  is called **the twistor space** of M.

# PROPERTIES OF TWISTOR SPACES:

1. The almost complex structure on  $\mathsf{Tw}(M), \mathcal{I}$  is a conformal invariant of M. Moreover, one can reconstruct the conformal structure on Mfrom the almost complex structure on  $\mathsf{Tw}(M)$  and its anticomplex involution  $(m,s) \longrightarrow (m,-s).$ 

2. Tw(M),  $\mathcal{I}$  is a complex manifold if and only if  $W^+ = 0$ , where  $W^+$  ("self-dual conformal curvature") is an autodual component of the curvature tensor. Such manifolds are called **conformally half-flat** or **ASD (antiselfdual)**.

3. For a hyperkähler 4-fold, two definitions of twistor spaces coincide.

#### Rational curves on Tw(M).

**DEFINITION:** An ample rational curve on a complex manifold M is a smooth curve  $S \cong \mathbb{C}P^1 \subset M$  such that  $NS = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}(i_k)$ , with  $i_k > 0$ . It is called a quasi-line if all  $i_k = 1$ .

**CLAIM:** Let M be a compact complex manifold containing a an ample rational line. Then any N points  $z_1, ..., z_N$  can be connected by an ample rational curve.

**CLAIM:** Let M be a Riemannian 4-manifold,  $\mathsf{Tw}(M) \xrightarrow{\sigma} M$  its twistor space,  $m \in M$  a point, and  $S_m := \sigma^{-1}(m) = S \wedge_m^+(M)$  the corresponding  $S^2$  in  $\mathsf{Tw}(M)$ . Then  $S_m$  is a quasi-line.

**Proof:** Since the claim is essentially infinitesimal, it suffices to check it when M is flat. Then  $Tw(M) = Tot(\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2}) \cong \mathbb{C}P^3 \setminus \mathbb{C}P^1$ , and  $S_m$  is a section of  $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2}$ .

#### Hermitian structures on complex manifolds.

Let (M, I, g) be a complex Hermitian *n*-manifold,  $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(M)$  its Hermitian form.

**REMARK:** For each  $1 \le k \le n-1$ , the condition  $d(\omega^k) = 0$  implies  $d\omega = 0$ . Hermitian metric is called **balanced** if  $d(\omega^{n-1}) = 0$ . All twistor spaces are balanced (Hitchin). All Moishezon manifolds are balanced (Alessandrini-Bassaneli).

**REMARK:** We call  $d^c := -IdI$  the twisted differential and  $dd^c$  the pluri-Laplacian. Hermitian metric is called Gauduchon if  $dd^c(\omega^{n-1}) = 0$ .

**THEOREM:** (Gauduchon) For each (M, I, g), there exists a unique, up to a constant multiplier, Gauduchon metric in the same conformal class.

**REMARK:** This is very useful, because allows to define a degree of a holomorphic bundle, define stability, and prove a **non-Kähler version of Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau therem.** 

8

# **Strongly Gauduchon metrics.**

Let (M, I, g) be a complex Hermitian *n*-manifold,  $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(M)$  its Hermitian form.

**DEFINITION:** Hermitian metric is called **strongly Gauduchon** if  $\omega^{n-1}$  is a (n-1, n-1)-part of a closed form:  $\omega^{n-1} = \eta^{n-1, n-1}$  (Dan Popovici).

**REMARK:**  $dd^c$  preserves the Hodge type, hence  $dd^c\eta^{p,q} = 0$  for any Hodge component  $\eta^{p,q}$  of a closed form. Therefore, strongly Gauduchon implies Gauduchon:  $dd^c(\eta^{n-1,n-1}) = -(d^c d\eta)^{n,n} = 0$  whenever  $\eta$  is closed.

### Hermitian symplectic and SKT metrics.

**DEFINITION:** A metric g is called **SKT ("strong Kähler torsion")** or **pluriclosed** if  $dd^c \omega = 0$ .

**REMARK:** Such structures are used in physics (and differential geometry "generalized Kähler manifolds").

**DEFINITION:** A form  $\omega$  is called **taming** or **symplectic-Hermitian** if it is a (1,1)-part of a symplectic form.

**REMARK: Symplectic-Hermitian implies pluriclosed,** by the same argument as used to show that strongly Gauduchon implies Gauduchon.

**REMARK:** Such metrics are used a lot in symplectic geometry. Also, Streets-Tian (2010) constructed a Ricci flow for symplectic-Hermitian metrics.

### Main results of today's talk

1. For an almost complex structure *I* equipped with a taming symplectic form, all components of the space of complex curves are compact (Gromov). I will show that **the same is true for pluriclosed metrics, if** *I* **is integrable**.

2. When M has a quasi-line and a pluriclosed metric, it is actually **Moishezon**.

3. This is used to show that a twistor space admits a pluriclosed metric if and only if it is tamed.

4. I prove that no twistor space can admit a taming form, if it is non-Kähler. This implies that **twistor spaces are never pluriclosed**.

#### Pluriharmonic function on the space of complex curves

**DEFINITION:** Let *S* be a complex curve on a Hermitian manifold  $(M, I, g, \omega)$ . Define the Riemannian volume as  $Vol(S) := \int_S \omega$ .

**DEFINITION:** A function  $\varphi$  is called **pluriharmonic** if  $dd^c \varphi = 0$ .

**CLAIM:** Let X be a component of the moduli of complex curves on a given complex manifold,  $\tilde{X}$  the set of pairs  $\{S \in X, z \in S \subset M\}$ , ("the universal family"), and  $\pi_M \tilde{X} \longrightarrow M$ ,  $\pi_X \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$  the forgetful maps. **Then the volume function** Vol :  $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  can be expressed as  $Vol = (\pi_X)_* \pi_M^* \omega$ .

**REMARK:** Since pullback and pushforward of differential forms commute with d,  $d^c$ , this gives  $dd^c \text{Vol} = (\pi_X)_* \pi_M^* (dd^c \omega)$ . Therefore, the volume function is pluriharmonic on X, whenever  $\omega$  is pluriclosed.

#### Gromov's theorem

**THEOREM:** (Gromov) Let M be a compact Hermitian almost complex manifold,  $\mathfrak{X}$  the space of all complex curves on M, and  $\mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{\text{Vol}} \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  the volume function. Then Vol is **proper** (preimage of a compact set is compact).

**COROLLARY:** Let *M* be a complex manifold, equipped with a pluriclosed Hermitian form  $\omega$ , and *X* a component of the moduli of complex curves. **Then the function** Vol:  $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  **is constant, and** *X* **is compact.** 

**Proof:** Since  $Vol \ge 0$ , the set  $Vol^{-1}(]-\infty, C]$ ) is compact for all  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ , hence Vol has a minimum somewhere in X. However, **a pluriharmonic function which has a minimum is necessarily constant** (E. Hopf's strong maximum principle). Therefore, Vol is constant: Vol = A. Now, compactness of  $X = Vol^{-1}(A)$  follows from Gromov's theorem.

#### **Complex manifolds and quasi-lines**

**REMARK:** Let  $S \subset M$  be a quasi-line. Then, for an appropriate tubular neighbourhood  $U \subset M$  of S, "for every two points  $x, y \in U$  close to S and far from each other, there is a unique deformation of S containing X and Y."

More precisely:

**Claim 1:** Let  $S \subset M$  be a quasi-line. Then, for an appropriate tubular neighbourhood  $U \subset M$  of S, and any open neighbourhood W of a diagonal  $\Delta \subset U \times U$ , there exists a smaller open neighbourhood V, of S inside U such that for each  $(x, y) \in V \times V \setminus W$ , there exists a unique deformation  $S' \subset U$  of S containing x and y.

Similarly,

Claim 2: A small deformation  $S' \subset U$  of S passing through  $z \in S$  is uniquely determined by a 1-jet of S' at z.

#### **Quasilines in Moishezon manifolds**

**THEOREM:** Let M be a complex manifold,  $S \subset M$  a quasi-line, and W its deformation space. Assume that W is compact. Then M is Moishezon.

**Proof. Step 1:** Let  $z \in M$  a point, containing a quasi-line  $S \in W$ ,  $W_z$  the set of all curves  $S_1 \in W$  containing z, and  $\tilde{W}_z$  – the set of all pairs  $\{x \in S_1, S_1 \in W_z\}$ . From Claim 1, it follows that the map  $\tilde{W}_z \longrightarrow M$ ,  $(S, x) \longrightarrow x$  is surjective and finite at generic point.

Step 2: Therefore, it would suffice to prove that  $\tilde{W}_z$  is Moishezon.

**Step 3:** After an appropriate bimeromorphic transform, we may assume that  $\tilde{W}_z \longrightarrow W_z$  is a smooth, proper map with rational, 1-dimensional fibers. Then  $\tilde{W}_z$  is Moishezon  $\Leftrightarrow W_z$  is Moishezon.

**Step 4:** By Claim 2, the map from  $W_z$  to  $\mathbb{P}T_zM$  mapping a quasi-line to its 1-jet is also generically finite. **Therefore,**  $W_z$  **is Moishezon.** 

**COROLLARY:** Let M be a twistor space admitting a pluriclosed Hermitian metric. Then M is Moishezon.

#### Harvey-Lawson duality argument applied to pluriclosed metrics

Recall the classical theorem

**THEOREM:** (Harvey-Lawson, 1982) Let M be a compact, complex manifold. Then the **following conditions are equivalent**.

a. M does not admit a Kähler metric.

b. *M* has a non-zero, positive (n - 1, n - 1)-current  $\Theta$  which is a (n - 1, n - 1)-part of a closed current.

The same argument, applied to pluriclosed or taming metrics, brings the following.

**THEOREM 1:** Let M be a compact, complex manifold. Then

1. *M* admits no pluriclosed metrics  $\Leftrightarrow$  *M* admits a positive,  $dd^c$ -exact (n-1, n-1)-current

2. *M* admits no Hermitian symplectic metrics  $\Leftrightarrow M$  admits a positive, exact (n-1, n-1)-current.

## **Applications.**

**COROLLARY:** Any twistor space which admits a pluriclosed metric, **also** admits a Hermitian symplectic structure.

**Proof:** Since *M* is Moishezon, it satisfies  $dd^c$ -lemma (Deligne-Griffiths-Morgan-Sullivan). Therefore, **any positive, exact** (n-1, n-1)-**current is**  $dd^c$ -**exact**.

# COROLLARY:

No non-Kähler twistor space admits a pluriclosed (or Hermitian symplectic) metric.

**Proof:** Th. Peternell (1984) has shown that any non-Kähler Moishezon n-manifold admits an exact, positive (n-1, n-1)-current. Therefore, it is never Hermitian symplectic (Theorem 1).